Employment in Financial Services

Contributing Editor

Louise Skinner at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

03. What documents should be put in place when engaging employees within the financial services industry? Are any particular contractual documents required?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon* at Van Olmen & Wynant

Regarding anyone in an executive position (i.e. members of the legal administrative body, the effective management and independent controllers) at a financial institution, it is necessary to use the forms provided by the NBB to ensure that they are "fit and proper" and are authorised by the NBB (see question 2).

It is also recommended to foresee restrictive covenants in the employment contract, such as confidentiality, other professional activities, non-solicitation, non-competition and intellectual property provisions.

Last updated on 16/04/2024



Brazil

Author: *Caio Medici Madureira, Rodrigo Souza Macedo, Ângelo Antonio Cabral, Rebeca Bispo Bastos* at Tortoro Madureira & Ragazzi Advogados

There is no legal requirement for specific documents, and the CLT does not require a contract. However, contracts are a customary business practice in several sectors, including financial services.



Author: *Béatrice Pola* at DS Avocats

The hiring of employees in the financial services sector follows the common law regime. Thus, in principle, the hiring of an employee means the contractualising of the employment relationship. Although it is not in principle mandatory for the parties to sign an employment contract, but for exceptional cases (part-time employment contract, fixed-term contract, etc), it is nevertheless recommended to contractualise the relationship to avoid any future dispute.

It is also common, at the time of hiring, for the employee to commit to a non-compete and confidentiality obligation concerning his employer, either through clauses in his employment contract or through a separate agreement. These obligations must be the subject of a signed document and are therefore generally incorporated into the employment contract. In addition, most companies in the financial services sector make the hiring of an employee conditional upon that person signing a charter of good conduct or a policy to prevent and manage conflicts of interest.

The employer is also required to make a pre-employment declaration.

Finally, as stated, for certain positions, the employer must notify the ACPR or the ECB of the hire, and they must ratify the appointment.

Last updated on 16/04/2024



Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia* at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

German law does not treat financial services employees differently from employees of other industries, in that an employment agreement does not necessarily have to be in writing to come into existence. It is, however, common (best) practice and highly recommended for risk mitigation and transparency reasons that parties enter into a written employment agreement. For some provisions to be valid, such as a postcontractual non-compete or a fixed-term agreement, a qualified electronic or wet-ink signature is mandatory.

Further, employers must also provide employees with a wet-ink signed certification document summarising the essential conditions of employment under the German Evidence Act. Failure to provide such a document does not render the employment contract invalid, but a breach of the documentation requirement constitutes an administrative offence that may trigger fines. The German government has proposed an Act to modify the wet-ink signature requirement and also allow for electronic signatures, but has not provided a clear timeline for it coming into force yet.

Remuneration is typically governed under the employment contract and references a firm's remuneration policy, which must be put in place for regular staff as well as identified risk-takers, with a dedicated set of rules varying per industry sub-sector.

Finally, depending on the case, certain documentation may need to be filed with BaFin before an employee can take up their tasks (see question 2).

Last updated on 16/04/2024



Author: *Charles Mo*, *Joanne Mok* at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

In addition to an employment contract, there are additional documentation requirements in connection with

the application or transfer of the employee's licence with the financial regulators.

Last updated on 22/01/2023



Author: *Vikram Shroff* at AZB & Partners

When engaging employees within the financial services industry, documents covering past employment, educational qualifications, certificates of achievement, income tax returns, medical health fitness certificates attested by a registered doctor, official identity cards and proof of address (Aadhar Card and Voter ID card, Driving Licence or Passport) and documentation for anything mentioned on a curriculum vitae. In the financial services industry, certificates showing excellence in finance-related services will increase the candidature of a potential employee. The contract of employment of an employer usually contains clauses that make the offer conditional upon the fulfilment of the employee's representations relating to educational qualifications, background, work experience, skill certifications (if applicable), character certificate, etc.

Last updated on 16/04/2024

lreland

Author: Karen Killalea, Ciara Ni Longaigh at Maples Group

The following documents should be in place:

- written statement of terms of employment e.g., a written contract of employment that complies with the Terms of Employment (Information) Act 1994-2014 and the European Union (Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions) Regulations 2022;
- grievance and disciplinary policy;
- protected disclosures policy;
- dignity at work policy (anti-harassment and bullying prevention);
- safety statement; and
- where possible, an employee handbook that details all the statutory leave policies and other bespoke policies of the RFSP.

Last updated on 24/04/2024



Isle of Man

Author: *Katherine Sheerin, Lindsey Bermingham, Kirsten Porter, Emily Johnson* at Cains

As a matter of general Isle of Man employment law, employers must give employees written particulars of their terms and conditions of employment within four weeks of them starting work, pursuant to section 8 of the Employment Act 2006. This mandatory information includes (but is not limited to) the names of the employer and employee; the date of commencement of employment and the date when continuous service began for statutory employment rights purposes; scale or rate of remuneration; hours of work; and holiday entitlement. Typically, a written employment contract will contain the relevant information and satisfy these requirements.

Financial institutions should also ensure that contracts of employment reinforce the requirements of

meeting and maintaining the employee's "fit and proper" status.

Last updated on 17/04/2024

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf* at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

According to article 25 of the FLL, the following information must be included in an employee's contract: full name, date of birth, nationality, gender, marital status, address, Federal Taxpayers Registry number, and Unique Population Registration Key. To verify such information, employers may ask employees to provide their official identification, proof of address, Tax Identification Card, and professional and academic records, among other documents as deemed necessary.

Furthermore, given the requirements to be met by the general manager and officers, it is common practice in Mexico to include a statement in their employment contracts whereby they state that they:

- are in good standing;
- are resident in Mexico;
- have legal, financial and management expertise;
- have satisfactory credit record and credit eligibility; and
- have no legal impediment to occupying such positions and rendering their services.

Additionally, the general manager of controlling entities and brokerage houses must provide a written document stating that he or she:

- has no impediment to being appointed as general manager or officer;
- is up to date with his or her credit obligations and of any other nature; and
- acknowledge all rights and obligations to be assumed as a consequence of his or her appointment.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Sjoerd Remers* at Lexence

All employees must provide identity documentation and required diplomas to the financial services sector employer (including relevant Wft diploma(s), see question 4).

Before entering into an employment agreement, almost all financial services sector companies require a certificate of conduct (VOG). A VOG is a document by which the Dutch minister of legal protection declares that a candidate's (judicial) past does not constitute an obstacle to fulfilling a specific task or position. When assessing a VOG application, the Dutch minister of legal protection checks whether a candidate has criminal offences to his name that pose a risk to the position or purpose for which he is applying for the VOG.

Last updated on 16/04/2024



Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan* at TSMP Law Corporation

Reference checks, declarations and other documentation to ensure that the employee is a fit and proper person should be requested. In addition, notices to MAS or MAS' approval may be required for more senior roles (see question 2).

There should also be an employment contract in place, addressing matters such as individual licences (where required) and continued compliance with all applicable MAS guidelines, notices, advisories and regulations. In drafting these contracts, FIs should take into account MAS' Guidelines and Advisories, including the Guidelines on Fit and Proper Criteria, Individual Accountability and Conduct, and (where relevant) Risk Management Practices – Board and Senior Management. Robust confidentiality obligations and other restrictive covenants are also commonplace.

Last updated on 16/04/2024

🔒 Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger* at Walder Wyss

No special contractual documents are required when engaging employees within the financial services industry.

However, it is generally recommended to conclude a written employment contract with each employee. FINMA, for instance, requires a copy of employment contracts concluded with senior management of regulated entities.

In particular, the employment contract should reference the employer's (regulatory) set of directions and the employee's obligation to comply with said instructions. In addition, because regulated companies such as banks, securities firms, fund management companies, managers of collective assets or asset managers are required to obtain authorisation from FINMA before the engagement of key personnel, it may be sensible to include a condition precedent relating to FINMA's acceptance of the relevant employee in the employment contract.

The mandatory, partially mandatory, and optional elements of an individual employment contract are outlined in article 319 et seq of the CO (in particular regarding remuneration, working time, vacation, and incapacity for work). Further regulations may apply based on collective bargaining agreements.

Last updated on 16/04/2024



Author: *Rebecca Ford* at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Employees must be provided with an employment contract across the different jurisdictions in the UAE. This applies to all employees, regardless of whether they work in the financial services industry.

In the DIFC, the DIFC Employment Law requires employers to provide their employees with a written contract that must specify the following:

- the parties' names;
- the start date;
- the salary and any allowances to be provided to the employee;
- the applicable pay period;
- hours and days of work;
- vacation leave and pay;
- notice to be given by either party to terminate employment;
- the employee's job title;

- confirmation as to whether the contract is for an indefinite period or for a fixed term;
- the place of work;
- applicable disciplinary rules and grievances procedures;
- the probation period;
- a reference to any applicable policies and procedures (including any codes of conduct) and where these can be accessed; and
- any other matter that may be prescribed in any regulations issued under the DIFC Employment Law.

In the ADGM, the ADGM Employment Regulations requires employers to provide their employees with a written contract that must specify the following:

- the parties' names;
- the start date;
- remuneration;
- the applicable pay period;
- hours and days of work; and
- any terms and conditions relating to:
 - vacation leave and pay, national holiday entitlement and pay;
 - sick leave and sick pay;
 - the notice period that either party is required to give to the other in order to terminate employment;
 - the employee's job title;
 - whether the employment is for an indefinite or fixed term;
 - the place of work;
 - · any disciplinary rules or grievance procedures applicable to the employee; and

any other matter that may be prescribed by the employer.

Last updated on 24/04/2024

👫 United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory* at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

As a matter of general UK employment law, employers must give employees written particulars of certain terms and conditions of employment. This is known as a "section 1 statement" after section 1 of the Employment Rights Act 1996, which sets out the mandatory information that employers must give to employees no later than the first day of their employment. This includes fundamental information such as the names of the employer and employee; the date of commencement of employment; the rates and timing of pay; and working hours. Other prescribed particulars (such as information regarding pensions, collective agreements and training) can be provided to employees in instalments within two months of commencement of employment. Typically, a written employment contract will contain the relevant information to satisfy these requirements.

Financial services employers should ensure that, in addition, their employment contracts reinforce the requirements of SM&CR. This will help the employer manage the employment relationship in a manner compliant with SM&CR and demonstrate to the relevant regulators the employer's commitment to compliance with SM&CR. The employment contract will usually include, therefore, additional provisions regarding the completion of SM&CR-compliant background checks; confirmation of the employee's regulated function (eg, their SMF or certification function); required regulatory standards of conduct; cooperation with fitness and propriety assessments; and tailored termination events.

In addition, all senior managers must have a statement of responsibility setting out their role and responsibilities. Certain firms must also allocate certain regulator-prescribed responsibilities (prescribed responsibilities) among senior managers. It is common to set out a senior manager's regulatory responsibilities in their employment contract.

Dual-regulated firms must also ensure that individuals approved to carry out a PRA-designated SMF are subject to any specific contractual requirements required by the PRA. For example, depending on the type of firm, a firm may be required to ensure that the relevant individual is contractually required to comply with certain standards of conduct, such as to act with integrity and with due care and skill (among other requirements).

Last updated on 22/01/2023



Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka* at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

FINRA

Broker-dealers and investment advisors regulated by FINRA must electronically file FINRA's Form U4 when registering "associated persons" with FINRA or transferring their registration to another broker-dealer. Broker-dealers must also create and implement written procedures to verify the facts disclosed by prospective employees on the U4.

- "Associated persons" include employees of all levels involved with investment and securities operations.
- The U4 form requires disclosure of the associated person's background history, including any criminal convictions or civil actions, regulatory proceedings or sanctions, administrative proceedings, financial disclosures (such as bankruptcy), customer complaints, or arbitration awards.

Form U4 also contains an agreement requiring employees to submit to arbitration "any dispute, claim or controversy that may arise between [them and their] firm, or a customer, or any other person..."

Member firms must provide registered employees with an arbitration disclosure when asked to sign a U4.

SEC

SEC-regulated entities require every prospective employee to complete a questionnaire disclosing their identifying information, employment history, and record of any disciplinary actions, denial or suspension of membership of registration, criminal record, or any record of civil action against that employee. FINRA form U4, if completed, fulfils the requirements of this Rule.

California

California employees must be provided with:

- A notice of workers' compensation rights;
- notice of disability insurance and paid family leave insurance benefits;
- sexual harassment information under the Fair Employment and Housing Act;
- notice of pay information (if applicable);
- commission contract (if applicable);
- notice of rights for victims of crime or abuse; and
- lactation accommodation policy

New York

New York employees must be provided with:

- notice of pay rate and pay days;
- commissions Agreement (if applicable);
- New York Health and Essential Rights Act;
- notice of electronic monitoring;
- New York State Workers' Compensation Board Statement of Rights Disability Benefits Law;
- New York State Paid Family Leave Statement of Rights;

- New York City Earned Safe and Sick Time Act (City only);
- New York City Stop Sexual Harassment Act fact sheet (City only); and
- New York City Pregnancy Accommodations at Work fact sheet (City only).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

11. Are there any particular requirements that employers should implement with respect to the prevention of wrongdoing, for example, related to whistleblowing or the prevention of harassment?



Belgium

Author: Nicolas Simon at Van Olmen & Wynant

EU Directive 2019/1937 on whistleblowing has been transposed in Belgium by the Act of 28 November 2022. Financial services are included in its material scope (article 2, 1°, b)). In general, companies with more than 250 employees had to create an internal whistleblowing system by 15 February 2023. For companies with between 50 and 250 employees, the deadline was 17 December 2023. However, these thresholds do not count for legal entities who are active in financial services, therefore they needed to install an internal whistleblowing system no matter their employee count and respect the deadline of 15 February 2023 (article 57, §3). The FSMA will have to verify whether the financial institutions are respecting their whistleblowing obligations (article 36). Furthermore, persons who report violations relating to financial services receive better protection and are awarded higher lump sum compensation if they are the victim of a retaliation measure (six months gross remuneration; article 27, §3).

Regarding the prevention of money laundering, financial institutions were already required to provide a procedure to enable their personnel, agents or distributors to report a violation of the legislation, through a specific, independent and anonymous channel (article 10, Act of 18 September 2017).

The employer must ensure the wellbeing of its employees, which includes the prevention of harassment. If harassment has occurred, they must provide appropriate support, including remediating measures, protection against dismissal and investigation by a prevention advisor specialising in psychosocial risks (Wellbeing Act of 4 August 1996, Wellbeing Code of 28 April 2017). The procedure must be detailed in the work rules of the financial institutions.

Last updated on 16/04/2024



Brazil

Author: Caio Medici Madureira, Rodrigo Souza Macedo, Ângelo Antonio Cabral, Rebeca Bispo Bastos at Tortoro Madureira & Ragazzi Advogados

No specific law determines what employers should implement to prevent wrongdoing. However, implementing reporting channels and policies to prevent and combat harassment is based on general corporate governance rules.



Author: *Béatrice Pola* at DS Avocats

Financial services companies, like any private employer, must implement procedures to prevent wrongdoing.

Concerning harassment, the Labour and the Penal Codes punish acts constituting moral and sexual harassment. It is the employer's responsibility, under their safety obligation, to prevent and, if necessary, deal with any behaviour constituting moral harassment. In this respect, an individual must be appointed by the social and economic committee to combat sexual harassment and sexist behaviour.

For whistleblowing, following Directive 2019/1937/EU, the system for whistleblowers that already existed in France was strengthened by Law 2022-401 of 21 March 2022 on the protection of whistleblowers. From now on, companies with more than 50 employees must internally set up a procedure for collecting and handling whistleblowers. Without an internal procedure, the whistleblower can go through an external channel, which presents a risk to the company's reputation.

In addition, following Law 2022-401, the FMA and the French Prudential Supervision and Resolution Authority have set up special procedures allowing any person to report to them, even anonymously, any infringement of European legislation, the Monetary and Financial Code or the AMF General Regulation (articles L. 634-1 to L. 634-4 of the Monetary and Financial Code).

Last updated on 16/04/2024



Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia* at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Employers are generally required under German law, regardless of their industry, to exercise a duty of protection regarding their employees. If they become aware of allegations of employee harassment, the employer must investigate and take appropriate steps to either dispel the suspicion (and protect the employee incorrectly accused of harassment) or sanction the perpetrator. As such, many employers have a process or policy in place governing this.

From July 2023, employers must observe the mandatory regulations of the Whistleblower Protection Act, implementing the EU Whistleblower Directive. This regulation applies automatically to many institutions in the financial sector, and beyond that to others based on their number of employees (starting with a headcount of over 50) or by virtue of belonging to the public sector. In corporate groups, multiple employers can set up a joint office to receive reports and conduct further investigations. Public sector employers must, in principle, establish an internal reporting office regardless of the number of employees. In addition, employees will also have the option to report breaches externally. The purpose of the new legislation is to strengthen the protection of whistleblowers and ensure that they do not face any disadvantages within the framework of the legal requirements – including, inter alia, where the whistleblowing concerns matters such as breaches of European law concerning financial services, financial products and financial markets, as well as the prevention of money laundering and terrorist financing.

An office at the Federal Ministry of Justice will be established as the governing body for the new law. In addition, the Federal Antitrust Office and BaFin will be responsible for sanctioning certain breaches under their respective remit (antitrust and financial services, respectively).

Anti-money laundering and counter-financing of terrorism

Financial services employees are required to receive training on anti-money laundering and counterfinancing of terrorism. New staff should be required to attend initial training as soon as possible after being hired or appointed. Apart from the initial training, refresher training should be provided regularly to ensure that staff are reminded of their responsibilities and are kept informed of new developments (see question 8).

Whistleblowing

There is no single comprehensive whistleblowing legislation to protect whistleblowers in Hong Kong. However, piecemeal provisions in various ordinances may protect specific whistleblowers for the reporting of specific offences. For example, the Employment Ordinance provides that an employer shall not terminate (or threaten to terminate) the employment of, or in any way discriminate against, an employee because the employee has given evidence or information in any proceedings or inquiry in connection with the enforcement of the Employment Ordinance, work accidents or breach of work safety legislation.

While it is not legally required, as good practice, employers should consider implementing a whistleblowing policy to set out, among others, the type of incidents that should be reported and the procedures for filing the report.

Workplace harassment

Under the Sex Discrimination Ordinance, Disability Discrimination Ordinance and Race Discrimination Ordinance, any harassment in the workplace based on sex, pregnancy, disability and race (which includes colour, descent, ethnic or national origins) is unlawful.

As employers are vicariously liable for the wrongful acts of their employees (whether or not the act was done with the employer's knowledge or approval), one of the statutory defences is for employers to establish that they took "reasonably practicable steps" to prevent the wrongful act in the workplace. Employers should therefore put in place anti-harassment policies and procedures to prevent harassment from happening in the workplace and to provide complaint or reporting procedures to handle such incidents.

Last updated on 22/01/2023



India

Author: *Vikram Shroff* at AZB & Partners

Corporate whistleblowing is still at a nascent stage in India and there isn't a robust legislative framework for it. Section 177[1] of the Companies Act 2013, clause 49 on "Corporate Governance" of the Listing Agreement between a listed entity and a stock exchange and the guidelines issued by RBI under Section 35(A) of the Banking Regulation Act 1949 [2] constitute the corpus of early whistleblower jurisprudence in India. Publicly listed financial services companies are required to have whistleblowing policies.

In terms of generally applicable laws, the IDA lists "Unfair Labor Practices" that the employer is prohibited from engaging in. There are certain state-specific laws which reiterate the same. There might also be sector-specific initiatives. One such example is the "Protected Disclosures Scheme for Private Sector and Foreign Banks" by the RBI, which cover areas such as corruption, criminal offences, non-compliance with rules, misuse of office, suspected or actual fraud causing financial and reputational loss and detriment to the public interest.

When it comes to the prevention of harassment, the general statutes are also applicable to financial sector organisations. Employers are required to comply with the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace

(Prevention, Prohibition and Redress) Act, 2013, by taking reasonable steps to assist affected women workers. If harassment is coupled with any other issue like caste-based discrimination, then employees may register complaints through well-established civil or criminal redress mechanisms in the legal system.

[1] Section 177, Companies Act 2013, available at https://ca2013.com/177-audit-committee/

[2]Section 35A, Banking Regulation Act 1949, available at

<https://indiankanoon.org/doc/587034/#:~:text=it%20is%20necessary%20to%20issue,to%20comply%20wit h%20such%20directions>

Last updated on 16/04/2024

lreland

Author: Karen Killalea, Ciara Ni Longaigh at Maples Group

Yes. Concerning the prevention of wrongdoing, RFSPs should implement a written protected disclosures/whistleblowing policy that explains the secure and confidential internal and external reporting channels available to workers who wish to report relevant wrongdoings. The anti-retaliation protection should be explained and workers should understand from the policy how a report of relevant wrongdoing will be dealt with by the RFSP.

RFSPs should ensure that they have clear, up-to-date and fully compliant policies governing:

- dignity at work (including anti-harassment and anti-bullying measures); and
- grievance and disciplinary policies.

RFSPs should ensure that employees are trained on the RFSP's dignity at work (anti-bullying and harassment) policies to ensure that the RFSP's values, culture and commitment to preventing harassment and bullying are clear regarding their rights and obligations.

Last updated on 24/04/2024



Isle of Man

Author: *Katherine Sheerin, Lindsey Bermingham, Kirsten Porter, Emily Johnson* at Cains

Yes, from 1 January 2017 financial institutions must have an internal whistleblowing policy in place. Financial services employees are encouraged to first raise issues with their employer. However, employees may also raise serious concerns with the IoM FSA if they remain unsatisfied at the end of the employer's process.

Under employment legislation, if an employee is dismissed because they have made a "protected disclosure" (ie, blown the whistle), that dismissal is automatically unfair. Compensatory damages for whistleblowing are uncapped in the Isle of Man Employment and Equality Tribunal.

While there is no sector-specific guidance on harassment in the workplace, all employers have a legal duty to ensure that employees are not harassed at work (this would extend to bullying and being subjected to discrimination). Failure to have and enforce appropriate policies on bullying and harassment is likely to impair any defence that the employer may raise to a legal claim because it will not be able to show that it took "all reasonable steps" to prevent such acts.



Author: Héctor González Graf

at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

In addition to the obligations previously described, employers and employees are subject to Official Mexican Rule NOM-035-STPS-2018 Employment Psychological Risks – Identification, Analysis and Prevention.

The purpose of NOM-035 is to establish the criteria to identify, analyse and prevent psychosocial risks; and to promote a favourable organisational environment in the workplace.

NOM-035 establishes specific obligations for employers, including:

- informing employees about policies to prevent psychosocial risk factors and labour violence, and promoting a favourable organisational environment;
- identifying and analysing factors of psychosocial risk;
- assessing the organisational environment;
- adopting measures to prevent psychosocial risk and promote a favourable organisational environment;
- adopting corrective actions when identifying psychosocial risk factors;
- identifying workers that could have been exposed to traumatic events and providing help; and
- keeping records of the analysis and identification of psychosocial risks, evaluations of the organisational environment, and corrective action.

To prove compliance, employers must adopt the following measures:

- develop a psychosocial risk policy;
- establish a complaints channel to receive and deal with reports of possible practices preventing a favourable organizational environment and report acts of workplace violence;
- conduct surveys to identify employees that have been exposed to psychosocial risks;
- conduct surveys to identify psychosocial risk factors and potential threats to the organisational environment; and
- create intervention programmes with specific actions based on the results obtained.

The Ministry of Labour and Social Welfare is the authority that inspects compliance with these obligations. NOM-035 does not establish specific sanctions for non-compliance, but inspectors may apply fines derived from the FLL. Also, employers must regularly carry out evaluations, research and follow-up on complaints. They must also prepare regular reports on the subject.

These provisions apply to all employers and there are no particular provisions regarding the prevention of harassment in financial entities.

Last updated on 14/03/2023



Author: *Sjoerd Remers* at Lexence

Financial services companies must create a safe and healthy work environment. Furthermore, financial services sector companies have a statutory responsibility to protect consumers from unethical, unprofessional and negligent behaviour and services. In this regard, it is advisable (and common) to implement an internal code of conduct.

Under Dutch law, financial services companies must set up an internal reporting procedure (with specific requirements) where suspected misconduct can be reported.

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan* at TSMP Law Corporation

MAS' Guidelines on Individual Accountability and Conduct provide that appropriate policies, systems and processes should be put in place to enforce expected conduct, including transparent investigation and disciplinary procedures, formal whistleblowing programmes, and a process for the reporting and escalation of issues to senior management on any issues related to employee conduct. Anti-workplace discrimination legislation is also expected in 2024.

Last updated on 16/04/2024



Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger* at Walder Wyss

There are no specific whistleblowing laws in Switzerland, but employees have a right to report grievances and misconduct to their employer, provided that they do not commit a breach of a fiduciary duty or cause damage (eg, malicious false reports).

However, employees must also report material facts or incidents of misconduct and the misconduct of other employees discovered in the course of their work to their employer under the employee's duty of loyalty.

On the other hand, an employee's duty of loyalty and, in particular, an employee's statutory duty of confidentiality flowing from it may also give rise to a duty to not report.

Based on the current legal situation, there may be a conflict between an employee's need to report grievances (internally or externally) and a possible duty to not report with regard to an external report. An attempt to resolve this conflict through legislation has failed, and a new attempt to introduce whistleblowing legislation in Switzerland is not expected anytime soon.

Concerning whistleblowing by employees to a public authority or even to the public, employees are regularly prevented from doing so by confidentiality obligations under criminal law. Any justification for such a disclosure will usually only be examined in the context of a criminal investigation against the employee.

However, larger companies have taken measures and set up certain processes to uncover and prevent wrongdoing without having to do so under mandatory laws. For instance, companies have implemented internal or external reporting offices.

When it comes to harassment, an employer is explicitly required to protect employees from sexual harassment (prevention) and to protect any victims from further disadvantages (active protection). According to the Gender Equality Act, victims of sexual harassment may be awarded compensation of up to six months' wages by the courts, in addition to damages and restitution, unless the employer can prove that they have "taken all measures that are necessary and appropriate according to experience to prevent sexual harassment and that they can reasonably be expected to take". Employers are therefore advised to actively address the issue of sexual harassment (as well as general discrimination and bullying) in the workplace and include it in their regulations or directives.



Author: *Rebecca Ford* at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Whistleblowing

In the DIFC, whistleblowing is addressed both by the DFSA, who introduced its regulatory regime for whistleblowing in 2022 through amendment to its Regulatory Law 2004, as well as the more general obligations contained in the Operating Law of the DIFC Authority.

Under the Regulatory Law, any person who makes a qualifying disclosure to a specified person is entitled to protection under the law. Similar provisions are contained in the Operating Law.

The disclosure may be made internally within the company, for example, to a director, officer or any person in a management position of the relevant company, or any person designated by that company to receive the disclosure of such information; or externally, for example, to the Registrar, Financial Services Regulator, Office of Data Protection, or criminal law enforcement agency in the UAE.

The qualifying disclosure must relate to the disclosure of information made in good faith, that relates to a reasonable suspicion that a regulated entity, or any of its employees or officers, has or may have, contravened a provision of legislation administered by the DFSA, or has engaged in money laundering, fraud, or other financial crime.

A person making a protected disclosure shall not be subject to any civil or contractual liability for making the disclosure, nor shall they be dismissed or otherwise suffer a detriment or disadvantage in connection with making the disclosure.

The corresponding DFSA module sets out the DFSA's expectations that companies should implement appropriate written policies in order to facilitate the reporting of any regulatory concerns by whistleblowers, and to assess, and, where appropriate, escalate regulatory concerns reported to it.

The ADGM published Guiding Principles on Whistleblowing in December 2022, which whilst non-binding, were designed to assist entities and individuals in the ADGM in establishing whistleblowing frameworks and ensure that potential whistleblowers were encouraged to speak up and were fairly treated when they did so. In March 2024, the ADGM announced a public consultation on proposals for a whistleblowing framework, which will lead to the introduction of Whistleblower Protections Regulations and amendments to the Employment Regulations.

Harassment

Harassment is not dealt with in the regulatory framework outlined above, but is contained in the applicable employment legislation.

Last updated on 24/04/2024

👫 United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory* at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Whistleblowing

In addition to the requirements of the SM&CR outlined above which relate to the prevention of wrongdoing (including the Conduct Rules, fitness and propriety assessments, Senior Managers' Duty of Responsibility, the certification and approvals processes and associated training requirements), the PRA and the FCA maintain rules on whistleblowing. These are intended to encourage whistleblowers to come forward to report wrongdoing and protect them from retaliation when they do.

For certain types of SM&CR firms, the rules mandate measures that employers must implement, for others they provide guidance on measures to consider.

The key measures are as follows:

- Whistleblowers' champion a non-executive director and senior manager with responsibility for whistleblowing compliance within the firm, including oversight of internal policies and procedures and certain reporting requirements.
- Whistleblowing channel a system which allows whistleblowers to report concerns confidentially and anonymously, and which allows such concerns to be assessed, addressed, and escalated where appropriate.
- Notification regarding external whistleblowing channels that is, making staff aware of their right to report matters directly to the PRA and FCA and explaining how they can do so.
- Whistleblowing training this must cover arrangements on whistleblowing within the firm and be provided (and tailored) to employees based in the UK, their managers, and employees responsible for operating the firm's whistleblowing arrangements.

Prevention of harassment

Harassment and related unacceptable workplace behaviours (such as bullying and discrimination) are not specifically addressed in the SM&CR rules on individual accountability. However, it is clear from regulators' public statements that the culture of firms (in its broadest sense) is central to their approach. Having a healthy firm culture is seen as critical to consumer protection and well-functioning markets, and firms with healthy cultures are considered to be less prone to misconduct.

Firms that are subject to the SM&CR need to be alive to the possibility that instances of harassment and other non-financial misconduct could amount to breaches of the individual accountability regime or trigger certain requirements under it, such as a requirement to investigate, reassess an individual's fitness and propriety, or notify certain matters to the regulators. The same could apply to any failure by relevant staff to investigate and deal appropriately with allegations of this kind, such as a senior manager who turns a blind eye to reports of sexual harassment or workplace bullying. While there have been relatively few instances of non-financial misconduct resulting in an enforcement action to date, this is likely to become an emerging trend.

Last updated on 22/01/2023



United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka* at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Whistleblowing in the United States is governed by two main statutory sources, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) and the Dodd-Frank Act (Dodd-Frank).

SOX protects whistleblowers who report violations of securities laws to:

- federal regulatory bodies or law enforcement;
- members of Congress or congressional committees; or
- supervisors or persons authorised by the employer to investigate, discover, or terminate misconduct.

Dodd-Frank generally only protects whistleblowers who report violations of the securities or commodities laws to the SEC or CFTC. However, it also prohibits employers from discriminating against financial services employees for objecting or refusing to participate in any activity that would be a violation of securities law (note that Dodd-Frank prohibits mandatory arbitration of retaliation claims under the Act).

Whistleblowers in the banking industry are also protected under both federal and applicable state laws for reporting violations of banking law to the US Department of Justice.

Under Dodd-Frank and banking laws, employees may be offered a bounty for whistleblowing activities that results in successful enforcement actions.

Employment Discrimination and Sexual Harassment Claims are not subject to mandatory FINRA arbitration, though the claims may be arbitrated if all parties agree.

Californian employers with at least five employees globally must implement policies and provide training on the prohibition of harassment, discrimination, and retaliation in the workplace.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Contributors



Belgium

Nicolas Simon Van Olmen & Wynant



Brazil

Caio Medici Madureira Rodrigo Souza Macedo Ângelo Antonio Cabral Rebeca Bispo Bastos Tortoro Madureira & Ragazzi Advogados



Béatrice Pola DS Avocats



Germany

Till Heimann Anne-Kathrin Bertke Marina Christine Csizmadia Kliemt.HR Lawyers




Hong Kong

Charles Mo Joanne Mok Morgan Lewis & Bockius



India

Vikram Shroff AZB & Partners



Karen Killalea Ciara Ni Longaigh



Isle of Man

Katherine Sheerin Lindsey Bermingham Kirsten Porter Emily Johnson *Cains*



Héctor González Graf

Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo



Netherlands

Sjoerd Remers *Lexence*



Singapore

lan Lim Mark Jacobsen Nicholas Ngo Elizabeth Tan *TSMP Law Corporation*



Switzerland

Simone Wetzstein Matthias Lötscher Sarah Vettiger *Walder Wyss*



Rebecca Ford *Morgan Lewis & Bockius*



Louise Skinner Thomas Twitchett Oliver Gregory *Morgan Lewis & Bockius*



United States

Melissa Hill Leora Grushka *Morgan Lewis & Bockius* www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com