Employment in Financial Services

Contributing Editor

Louise Skinner at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

02. Are there particular pre-screening measures that need to be taken when engaging a financial services employee? Does this vary depending on seniority or type of role? In particular, is there any form of regulator-specified reference that has to be provided by previous employers in the financial services industry?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

Members of management should at all times be of good repute and possess sufficient knowledge, skills and experience to perform their duties (article 91, Directive 2013/36/EU; and article 9, Directive 2014/65/EU).

Anyone in an executive position (i.e. members of the legal administrative body, the effective management and independent controllers) at a financial institution must exclusively be natural persons and must at all times have the necessary professional standing and expertise to perform their duties (article 19, Act of 25 April 2014).

In addition, they must not have been convicted of any of the offences listed in article 20 of the Act of 25 April 2014. This concerns convictions with a professional ban and violations of financial legislation, company codes and insurance law.

The NBB will verify that these persons meet the conditions listed above. Forms for a new appointment, additional elements during the employment, termination of an appointment or renewal of an appointment are available on the NBB website (www.nbb.be). These forms require information mainly regarding education, past financial services experience, training, any criminal or administrative or civil proceedings or investigations, disciplinary decisions, bankruptcy, insolvency, potential conflicts of interest, and time commitments for the new appointment.

The NBB will assess the ability of the person based on five criteria:

- expertise, covering knowledge, experience and skills;
- professional repute;

- independence of mind;
- time commitment; and
- collective suitability for the board (ie, to verify whether the expertise within the said body is sufficiently guaranteed, given the person's knowledge, experience and skills (NBB Fit & Proper Handbook of 22 December 2022, 2:26, p. 16)).

Concerning "N-1" effective managers (managers who exercise direct and decisive influence over the management of the institution, but who are not members of the management committee) other than branch managers, the supervisory authority does not have to authorise them (NBB Fit & Proper Handbook of 22 December 2022, 2:9, p. 14). This does not mean that these persons must not have the required expertise and professional reputation, but only that the NBB will not conduct an assessment.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

In the financial services sector, candidates must comply with standard recruitment practices, but also with suitability requirements and, for certain positions, with supervision by the ACPR or the European Central Bank (ECB).

Traditionally, employees in the financial services sector are required to provide the usual documents requested when applying for a job: a cover letter and a curriculum vitae. This is especially important because, as we will see, access to certain positions is conditional. For example, investment advisors must provide proof of either a national diploma attesting to three years of study, or training, or professional experience in the field.

Also, due to the very nature of the financial services business, employees of companies in the sector are required to be honourable.

The Monetary and Financial Code provides that certain operational activities in the financial services sector, such as being a managing director, are barred in the event of a felony conviction, a prison sentence of at least six months with a suspended sentence in connection with the financial world, or a management ban. For this reason, the criminal record of a concerned candidate is generally requested at the time of hiring.

In addition, the appointment or renewal of a senior executive of a credit institution, a finance company, an investment firm other than a portfolio management company, a payment institution or an electronic money institution must be ratified by the ACPR, and by the ECB in the case of major credit institutions. Validation of the appointment or renewal is based on good reputation and competence, which is assessed based on five criteria: experience, reputation, conflicts of interest and independence of mind, availability, and collective ability.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Different notification procedures exist before employees may take up their roles.

Investment firms may only entrust employees to provide investment advice if they are knowledgeable and have demonstrated the required reliability – as evidenced, inter alia, by not having a relevant and unspent

prior criminal record. Furthermore, such employees' identities must be disclosed to BaFin before they commence their activities. The active registration of employees is intended to impart upon employers the significance of employee selection and responsibility for their decisions.

Representatives of regulated entities of the financial services sector (typically, members of management) must be approved by BaFin before they can take up their role (colloquially known as BaFin's "driver's licence"). To obtain approval, a request must be filed with BaFin, showing the experience and suitability of the candidate for the role. Depending on the financial services delivered by the company, information that must be filed include the following:

- a CV (including information on professional training, career, and references);
- information on reliability (a form or summary to be completed by the manager, including, for example, mandatory declarations on prior criminal or administrative offences);
- a "certificate of good conduct for submission to an authority", a "European certificate of good conduct for submission to an authority", or "corresponding documents" from abroad (depending on the countries of residence in the last 10 years);
- an extract from the central commercial register;
- an overview of other mandates as a managing director or in administrative and supervisory bodies; and
- information about the manager's ability to dedicate sufficient time to the role.

Non-management employees responsible for specific key functions at an insurance provider are subject to a similar notification process. Further, financial services employers must perform a risk analysis under the Anti-Money Laundering Act and take internal security measures, which also includes assessing the reliability of employees.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

There are no particular pre-screening measures specified by the financial regulators in Hong Kong. Nevertheless, financial institutions would generally conduct background checks on prospective employees (especially those taking on senior positions) to ensure they comply with the "fit and proper" requirements of the financial regulators.

There is no particular form of regulator-specified reference to be provided by previous employers in the financial services industry. Nevertheless, the SFC has specified disclosure obligations for licensed corporations in respect of outgoing employees who were subject to internal investigations (see question 10).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

The pre-screening measures, when employing a financial service employee, are carried out in compliance with the frameworks laid down by the respective industry regulators. For instance, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), the central banking sector regulator in India, periodically issues certain guidelines for banking and non-banking employers to conduct mandatory employee background checks. These regulators also recognise certain "Self-Regulatory Organisations" (SROs), who then play the primary role in conducting grassroots verifications. SROs conduct character and antecedent verification of employees registered with

them as per the standards set by the regulator. Strict police verification of at least the last two addresses is usually mandated and verifications are periodically updated and shared on a common database at an industry level. For instance, the Finance Industry Development Council is an SRO of Non-Banking Finance Companies (NBFCs) and is registered with the RBI.

A financial services employer should be sensitive to the data being used for pre-screening measures as India protects individual privacy. Hence, both the employer and the service provider engaged by the employer should obtain prior consent from the prospective employee before pre-screening. If the pre-screening measures include the collection of "sensitive personal data information[1]", then an employer must seek the individual's consent, which would also help mitigate risks for any claims concerning the invasion of an employee's privacy. Employers should ideally ensure that pre-screening is complete before the employee is hired. A comprehensive pre-screening will include verification of educational qualifications, checks with past employers, verification of residential addresses, police records, and passport status. Usually, with seniority of the role, checks with past employers happen more rigorously, while for entry-level employees, checks with academic institutions about educational qualifications may be done more rigorously. Similar standards must be met by contract employees empanelled by the service providers.

There is no regulator-specified reference that must be provided by previous employers in the financial services industry. However, in practice, most public sector banks (eg, Bank of India) and many central public sector undertakings in financial services (eg, Life Insurance Corporation of India (LIC)), as per their selection or onboarding protocols, require at least two "Character Certificates", one of which should be from the head of the educational institution last attended or the present employer and the other should be from gazetted officers[2] or bank officers, without any familial ties to the employee.

---

[1] Information Technology Act, 2000 & Information Technology (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedures and Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules 2011.

[2] A 'gazetted officer' is a high rank government official working as an officer for the government of India or any state government whose name and credentials are published in the Gazette of India.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

As set out in question 1, RFSPs must satisfy themselves that all CF and PCF candidates or employees comply with the F&P Standards. Due diligence must be performed, including asking the candidate to certify they will comply with the F&P Standards and notify the RFSP immediately of any change in circumstance that may mean they no longer comply.

References are required as part of the fitness and probity due diligence process performed by employers, but there is no regulator-specified reference. The only distinction between the requirements for CFs and (more senior) PCFs is that PCF candidates require Central Bank prior approval.

Absent specific legal requirements to conduct criminal background checks, medical checks and other pre-employment checks other than references and rigorous compliance with GDPR, employers are limited in terms of the scope of any such background screening in Ireland by the GDPR.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*

at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

For employees with general positions, there are no pre-screening measures. Under article 1 of the Constitution and article 21 of the FLL, discrimination is prohibited. Furthermore, article 21 of the FLL establishes that distinctions will not be deemed discriminatory if certain qualifications are required for certain work. Specifically, if there is no legal ground or work-related justification to request criminal records for a determined position, conditioning the position on that information may be deemed discriminatory.

For example, financial entities must include a list of the expected members of the board of directors, general manager, and main officers, including their respective professional and academic backgrounds, in the filing to obtain authorisation of the CNBV (except insurance and bond institutions) to start operations. These positions require certain special requirements, and thus financial entities must verify – by prior appointment and thereafter, at least every year – that general managers and officers:

- have a standing reputation;
- have expertise in legal, financial and management matters;
- have a satisfactory credit record and credit eligibility;
- are residents in Mexico (for credit entities); and
- have no other legal impediment (see below).

All financial entities must guarantee that high-level employees are capable, experienced and not subject to any procedure involving conduct contrary to financial stability or compliance with business or financial business standards. General managers and officers in controlling entities and auxiliary credit organisations, and in exchange bureaus and brokerage houses, and general managers in insurance and bonding institutions must have at least five years' experience at a high decision-making level that required financial and management expertise.

Also, these individuals must not have any of the following legal impediments:

- a pending dispute with the financial entity or any other financial entities in the group;
- a conviction for a wilful economic crime;
- a disqualification from owning a business, public service positions or the Mexican financial system;
- declared bankruptcy or insolvency;
- carried out regulation, inspection, and monitoring of the financial entity or any other financial entities in the group; or
- participated in the board of directors of the financial entities.

Additionally, for exchange bureaus and brokerage houses, such individuals must not have been an external auditor of the exchange bureau or related entity in the 12 months before their appointment.

Specifically, in credit organisations, general managers and officers must not:

- be a partner or have a position within entities or associations that render services to the entity or its related entities;
- be a client, provider, debtor, creditor, partner, member of the board of directors or employee of an entity that is a client or provider (whose services or sales represent more than 10% of the client's services or sales), or a debtor or creditor (of which the debt is higher than 15% of the assets);
- be an employee of a foundation, association or civil society that receive important contributions from the entity (which represent more than 15% of the total contributions received by such entities in a fiscal year).
- be a general manager, officer, or employee of another entity that is part of the financial group;
- be a spouse or domestic partner of any individual mentioned above, or be in a cohabiting relationship with them; or
- carry out regulation duties of credit organisations and exchange bureaus.

Financial entities must inform the CNBV, CNSF, or CONSAR, as applicable, of general managers' and officers' appointments, resignations or removals, within five business days of such events. Meanwhile, controlling entities, brokerage houses, surety deposit institutions and compensation chambers must inform the CNBV, CNSF, or CONSAR within 10 days of the same.

There are also limits to employees participating in the board of directors of these companies. Only the general manager and officers two levels below may be members, and no other employees may occupy these positions.

According to the Insurance and Bonds Regulations, officers and employees of credit institutions, insurance institutions, bond institutions, brokerage houses, stock market specialists, auxiliary credit organisations, investment companies, operating companies of investment companies, exchange houses, financial commissioners, retirement fund managers, specialised investment companies of retirement funds, and controlling companies with 10% or more of representative shares of such companies will not be authorised to act as insurance or bond agents.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman, Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

The pre-screening measures to be taken are outlined below.

Banking oath

Persons who want to work in the financial services industry (eg, banks, insurers, and pension funds) must take the banking oath before employment pursuant to article 3:17b paragraph 1 and 2 of the Wft, and article 4:15a paragraph 1 and 2 of the Financial Industry Oath or Promise Regulations 2015.

Pre Employment Screening

Pre-employment screening (PES) is a background check before an employee is allowed to join an employer. Almost all banks and financial institutions (or companies) require a PES screening.[1]

Suitability and reliability test for supervisors and daily policymakers or directors

Internal supervisors, daily policymakers or directors and other persons of financial institutions mentioned in the law must also take the suitability and reliability test under article 3.8 paragraph 1 Wft and article 4:9 paragraph 1 Wft. The test takes place if there is a proposed appointment of (for example) a director or supervisor. Depending on the type of financial institution, the test is performed by De Nederlandsche Bank (DNB) or the Autoriteit Financiële Markten (AFM).

The director or supervisor is not allowed to take their position until he or she has passed the test, because the suitability assessment is linked to the position and takes place before each appointment. This also applies if an already tested director or supervisor changes positions or duties within an institution. On the other hand, a reliability test is in principle a one-off.

---

[1] Financial institutions also refers to financial companies in the financial services industry.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Pre-screening measures are only required if the FI employee is going to be involved in the provision of financial services (or other MAS-regulated activities).

Such employees need to pass a fit-and-proper assessment, referring to the MAS Guidelines on Fit and

Proper Criteria. Criteria to be considered include the employee's honesty, integrity and reputation; competence and capability; and financial soundness.

In considering the employee's honesty, integrity and reputation, relevant factors include whether the employee has been the subject of proceedings or investigations (whether criminal or disciplinary) or has been dismissed or asked to resign. MAS' Circular CMI 01/2011 also sets out MAS' expectations on due diligence checks, declarations and documentation concerning employees who are expected to be representatives of specific FIs. Among other things, this entails conducting reference checks with the previous employers of the FI's proposed employees.

It is worth noting that MAS published a consultation paper in May 2021 seeking to address problems arising from the recycling of "bad apples" through the FIs. In that paper, MAS proposed mandatory requirements for reference checks; and responses to those checks based on a set of minimum mandatory information to be provided within a specified period. This proposal is still in its consultation phase, and will be worth watching.

For more senior roles (eg, senior managers, material risk personnel, directors, committee members, chairpersons and key executives), FIs are expected to ensure that they are fit and proper for their roles. MAS' prior approval may also have to be obtained or notices may have to be made, depending on the licence, registration and role sought. FIs in these sectors are expected to conduct more rigorous checks before seeking MAS' approval or submitting a notice, with a greater emphasis on considering circumstances that may give rise to a conflict of interest.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

Under Swiss civil law, there is no requirement to apply pre-screening measures. However, while not a statutory requirement under Swiss financial market laws per se, companies subject to these laws apply pre-screening measures to ensure that a prospective financial services employee meets the requirements set forth by these laws. In particular, regulated companies such as banks, securities firms, insurance companies, fund management companies, managers of collective investment schemes and asset managers are required to obtain authorisation from the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA) relating to strategic and executive management and each change thereto.

As a general rule, the higher the responsibility or position of a person, the more requirements financial services employees may need to fulfil. Persons holding executive or overall management functions (eg, a member of the board or members of the senior management) are required to fulfil certain requirements set forth by the applicable Swiss financial market regulations. Such requirements may include providing current CVs showing relevant work experience and education as well as excerpts from the debt and criminal register. It may also include providing various declarations (eg, concerning pending and concluded proceedings, qualified participations and other mandates). Furthermore, financial services employees holding certain control functions (eg, compliance officer, risk officer and their deputies) may also be required to prove that they are suitable for the position by providing, for example, a current CV showing relevant work experience and education.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

For employees subject to the SMR, anyone performing an SMF must be pre-approved by the relevant regulator before they can start their role. Generally, firms that wish to employ a senior manager must first carry out sufficient due diligence to satisfy themselves that the candidate is a fit and proper person to perform their proposed functions. In this regard, firms must consider the individual's qualifications, training, competency and personal characteristics. The firm must also carry out a criminal records check. They may then apply to the relevant regulator for that candidate's pre-approval. In the firm's application, all matters relating to the candidate's fitness and propriety must be disclosed. The firm must also enclose a statement of that individual's proposed responsibilities and (depending on the firm) the latest version of the firm's management responsibilities map.

For employees subject to the CR, before the appointment and annually thereafter, these employees must be certified by the employing SM&CR firm as being fit and proper. Certification does not involve pre-approval by the FCA or PRA.

Additionally, firms must comply with the regulatory reference rules for all candidates subject to either the SMR or CR before their employment. These rules require employing firms to request a regulatory reference from all previous employers covering the past six years of employment. Information must be shared between regulated firms using a particular template, which includes information relevant to assessing whether a candidate is fit and proper. Firms are also expected to retain records of disciplinary and fit and proper findings going back six years for their employees (or longer for findings of gross misconduct), and they must update regulatory references that they have previously given where new significant information comes to light that would impact the content of a previously given regulatory reference.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

In addition to the standard hiring measures that must be taken when engaging an employee, several additional steps must be taken when engaging financial services employees in the United States. Generally, financial services employees must pass certain screening and disclosure steps, including:

- background checks;
- criminal background disclosures; and
- fingerprinting.

Broker-dealers and investment advisors must register with FINRA (see below).

Background checks

FINRA-regulated entities must investigate each person they plan to register with FINRA to ensure that they meet FINRA Form U4 requirements regarding that person's history of formal charges and indictments.

If the applicant has previously registered with FINRA, broker-dealers must also review an applicant's most recent Form U5 or be able to demonstrate to FINRA that it has made reasonable efforts to review Form U5 but has been unable to do so. If the applicant has previously registered with a CFTC-registered firm, the broker-dealer must review CFTC Form 8-T.

Bank employees must undergo a background check. Certain criminal conduct may statutorily disqualify an applicant from employment. For example, federal law prohibits any person convicted of a criminal offence involving dishonesty or breach of trust (or who has entered into a pre-trial diversion or similar programme regarding such an offence) from serving as a director, officer, or employee of an FDIC-insured bank without the FDIC's consent. Banks must conduct reasonable inquiries into an applicant's background to avoid hiring persons barred from employment by this law. Banks may be protected from claims of disparate impact (under state "ban-the-box" laws) when terminating or withdrawing offers from disqualified employees under this law. Both California and New York explicitly provide such carve-outs. However, these are

position-specific rather than employer-specific, and employees with positions not subject to FINRA or other statutorily required background checks or disqualifiers based on criminal history may still be subject to state or local “fair chance” or ban-the-box laws. Therefore, as a best practice, non-bank financial services employers should avoid relying on these exceptions for all of their employees. Relatedly, the FDIC does not consider “de minimus” criminal violations disqualifying, including minor offences by young adults, bad cheques for less than $1,000 and simple theft of less than $500.

Fingerprinting

Entities covered by the SEC are also subject to fingerprinting requirements. Every member of a national securities exchange, broker, dealer, registered transfer agent, registered clearing agency, registered securities information processor, national securities exchange, and national securities association must ensure that each of its partners, directors, officers, and employees are fingerprinted and must submit such fingerprints, or cause the same to be submitted, to the Attorney General of the United States for identification and appropriate processing. Employees who will not be selling, keeping, or handling securities or supervising those who do are exempt from this requirement.

While New York generally prohibits fingerprinting, there is an exception where, as here, fingerprinting is statutorily required.

California Financing Law requires fingerprinting for certain individuals seeking to license in California.

Please note, during the COVID-19 epidemic, the SEC temporarily paused the fingerprinting requirements. This pause was lifted in September 2022.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

03. What documents should be put in place when engaging employees within the financial services industry? Are any particular contractual documents required?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

Regarding anyone in an executive position (i.e. members of the legal administrative body, the effective management and independent controllers) at a financial institution, it is necessary to use the forms provided by the NBB to ensure that they are “fit and proper” and are authorised by the NBB (see question 2).

It is also recommended to foresee restrictive covenants in the employment contract, such as confidentiality, other professional activities, non-solicitation, non-competition and intellectual property provisions.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

The hiring of employees in the financial services sector follows the common law regime. Thus, in principle, the hiring of an employee means the contractualising of the employment relationship. Although it is not in principle mandatory for the parties to sign an employment contract, but for exceptional cases (part-time employment contract, fixed-term contract, etc), it is nevertheless recommended to contractualise the relationship to avoid any future dispute.

It is also common, at the time of hiring, for the employee to commit to a non-compete and confidentiality obligation concerning his employer, either through clauses in his employment contract or through a separate agreement. These obligations must be the subject of a signed document. In addition, most companies in the financial services sector make the hiring of an employee conditional upon that person signing a charter of good conduct or a policy to prevent and manage conflicts of interest.

The employer is also required to make a pre-employment declaration.

Finally, as stated, for certain positions, the employer must notify the ACPR or the ECB of the hire, and they must ratify the appointment.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

German law does not treat financial services employees differently from employees of other industries, in that an employment agreement does not necessarily have to be in writing to come into existence. It is, however, common (best) practice and highly recommended for risk mitigation and transparency reasons that parties enter into a written employment agreement. For some provisions to be valid, such as a post-contractual non-compete or a fixed-term agreement, a qualified electronic or wet-ink signature is mandatory.

Further, employers must also provide employees with a wet-ink signed certification document summarising the essential conditions of employment under the German Evidence Act. Failure to provide such a document does not render the employment contract invalid, but a breach of the documentation requirement constitutes an administrative offence that may trigger fines.

Remuneration is typically governed under the employment contract and references a firm’s remuneration policy, which must be put in place for regular staff as well as identified risk-takers, with a dedicated set of rules varying per industry sub-sector.

Finally, depending on the case, certain documentation may need to be filed with BaFin before an employee can take up their tasks (see question 2).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

In addition to an employment contract, there are additional documentation requirements in connection with the application or transfer of the employee’s licence with the financial regulators.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

When engaging employees within the financial services industry, documents covering past employment, educational qualifications, certificates of achievement, income tax returns, medical health fitness certificates attested by a registered doctor, official identity cards and proof of address (Aadhar Card and Voter ID card, Driving Licence or Passport) and documentation for anything mentioned on a curriculum vitae. In the financial services industry, certificates showing excellence in finance-related services will increase the candidature of a potential employee. The contract of employment of an employer usually contains clauses that make the offer conditional upon the fulfilment of the employee's representations relating to educational qualifications, background, work experience, skill certifications (if applicable), character certificate, etc.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

The following documents should be in place:

- written contract of employment that complies with the Terms of Employment (Information) Act 1994-2014;
- grievance and disciplinary policy;
- protected disclosures policy;
- dignity at work policy (anti-harassment and bullying prevention);
- safety statement; and
- where possible, an employee handbook that details all the statutory leave policies and other bespoke policies of the RFSP.

Evidence of due diligence for compliance with the F&P Standards and certification of compliance.

Under the new IAF and SEAR proposals, those who perform senior executive functions will also be required to have a detailed statement of responsibility and contracts of employment will need to be updated to reflect the changes.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

According to article 25 of the FLL, the following information must be included in an employee’s contract: full name, date of birth, nationality, gender, marital status, address, Federal Taxpayers Registry number, and Unique Population Registration Key. To verify such information, employers may ask employees to provide their official identification, proof of address, Tax Identification Card, and professional and academic records, among other documents as deemed necessary.

Furthermore, given the requirements to be met by the general manager and officers, it is common practice in Mexico to include a statement in their employment contracts whereby they state that they:

- are in good standing;

- are resident in Mexico;
- have legal, financial and management expertise;
- have satisfactory credit record and credit eligibility; and
- have no legal impediment to occupying such positions and rendering their services.

Additionally, the general manager of controlling entities and brokerage houses must provide a written document stating that he or she:

- has no impediment to being appointed as general manager or officer;
- is up to date with his or her credit obligations and of any other nature; and
- acknowledge all rights and obligations to be assumed as a consequence of his or her appointment.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman, Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

Besides entering into an employment contract with a bank or other financial institution, an employee must apply for a Certificate of Conduct (VOG) from the screening authority of the Ministry of Justice and Security. A VOG is a statement that shows that the judicial record of a person or legal entity is not an obstacle to the position or purpose for which the VOG is requested.

An employee in the financial industry must also provide the standard documents, such as a copy of their passport and payroll tax statement.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Reference checks, declarations and other documentation to ensure that the employee is a fit and proper person should be requested. In addition, notices to MAS or MAS' approval may be required for more senior roles (see question 2).

There should also be an employment contract in place, addressing matters such as individual licences (where required) and continued compliance with all applicable MAS guidelines, notices, advisories and regulations. In drafting these contracts, FIs should take into account MAS' Guidelines and Advisories, including the Guidelines on Fit and Proper Criteria, Individual Accountability and Conduct, and (where relevant) Risk Management Practices – Board and Senior Management. Robust confidentiality obligations and other restrictive covenants are also commonplace.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

No special contractual documents are required when engaging employees within the financial services industry.

However, it is generally recommended to conclude a written employment contract with each employee. FINMA, for instance, requires a copy of employment contracts concluded with senior management of regulated entities.
In particular, the employment contract should reference the employer's (regulatory) set of directions and the employee's obligation to comply with said instructions. In addition, because regulated companies such as banks, securities firms, fund management companies, managers of collective assets or asset managers are required to obtain authorisation from FINMA before the engagement of key personnel, it may be sensible to include a condition precedent relating to FINMA's acceptance of the relevant employee in the employment contract.

The mandatory, partially mandatory, and optional elements of an individual employment contract are outlined in article 319 et seq of the CO (in particular regarding remuneration, working time, vacation, and incapacity for work). Further regulations may apply based on collective bargaining agreements.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

As a matter of general UK employment law, employers must give employees written particulars of certain terms and conditions of employment. This is known as a "section 1 statement" after section 1 of the Employment Rights Act 1996, which sets out the mandatory information that employers must give to employees no later than the first day of their employment. This includes fundamental information such as the names of the employer and employee; the date of commencement of employment; the rates and timing of pay; and working hours. Other prescribed particulars (such as information regarding pensions, collective agreements and training) can be provided to employees in instalments within two months of commencement of employment. Typically, a written employment contract will contain the relevant information to satisfy these requirements.

Financial services employers should ensure that, in addition, their employment contracts reinforce the requirements of SM&CR. This will help the employer manage the employment relationship in a manner compliant with SM&CR and demonstrate to the relevant regulators the employer's commitment to compliance with SM&CR. The employment contract will usually include, therefore, additional provisions regarding the completion of SM&CR-compliant background checks; confirmation of the employee's regulated function (eg, their SMF or certification function); required regulatory standards of conduct; cooperation with fitness and propriety assessments; and tailored termination events.

In addition, all senior managers must have a statement of responsibility setting out their role and responsibilities. Certain firms must also allocate certain regulator-prescribed responsibilities (prescribed responsibilities) among senior managers. It is common to set out a senior manager's regulatory responsibilities in their employment contract.

Dual-regulated firms must also ensure that individuals approved to carry out a PRA-designated SMF are subject to any specific contractual requirements required by the PRA. For example, depending on the type of firm, a firm may be required to ensure that the relevant individual is contractually required to comply with certain standards of conduct, such as to act with integrity and with due care and skill (among other requirements).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*

at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

FINRA

Broker-dealers and investment advisors regulated by FINRA must electronically file FINRA's Form U4 when registering "associated persons" with FINRA or transferring their registration to another broker-dealer. Broker-dealers must also create and implement written procedures to verify the facts disclosed by prospective employees on the U4.

- "Associated persons" include employees of all levels involved with investment and securities operations.
- The U4 form requires disclosure of the associated person's background history, including any criminal convictions or civil actions, regulatory proceedings or sanctions, administrative proceedings, financial disclosures (such as bankruptcy), customer complaints, or arbitration awards.

Form U4 also contains an agreement requiring employees to submit to arbitration "any dispute, claim or controversy that may arise between [them and their] firm, or a customer, or any other person..."

Member firms must provide registered employees with an arbitration disclosure when asked to sign a U4.

SEC

SEC-regulated entities require every prospective employee to complete a questionnaire disclosing their identifying information, employment history, and record of any disciplinary actions, denial or suspension of membership of registration, criminal record, or any record of civil action against that employee. FINRA form U4, if completed, fulfils the requirements of this Rule.

California

California employees must be provided with:

- A notice of workers' compensation rights;
- notice of disability insurance and paid family leave insurance benefits;
- sexual harassment information under the Fair Employment and Housing Act;
- notice of pay information (if applicable);
- commission contract (if applicable);
- notice of rights for victims of crime or abuse; and
- lactation accommodation policy

New York

New York employees must be provided with:

- notice of pay rate and pay days;
- commissions Agreement (if applicable);
- New York Health and Essential Rights Act;
- notice of electronic monitoring;
- New York State Workers' Compensation Board Statement of Rights – Disability Benefits Law;
- New York State Paid Family Leave Statement of Rights;
- New York City Earned Safe and Sick Time Act (City only);
- New York City Stop Sexual Harassment Act fact sheet (City only); and
- New York City Pregnancy Accommodations at Work fact sheet (City only).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

04. Do any categories of employee need to have special certification in order to undertake duties for financial services employers? If so, what are the

requirements that apply?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

Anyone in an executive position (i.e. members of the legal administrative body, the effective management and independent controllers) at a financial institution must, at all times, have the necessary professional standing and expertise to perform their duties.

This will be assessed by the NBB through standard forms to complete if there is a new appointment, new elements during employment, termination of appointment or renewal of appointment.

"N-1" effective managers must meet the same criteria, but authorisation by the NBB is not necessary (see question 2).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

Since 1 July 2010, the FMA General Regulation requires investment services providers to pass an examination to ensure that certain employees have a minimum knowledge base in the field.

This applies to salespersons, managers, financial instrument clearing managers, post-trade managers, financial analysts, financial instruments traders, compliance and internal control officers, and investment services compliance officers.

Since 1 January 2020, the following must also obtain certification: natural persons acting as a financial investment advisor; natural persons with the power to manage the legal person authorised as a financial investment advisor; and persons employed to provide investment advice by the legal person authorised as a financial investment advisor.

FMA certification must be obtained within a maximum of six months of the beginning of that person’s employment with an investment services provider. Certification is issued by FMA-certified organisations.

People already in practice before 1 July 2010 are exempt from this certification. This is known as a grandfather clause.

In addition to this minimum knowledge requirement, certain professionals are subject to an assessment of their knowledge and skills. This applies to natural persons who provide not only information but also financial advice, and generally takes the form of an annual evaluation interview.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Taking on certain tasks requires prior proof of competence, which varies depending on the financial

services sector and the role. As an example, investment services must notify BaFin of investment advisors, sales representatives, and compliance officers, who in each case must be knowledgeable and reliable, and whose expertise must be reviewed at least annually (section 87, WpHG and the corresponding Employee Notification Ordinance). Institutions must deliver proof of professional suitability (ie, sufficient theoretical and practical knowledge of the relevant business and management experience) and reliability for certain key employees, managing directors, and members of the supervisory or administrative board (sections 25c paragraph 1 and 25d paragraph 1 KWG, sections 20 and 21 WpIG).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

SFC

The "Guidelines on Competence" published by the SFC lists the necessary qualifications for employees carrying on regulated activities. For academic qualifications, employees should attain at least Level 2 in either English or Chinese as well as in Mathematics in the Hong Kong Diploma of Secondary Education or equivalent. In addition, employees are expected to obtain recognised industry qualifications and pass the local regulatory framework paper. For responsible officers (ROs), the SFC requires higher levels of educational qualifications and experience.

IA

The "Guideline on 'Fit and Proper' Criteria for Licensed Insurance Intermediaries Under the Insurance Ordinance" published by the IA sets out the education requirements for licenced employees under the IO. Higher levels of educational qualifications are required for responsible officers.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

The recruitment of financial services employees for public-sector enterprises may be done through competitive scores secured through multi-level tests held for generalist and specialist posts. For instance, the Institute of Banking Personnel Selection conducts tests for selection for public sector banks; and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), LIC, etc, hold similar tests for their recruitment.

In terms of industry practice, eligibility to appear at the preliminary levels or the final interview stages of the above tests may sometimes require certain specific certifications (eg, computer certifications for clerical posts in the banking sector. These certifications are prescribed by industry regulators and are actioned by industry collectives. For instance, the RBI[1] has made it mandatory for all banking and non-banking financial institutions to obtain certification for their employees. Industry collective the Indian Banking Association provides such certifications in specific areas like treasury operations, risk management, accounting and credit management. Along with this, further certifications may also be required for Anti-Money Laundering (AML), Know Your Customer (KYC), compliance with foreign exchange regulations, awareness of legal aspects of cyber security, etc.[2]

Similarly, the National Institute of Securities Markets (NISM), an institute promoted by SEBI, accredits institutions that coach and certify wealth management advisors. NISM-accredited qualifications are compulsory for wealth managers in the capital market segment. Also, the Indian Institute of Banking and Finance (IIBF) gives certification for Debt Recovery Agents based on RBI guidelines. Various collectives like

the Fixed Income Money Market and Derivatives Association of India, Foreign Exchange Dealers Association of India and the Institute of Company Secretaries of India, inter alia, collaborate with the IIBF in the certification process in the treasury, forex and compliance sectors. The IIBF's certification for customer service, KYC/AML programmes of the IIBF, and other similar certified courses from the NISM/AMFI/IRDA etc, are essential before hiring employees for certain specialised roles.

As part of the registration process, some SEBI regulations relating to portfolio managers and investment advisors require certain specific employees to be employed with minimum qualifications.

---

[1] Capacity Building in Banks and AIFIs, August 11, 2016 available at < https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/notification/PDFs/NOTI36A5A106C515E84422947AB1D42F6EB391.PDF>; IBA Circular no. CIR/HR&IR/KSC/2017-18/2602.

[2]RBI mandate on capacity building in banks, KPMG, available at <https://home.kpmg/in/en/home/services/learning-academy/aas-learning-solutions/rbi-mandate-capacity-building-banks.html>

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

Yes, under the minimum competency regime (see question 1), employees who wish to perform certain prescribed functions and roles must have certain competencies and qualifications.

There are also CPD requirements for some roles. CFs must certify compliance with the F&P Standards.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

Employees in general positions are not required to obtain specific certification to perform their duties within financial entities. However, in brokerage houses, individuals involved in operations with the public, counselling, promotion and, if applicable, acquisition and sale of securities, must be authorised by the CNBV and obtain a certification issued by a regulated body recognized by the CNBV.

The CNBV and CNSF, as applicable, may caution, remove, adjourn, or disqualify board members and the general manager if they believe the individual does not comply with legal requirements to occupy such positions or if their conduct constitutes a breach of applicable laws and regulations.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman , Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

Some positions in the financial industry do require a completed college degree, preferably related to the

financial industry such as business economics or econometrics. A legal background is also possible. Education (or training) depends on the position. However, rules regarding the professional competence of employees and certain diplomas or certificates required may be laid down by order in the council.

However, Wft training is often required. Wft training is usually offered by financial institutions to obtain a so-called Wft certification. During this training, the employee learns about the financial market, laws and regulations, banking and insurance, and general financial skills.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Representatives, senior management employees and other office holders may require MAS' approval prior to an appointment or assuming an office (see question 2).

In particular, MAS must be notified of the appointment of representatives providing financial advisory services under the Financial Advisers Act 2001 or carrying out regulated activities under the Securities and Futures Act 2001 (dealing in capital markets products, advising on corporate finance, fund and REIT management, product financing, providing credit ratings or custodial services). With some exceptions, they must be at least 21 years old, satisfy minimum academic qualification requirements, and complete prescribed modules of the Capital Markets and Financial Advisory Services examinations.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

Depending on the status of the employing entity and the position of the financial services employee, a special certification or, more generally, proof of relevant work experience and sufficient education is required.

As a general rule, persons holding executive, overall management, oversight or control functions (eg, a member of the board, CEO, compliance officer, risk officer or their deputies) in regulated companies such as banks, insurance companies, securities firms, fund management companies, managers of collective assets or asset managers are required to demonstrate to FINMA that they have sufficient relevant work experience and education. As proof, FINMA requests current CVs, diplomas, certifications and contact details of references. The scope and nature of the future business activity and the size and complexity of the company in question also need to be considered.

Furthermore, client advisers of so-called financial service providers (eg, investment advisers) must have sufficient expertise on the code of conduct and the necessary expertise required to perform their work. Client advisors often prove that these requirements have been met by successfully attending special courses. In addition, insurance intermediaries registered with FINMA's insurance intermediary register have to prove that they have undergone sufficient education and have sufficient qualifications. For this purpose, FINMA has published a list of different Swiss and foreign educational qualifications deemed to be sufficient on its website.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

See question 2.

All individuals performing an SMF, as classified by the FCA or PRA, will be subject to the SMR. SMFs are described in the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA) as functions that require the person performing them to be responsible for managing one or more aspects of a firm's affairs authorised by the FSMA, and those aspects involve, or might involve, a risk of serious consequences for the firm or business or other interests in the UK. As noted, any individual performing an SMF will need to be pre-approved by the relevant regulator before they can start their role, and thereafter they must be certified as fit and proper by their firm annually. Applications to the regulator for pre-approval must disclose all matters relating to a candidate's fitness and propriety and be accompanied by a statement of responsibilities. Firms must carry out a criminal records check as part of the application for approval.

Additionally, employees of firms who are not senior managers but who, because of their role, could still pose a risk of significant harm to the firm or any of its customers, may be subject to the CR. The certification functions that place an employee within the ambit of the CR are different under the rules of the FCA and the PRA but include persons such as those dealing with clients or those subject to qualification requirements. These employees must be certified by their firm as fit and proper for their roles both at the outset of their employment and on an annual basis thereafter (certified staff). Firms are not required to carry out criminal records checks for certified staff, but firms can choose to do so to the extent it is lawful.

The regulators have set out detailed guidance for firms to consider when assessing an individual's fitness and propriety. This includes assessing an individual's honesty, integrity and reputation; competence and capability; and financial soundness.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

For an individual's FINRA registration to become effective, they must pass the Securities Industries Essentials examination. FINRA rules also require registered persons to participate in continuing education courses. Failure to do so may result in a covered person's registration being deemed inactive until the requirement has been satisfied.

California Financing Law requires the licensing and regulation of finance lenders and brokers making and brokering consumer and commercial loans, unless exempt.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

05. Do any categories of employee have enhanced responsibilities under the applicable regulatory regime?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

Anyone in an executive position (i.e. members of the legal administrative body, the effective management and independent controllers) at a financial institution is the first person responsible if there is any offence or fault.

The Act of 25 April 2014 expressly states that the legal administrative body assumes overall responsibility for the credit institution (article 23).

In the framework for the prevention of money laundering and terrorist financing, financial institutions must designate, from among their administrative body or management, the person responsible, at the highest level, for ensuring the implementation of and compliance with the provisions of the legislation (article 9, Act of 18 September 2017).

Members of the legal administrative body (both in its management, policy or strategy and supervisory functions) must have an appropriate understanding of, and contribute to, the areas of activity for which they are collectively responsible, with other members of the legal governing body, even if an individual member is given exclusive responsibility for specific areas. As a result, a member of the legal governing body who holds or held a position in the financial institution at the time the facts giving rise to certain findings (eg, money laundering, fraud, or other findings arising from on-site inspections or legal proceedings) occurred may be held responsible for those findings, even if there is no link between his or her roles and responsibilities on the legal governing body and the findings in question (NBB Fit & Proper Handbook of 22 December 2022, 2:169 – 2.171, p. 39).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

Certain categories of employees in the financial services sector benefit from greater supervision, due to the risky nature of their activity. These include employees who have business dealings with individuals and employees who may have exposure to the financial markets.

Thus, Article L.533-10 of the Monetary and Financial Code provides that portfolio management companies and investment service providers must, on the one hand, put in place rules and procedures to ensure compliance with the provisions applicable to them. On the other hand, they must put in place rules and procedures defining the conditions and limits under which their employees may carry out personal transactions on their behalf.

They must still take all reasonable steps to prevent conflicts of interest that could affect their clients.

In addition, Law No. 2013-672 of 26 July 2013, on the separation and regulation of banking activities introduced several provisions constraining employees who may expose their company to the financial markets. These employees must comply with strict obligations in their activity to limit risk-taking.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Employees who qualify as risk-takers have enhanced responsibilities due to their influence on an

institution’s risk profile, including documentation requirements. Investment brokers advising private clients are also subject to strict rules and extensive documentation requirements, inter alia, on the investment advice provided and how the investment was tailored to the preferences, investment objectives, and other characteristics of the investor.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Under the SFO, ROs have enhanced responsibilities. They assume primary responsibility for compliance at a licensed corporation and are involved in supervising the regulated activities. A licensed corporation is required to appoint no less than two ROs to directly supervise the conduct of each regulated activity. Similarly, under the BO, registered institutions are required to appoint no less than two executive officers to be responsible for directly supervising the conduct of each regulated activity under the SFO. For each regulated activity, at least one RO must be available at all times to supervise the business and must be an executive director.

Under the IO, an RO of a licensed insurance agency or licensed insurance broker company has enhanced responsibilities. Responsible officers must use their best endeavours to ensure the agency or broker has established and maintains proper controls and procedures for securing compliance with the conduct requirements under the IO.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

There are no provisions that lay down enhanced responsibilities for a particular category of employees in the financial services sector.

However, the conduct rules for employees in the financial sector mandate them to adhere to higher standards of code of conduct and self-discipline. Their codes of conduct include inter alia anti-bribery obligations, prohibition from accepting gifts in an official capacity, making representations to media, making contribution to political parties, holding demonstration against public interest, exercising undue influence to secure appointments of family members at same organisation or granting banking facilities without permission. They are supposed to observe secrecy in general and specifically, maintain financial secrecy about stocks too.

This question was upheld in Harinarayan Seet v. Andhra Bank[1], wherein the Andhra Pradesh High Court recognised that banking sector employees are mandated to exhibit higher standards of honesty, integrity, devotion and diligence and any failure to discharge such duty with diligence may trigger dismissal.

---

[1] WP No. 23310 of 2011.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

No. The Irish regulatory regime does not apply enhanced responsibilities to any specific role at this time. The responsibilities will be set out as a matter of contract between the employer and the employee. When the IAF and SEAR are introduced in 2023, those performing senior executive functions will be required to have detailed statements of responsibility setting out the scope of their role. Business Standards, Common Conduct Standards, and Additional Conduct Standards will be introduced and will need to be reflected in employee contractual documents.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

All employees, including general managers and officers, must keep information and documents confidential and may only provide information to the competent authorities or authorised parties, with the prior express authorisation of the user or client.

Also, employees must:

- not stop internal committees from carrying out their functions;
- disclose to the financial entity all information regarding the use of illegal resources, or any act against goods, services, an individual's life, or physical or emotional integrity, the use of toxic substances, or terrorist acts, so that the financial entity may provide the SHCP with a report on the subject; and
- in insurance or bonding Institutes, not offer discounts, reduce premiums or grant different benefits than those outlined in the corresponding policy.

General managers and officers must provide reports and information to the board of directors and the corresponding authorities periodically. The general manager must also provide precise data and reports to assist the board of directors in making prudent decisions.

General managers must develop and present to the board of directors, for its approval, adequate policies for employment and the use of material and human resources, including restrictions on the use of goods, supervision and control mechanisms, and the application of resources to the company's activities consistent with their business purposes.

Insurance and bond companies will respond to the conduct of the general manager and officers, without prejudice to the civil and criminal liabilities that they may personally incur.

Also, if any conflict of interest exists or arises, general managers and officers must inform their employers immediately and suspend any activity within the scope of the contract that gives rise to the conflict until the matter is addressed.

Additionally, general managers and officers must verify the compliance of all individuals under their responsibility with all applicable legal provisions for financial services. These include: confidential obligations; the development of reports; informing their direct superior, officers, general manager or board of directors if there is a conflict of interest; informing the SCHP and Prosecutor's Office if there is an act, operation or service using illegal resources, or an act that may harm the company, or the health or wellbeing of an individual or the general public.

Specifically, general managers in brokerage houses must:

- design and carry out a communications policy regarding identifying contingencies;
- implement and distribute the continuity business plan within the brokerage house and establish training programmes;
- inform the CNBV of contingencies in any of the systems and channels for clients, authorities and

central securities counterparties;
- ensure that the continuity business plan is submitted for efficiency testing; and
- inform the CNBV in writing of the hiring or removal of the responsible party for internal audit functions.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman, Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

It is not explicitly stipulated in the law what increased responsibilities certain categories of employees have. The suitability and reliability test for directors and supervisors does show (implicitly) under the law that they have increased responsibilities.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Employees who are managers and executives or above generally have enhanced responsibilities, particularly regarding corporate governance.

MAS' Guidelines on Individual Accountability and Conduct provide that senior managers (ie, those principally responsible for day-to-day management) should be clearly identified, fit and proper for their roles, and responsible for the actions of employees and the conduct of the business under their purview. As for material risk personnel (ie, individuals who have the authority to make decisions or conduct activities that can significantly impact the FI's safety and soundness, or cause harm to a significant segment of the FI's customers or other stakeholders), they should be fit and proper for their roles, and subject to effective risk governance, appropriate incentive structures, and standards of conduct.

Subsidiary legislation or other MAS guidelines specific to the FI's sector also contain corporate governance regulations, prescribing responsibilities to the board of directors, nominating committees, or senior management.

MAS' Guidelines on Risk Management Practices – Board and Senior Management further states that an FI's board and senior management are responsible for governing risk within an institution. This includes setting up appropriate risk management systems, stress-testing programmes and business contingency plans.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

Specifically, employees holding executive, overall management, oversight or control functions in regulated companies are responsible for ensuring that the companies' organization ensures the continued compliance with applicable financial market laws. Swiss financial market laws do not have enhanced responsibilities for different employee categories. Instead, a person's fitness and propriety are assessed within the context of the specific requirements and functions of a given company, the scope of activities at that company, and the complexity of that company.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Every senior manager under the SMR has a “duty of responsibility” concerning the areas for which they are responsible. If a firm breaches a regulatory requirement, the senior manager responsible for the area relevant to the breach could be held accountable for the breach if they failed to take reasonable steps to prevent or stop the breach.

In addition, for most firms, the FCA requires that certain responsibilities – “prescribed responsibilities” – are allocated to appropriate senior managers. These responsibilities cover key conduct and prudential risks. They include, among others, responsibility for a firm’s performance of its obligations under the SMR; responsibility for a firm’s performance of its obligations under the CR; and responsibility for a firm’s obligations around conduct rules training and reporting. Firms must give careful thought to the best person to allocate each prescribed responsibility.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

While there are certain responsibilities for financial employees, such as being able to pass applicable certifications (see question 4) or registering with certain entities (see question 6), the American regulatory system does not include statutory delineations that create enhanced responsibilities for certain categories of employees.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

06. Is there a register of financial services employees that individuals will need to be listed on to undertake particular business activities? If so, what are the steps required for registration?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

There is no list of financial services employees as such, but the NBB will assess, among others, the experience and the credibility of the person when granting the “fit and proper” authorisation.

This concerns anyone in an executive position (i.e. members of the legal administrative body, the effective management and independent controllers) at a financial institution (see question 2).

However, it should be noted that financial services institutions approved by the NBB are listed on its website. Moreover, banking and investment services intermediaries must be registered and file through an

online application to the FSMA (www.fsma.be) documents attesting, inter alia, their knowledge, clean criminal record, and professional liability insurance.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

In principle, working in the financial services sector does not require registration. However, some companies, such as banks, must be licensed.

The following natural persons who are not employees of a legal person must be registered in the Single Register of Insurance, Banking and Finance Intermediaries:

- intermediaries in banking and payment services as defined in article L. 519-1 of the Monetary and Financial Code.
- financial investment advisors as defined in article L. 541-1 of the Monetary and Financial Code;
- tied agents as defined in article L. 545-1 of the Monetary and Financial Code and intermediaries in participatory financing.

To be registered, these intermediaries must meet four professional conditions: professional liability insurance, good repute, professional capacity and financial guarantees, which are verified by the unique register of insurance, banking and financial intermediaries when they are registered.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Yes. Investment firms must disclose the identities of employees providing investment advice, as well as sales representatives and compliance officers, to BaFin, which maintains a non-public database of registered employees (section 87 WpHG).

As a first step of the registration process, companies need to register on the MVP notification and publication platform. After successful registration, they can apply for admission to the employee and complaints register. Different notification procedures are available, depending on whether employees are notified for the first time or amendments are being made.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

The HKMA, SFC and IA each have a register for licensed employees to be listed on to undertake regulated activities:

- HKMA – the register of securities staff of authorised institutions is available on the HKMA's website[1]. For registration, the names and particulars of the relevant individuals are required to be submitted to the HKMA for inclusion on the HKMA Register.

- SFC – the register of licensed persons is available on the SFC's website[2]. For registration, individual applicants would need to submit an electronic application to the SFC through its online platform. When there is a change of employment, the licensed representative may apply for a transfer of accreditation through SFC's online platform within 180 days after the cessation of the previous employment. It takes approximately seven business days to process an application for transfer of accreditation to carry on the same types of regulated activity for which the licensed representative was licensed immediately before the cessation.
- IA – the register of licensed insurance intermediaries is available on the IA's website[3]. For registration, applicants can submit their licence applications to the IA by paper submission or electronic submission via an online portal.

---

[1] https://apps.hkma.gov.hk/eng/index.php

[2] https://apps.sfc.hk/publicregWeb/searchByName?locale=en

[3] https://iir.ia.org.hk/#/index

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

> There is no one-point register for financial services employees that individuals need to be listed on to undertake business activities. Such a register may vary depending upon the industry one is seeking and whether the post is that of a specialist or a generalist. Specialists like IT professionals, lawyers etc., working in financial services are bound by registration requirements mandated by the practice rules of their domains. For example, IT or ITES industry professionals may register themselves with the "National Skills Registry"[1], an initiative of the trade body NASSCOM. This registry maintains a central database of their qualifications, experiences and demographic information. NASSCOM also runs a BFSI Sectoral Skill Council (BFSI SSC) to cater to the financial services sector. The National Institute of Securities Market (NISM) Skills Registry is another similar initiative by the NISM.

[1] FAQs on Understanding NSR, available at <https://nationalskillsregistry.com/faq-understanding-nsr.htm>

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

No.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

Under the FLL, all employees must be registered with the Social Security Mexican Institute (IMSS) to receive social security benefits.

Except for stock operators or employees that are granted proxies in brokerage houses, in financial entities employees are not required to be registered other than with the IMSS.

To obtain the authorisation of the CNBV to act as a stock operator or representative within a brokerage house, an individual must:

- pass the technical quality certification exams, and comply with the specific requirements outlined in the internal regulations of the stock market in which the individual intends to participate;
- prove before the regulatory body that he or she has a satisfactory credit record and is in good standing; and
- file before the regulatory body a writ of a brokerage house, credit institution, or the operating company of investment companies and retirement funds managers, establishing their wish to hire the individual as soon as he or she obtains an authorisation.

Within five days, the self-regulated body must file an application with the CNBV. They will then have 20 calendar days to issue the corresponding authorisation.

Stock operators and representatives, once authorised and provided with powers of attorney, must be registered before the Mexican Association of Stock Brokers (AMIB).

Brokerage houses must display, in a public place, a list of authorised proxies and stock operators, as well as on the website of the CNBV so this information may be verified.

Finally, financial entities must inform the CNBV, CNSF or CONSAR, as applicable, of the appointment and removal of general managers and officers within five calendar days for financial entities, or ten calendar days for controlling entities, brokerage houses, surety deposit institutions and compensation chambers. Also, a list of general managers and officers must be provided within the filing to operate as a financial entity.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman, Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

There is no register for employees. But a company (employer) must have a licence to provide financial services. To obtain a licence, a company must meet several requirements included in the Wft. DNB and the AFM issue the licence on behalf of the minister of finance. The company is then added to the register of licensed financial institutions (AFM register of financial service providers).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

The MAS keeps a register of appointed representatives conducting regulated activities under the Securities and Futures Act 2001 (see question 4) or providing financial advisory services under the Financial Advisers Act 2001. The register is updated based on an FI's notifications of appointment to the MAS, with

prerequisites applying to the appointment of such representatives (see question 4).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

There is no universal register of all financial services employees. Rather, different Swiss financial market laws provide for a registration requirement that may apply to individual financial service employees. Whether a particular financial market law, and, consequently, a registration requirement, applies to a financial services employee depends specifically on the regulatory status of the employing entity and the particular activity of that employee.

Also, client advisers of Swiss or foreign financial service providers (eg, investment advisers) may be required to register with the adviser register, unless an exemption applies. Client advisers are the natural persons who perform financial services on behalf of a financial service provider or in their own capacity as financial service providers. Client advisers are entered in the register of advisers if they prove that i) they have sufficient knowledge of the code of conduct set out in the financial services regulations and the necessary expertise required to perform their activities, ii) their employee has taken out professional indemnity insurance or that equivalent collateral exists, and iii) their employee is affiliated with a recognized Swiss ombudsman in their capacity as a financial service provider (if such affiliation duty exists).

Furthermore, “non-tied” insurance intermediaries (ie, persons who offer or conclude insurance contracts on behalf of insurance companies) are required to register with FINMA’s register of insurance companies. “Tied” insurance intermediaries can register voluntarily. To register, persons must inter alia prove that they have sufficient qualifications and hold professional indemnity insurance or provide an equivalent financial surety.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

The FCA maintains a public list of authorised firms and the activities for which each firm has permission. This list is known as the Financial Services Register. The register also includes a directory of certified and assessed persons working in financial services – this includes for each firm (as applicable) senior managers; certified staff; directors (executive and non-executive) who are not performing SMFs; and other individuals who are sole traders or appointed representatives.

Firms are responsible for keeping the directory up to date. Firms must report certain information to the FCA about persons included in the register and directory, including information on an individual's role, their workplace location, and the types of business they are qualified to undertake. The FCA provides guidance and Q&As to assist firms with navigating the register and directory.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*

at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

FINRA

Broker-dealers and Investment Advisors regulated by FINRA must file FINRA's Form U4 when registering associated persons with FINRA or transferring their registration to another broker-dealer. Broker-dealers must also create and implement written procedures to verify the facts disclosed by prospective employees on the U4.

"Associated persons" include employees of all levels involved with the investment and securities operations, including:

- partners;
- officers;
- directors;
- branch managers;
- department supervisors;
- investment bankers;
- brokers;
- financial consultants; and
- salespeople.

The U4 form requires disclosure of the associated person's background history, including any criminal convictions or civil actions, regulatory proceedings or sanctions, administrative proceedings, financial disclosures (such as bankruptcy), customer complaints, or arbitration awards.

SEC

Investment advisers must register with the SEC under the Advisers Act. They must submit Form ADV using the Investment Adviser Registration Depository (IARD), an internet-based filing system maintained by FINRA.

SEC-regulated entities require every prospective employee to complete a questionnaire disclosing their identifying information, employment history, and record of any disciplinary actions, denial or suspension of membership of registration, criminal record, or any record of civil action against that employee. FINRA form U4, if completed, fulfils the requirements of this Rule.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

07. Are there any specific rules relating to compensation payable to financial services employees in your jurisdiction, including, for example, limits on variable compensation, or provisions for deferral, malus and/or clawback of monies paid to employees?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

Specific rules apply to personnel whose professional activities have a significant impact on the company's risk profile (article 92, 2. Directive 2013/36/EU; article 67, Act of 25 April 2014), including:

- all members of the legal administrative body and senior management;
- staff members with supervisory responsibility for control functions or business units;
- employees who received significant remuneration during the previous year (ie, 500,000 EUR or more and equal to or greater than the average remuneration of members of the legal administrative body and senior management) and the employee performs the professional activity in a critical business unit and the nature of the activity is such that it has a significant impact on the risk profile of the business unit concerned.

Variable remuneration is capped at 50% of the fixed remuneration or 50,000 EUR, without exceeding the fixed remuneration, whichever is higher (article 1, Annex II, Act of 25 April 2014). Moreover, it is forbidden to have a guaranteed variable remuneration (article 5). 40% of variable remuneration is delayed for four to five years, with a minimum of five years for members of the legal administrative body and senior management. When the variable remuneration is very high, the percentage of the delayed variable remuneration is 60% (article 7).

The total variable remuneration will be significantly reduced if the company generates a reduced or negative financial return. This applies to variable remuneration not yet earned, variable remuneration earned but not yet paid, and variable remuneration that has already been paid. It occurs through malus or clawback schemes, in particular when the person has participated in practices that have resulted in significant losses, has not respected the “fit and proper” duties or has set up a specific mechanism for tax fraud (article 8).

A termination indemnity is considered a variable remuneration, except for a legal indemnity in lieu of notice or a non-compete indemnity (based on the calculation provided by the Employment Contracts Act). Furthermore, a termination indemnity higher than 12 months, or 18 months for a motivated decision from the remuneration committee, can only be granted subject to the approval of the first ordinary general meeting following the termination (articles 12 and 12/1).

For companies that benefit from government intervention, there is in principle no variable remuneration, except for the person recruited after the public intervention to carry on the restructuring. Moreover, the termination indemnity is capped at nine months, unless the legal indemnity in lieu of notice (based on seniority) is higher (articles 16 and 17).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

Under French law, several mechanisms regulate the compensation of employees in the financial services sector to limit risk-taking.

Concerning guaranteed variable remuneration (welcome bonus, recruitment bonus, etc) for new staff, establishments are not allowed to guarantee this beyond the first year of employment; it is said to be "exceptional" and can only be granted if the financial base is sufficiently sound and solid.

In addition, European Directive 2013/36 EU, UCITS V, of 26 June 2013 introduced a "clawback" mechanism that the legislature has transposed into French law. Thus, article L.511-84 of the Monetary and Financial Code provides that "the total amount of variable remuneration may, in whole or in part, be reduced or give rise to restitution when the person concerned has failed to comply with the rules laid down by the institution with regard to risk-taking, in particular because of his responsibility for actions that have led to significant losses for the institution or in the event of failure to comply with the obligations of good repute and competence".

In addition, and following the above-mentioned Directive 2013/36/EU (article 94) concerning the deferral of remuneration, the payment of variable remuneration should be made in part immediately and in part on a deferred basis.

Institutions are encouraged to implement a deferral schedule that properly aligns staff compensation with the institution's business, economic cycle, and risk profile, so that a sufficient portion of variable compensation can be adjusted to results through ex-post risk adjustments.

This schedule consists of the portion of variable compensation deferred, the length of the deferral period and the speed of vesting of the deferred compensation.

In the event of poor or negative performance by the institutions, leading to a reduction in the total amount of variable compensation, the payment of variable compensation may be subject to specific arrangements implemented by the institutions, as referred to in Directive 2013/36/EU.

In addition, article L.511-84-1 of the French Monetary and Financial Code specifies that the variable portion that may be reduced or even recovered as a penalty is excluded from the calculation of several indemnities in the event of dismissal, including the legal indemnity for dismissal.

Finally, following Law No. 2013-672 of 26 July 2013 on the separation and regulation of banking activities, the variable remuneration of managers and traders is capped, and cannot exceed the fixed part. In addition, a "say on pay" mechanism has been implemented (ie, the general meeting of shareholders must be consulted on the remuneration paid to executives and traders).

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Yes, there are specific sets of rules on remuneration in the financial services sector, varying in detail per sub-sector. Rules are particularly strict for material risk-takers of significant institutions in light of the increased risk profile of their activities for the entire organisation.

Variable and fixed remuneration must have an appropriate ratio to each other. For financial institutions, the ratio is appropriate if the variable remuneration both complies with an upper limit of 100% of the fixed remuneration (up to 200% maximum based on a shareholders' resolution) and provides an effective behavioural incentive. Further, variable remuneration may need to be spread over deferral periods. Depending on the sector, remuneration may have to be made subject to malus, holdback or clawback provisions in case specific risks materialise or the employee is found guilty of misconduct. Further, certain remuneration elements must be granted in instruments instead of cash payments, with restrictions around this element again varying by sub-sector.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

There are no specific mandatory rules relating to compensation payable to financial services employees in Hong Kong.

The HKMA has issued a Supervisory Policy Manual CG-5 "Guideline on a Sound Remuneration System". This focuses on providing a broad idea and introducing basic principles of how remuneration policies should be designed and implemented in the authorised institution, to encourage employee behaviour that supports the risk management framework, corporate values and long-term financial soundness of the authorised institution.

Under the Guideline, the elements of a sound remuneration system are as follows:

Governance

- Remuneration policy should be in line with objectives, business strategies and the long-term goals of the authorised institution.
- The remuneration arrangement for employees whose activities could have a material impact on the authorised institution's risk profile and financial soundness should support, but not undermine, the overall risk management approach.
- The Board of an authorised institution is ultimately responsible for overseeing the formulation and implementation of the remuneration policy.
- The establishment of a Board remuneration committee would assist the Board in discharging its responsibility for the design and operation of the authorised institution's remuneration system.
- Risk control personnel should have appropriate authority and involvement in the process of design and implementation of the authorised institution's remuneration policy.

Structure of remuneration

- Balance of fixed and variable remuneration should be determined with regard to the seniority, role, responsibilities and activities of their employees and the need to promote behaviour among employees that support the authorised institution's risk-management framework and long-term financial soundness.
- Variable remuneration should be paid in such a manner as to align an employee's incentive awards with long-term value creation and the time horizons of risk.
- Guaranteed minimum bonus to senior management or key personnel should be subject to the approval of the Board (or the Board's remuneration committee with the necessary delegated authority).

Measurement of performance for variable remuneration

- The award of variable remuneration should depend on the fulfilment of certain pre-determined and assessable performance criteria, which include both financial and non-financial factors.
- Size and allocation of variable remuneration should take into account the current and potential risks associated with the activities of employees, as well as the performance (overall performance of the relevant business units and the authorised institution as a whole as well as the contribution of individual employees to such performance).
- Judgement and common sense may be required during the process to arrive at a fair and appropriate remuneration decision. The rationale for the exercise of judgment and the outcomes should be recorded in writing.

Alignment of remuneration pay-outs to the time horizon of risks

- Deferment of variable remuneration is appropriate when the risks taken by the employee in question are harder to measure or will be realised over a longer timeframe.
- The award of deferred remuneration should be subject to a minimum vesting period and pre-defined vesting conditions in respect of future performance.
- Authorised institutions should seek undertakings from employees not to engage in personal hedging strategies or remuneration and liability-related insurance to hedge their exposures in respect of the unvested portion of their deferred remuneration.

Remuneration disclosure

- Authorised institutions should make remuneration disclosures at least annually. The disclosure should include the qualitative and quantitative information that the HKMA has set out in its annual remuneration disclosure.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

There are certain rules relating to compensation payable to financial services employees, such as those in the banking, mutual fund or asset management, and insurance industries.

The central bank of India, the RBI, deals with the compensation policy for all private-sector banks and foreign banks operating in India by requiring them to formulate their own compensation policy and annually reviewing it. Banks are not allowed to employ or continue the employment of any person whose remuneration is excessive in the RBI's opinion. For instance, the RBI lays down guidelines on the compensation of "Whole Time Directors ("WTD") / Chief Executive Officers / Material Risk Takers and Control Function Staff"[1], elaborate guidelines encompassing the governance of compensation and its alignment with prudent risk-taking, policies for risk control and compliance staff, the identification of "material risk takers", and disclosure and engagement by stakeholders. It even envisages deferred payments being subjected to malus or clawback arrangements if there was negative performance. For variable pay, it mandates banks to incorporate malus or clawback mechanisms and suggests they specify periods of malus or clawback application to cover at least deferral and retention periods.[2] It is pertinent to highlight that private sector and foreign banks in India must obtain regulatory approval[3] for the grant of remuneration to WTDs or CEOs.

The RBI also prescribes guidelines around compensation for key managerial personnel (KMP) and senior management in non-banking financial companies (NBFCs)[4]:

- NBFCs are mandated to form "Nomination and Remuneration Committees" (NRCs) as per Section 178 of the Companies Act, 2013, which will then be entrusted with framing, reviewing and implementing the compensation policy to be approved by the board of the company.
- The compensation must align with the risk related to the decision-making process. The compensation package can comprise both fixed and variable pay and may also be a mix of cash, equity or other forms, in line with projected risk factors.
- A bonus has no bearing on the performance of the individual. The bonus is guaranteed based on the fulfilment of certain criteria as may be specified in the compensation policy. A guaranteed bonus should neither be considered part of fixed pay nor variable pay and the same is not payable to KMP and senior management. However, a guaranteed bonus can be paid to new employees as part of a sign-on bonus whereby potential employees can be incentivised to join NBFCs.
- "Deferred compensation may be subject to malus/clawback arrangements." The compensation policy concerning malus or clawback must mandatorily apply for the period equal to at least the deferred retention period.

Despite the aforementioned guidelines being applicable from 1 April 2023, NBFCs must immediately begin aligning their internal procedures to comply with the mandatory guidelines above to assist the transition. Existing remuneration policies being followed by the NBFCs should be reviewed to make the necessary changes to be compliant with the above-mentioned policies.

When it comes to regulations on an "employee stock option plan" (ESOP) for financial services employees, regulators may impose industry-specific guidelines. For instance, as per the SEBI (Share Based Employee Benefits and Sweat Equity) Regulations, 2021[5], the employee stock option scheme should be drafted in a manner that no such employee violates SEBI (Insider Trading) Regulations, 1992 and SEBI (Prohibition of Fraudulent and Unfair Trade Practices relating to the Securities Market) Regulations, 1995. ESOPs issued to managerial staff and for non-cash consideration shall be treated as part of managerial remuneration. In another development, the RBI has directed that ESOPs should be at a fair value, shooting up costs and creating the cascading effect of replacing ESOPs with deferred bonus payments for senior managerial personnel.

---

[1] Guidelines on Compensation of Whole Time Directors/Chief Executive Officers/Material Risk Takers and Control Function staff, November 4, 2019, available at https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/notification/PDFs/NOTI898C120D41D0E3465B8552E5467EDD7A56.PDF

[2] Guidelines on Compensation of Whole Time Directors/Chief Executive Officers/Material Risk Takers and

Control Function staff, November 4, 2019, available at https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/notification/PDFs/NOTI898C120D41D0E3465B8552E5467EDD7A56.PDF

[3] Section 35B, Banking Regulation Act 1949.

[4] Guidelines on Compensation for Key Managerial Personnel (KMP) and Senior Management in non-banking financial companies (NBFCs), April 29, 2022, available at <https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/notification/PDFs/KMPNBFCS962EC76438C845A6846A5BD59BC7513D.PDF>

[5] Securities and Exchange Board of India (Share Based Employee Benefits and Sweat Equity) Regulations 2021, August 13, 2021, available at <https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/regulations/aug-2021/securities-and-exchange-board-of-india-share-based-employee-benefits-and-sweat-equity-regulations-2021_51889.html>

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

There are prescriptive, sector-specific requirements, which apply to the remuneration of specified categories of employees or directors, and which apply in the asset management, investment services, banking and insurance sectors.

Employers in these sectors are tasked with ensuring that the remuneration paid to material risk takers (individuals whose professional activities have a material impact on an RFSP's risk profile) or identified staff align with an RFSP risk profile.

There are detailed rules with technical guidance (emanating from EU law) specific to each sector, but at a high level they (to varying degrees) set out rules on variable remuneration composition, ratios or other metrics to compare variable to fixed remuneration to ensure it is appropriate; malus requirements, which would allow the RFSP to cancel or reduce the employee's variable remuneration before it is paid out; and clawback provisions which allow RFSPs to recover variable remuneration after it has been awarded.

The Central Bank's 2014 Guidelines on Variable Remuneration Arrangements for Sales Staff also emphasise the importance of remuneration structures to have sufficient deterrents built into them (such as malus and clawback mechanisms) to avoid undesired behaviour from sales staff in the banking, insurance and investment services sectors.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

Brokerage houses must implement a compensation system under the general provisions set forth by the CNBV. This system must include all compensation provided and must contain the responsibilities of the boards that implement the compensation schemes, ordinary and extraordinary compensation policies, and periodic reviews of payment policies. The board of directors must incorporate a special committee for compensation.

Under article 9 of the general provisions applicable to brokerage houses, account management fees may be paid to stock proxies provided that they comply entirely with the applicable laws in the exercise of their duties. Stock operators must not execute operations with the public or receive any remuneration or account management fees, except if, with the proxy’s authorisation, they execute orders of institutional investors in the brokerage house’s reception and allocation system.

Brokerage houses must not pay fees, commissions, and other remuneration of third parties that act as promoters, sellers, associates, independent commissioners, investment advisors or any similar roles. This also applies to proxies of the investor client without being proxies of the brokerage house, or those who have a conflict of interest to receive fees, commissions, or any other remuneration from the investor client.

If there is a critical event, such as a control measure, the CNBV may order the brokerage house to suspend the payment of extraordinary compensation and bonuses to the general manager and senior officers. This includes preventing the granting of new compensation until the matter is properly resolved. This should be included in employment contracts, to avoid labour-related disputes should the extraordinary measure of the CNBV is enacted.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman, Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

Yes, the government requires financial institutions to implement a restrained remuneration policy. This policy should counteract excessive variable pay and remuneration incentives.

There are strict rules regarding the payment of bonuses; strict conditions for severance payments; and variable remuneration being reclaimed under certain conditions (clawback clause). These rules are included in the Remuneration Policy of Financial Enterprises Act, which is part of the Wft.

Payment of bonuses

For employees of Dutch financial institutions, bonuses may not exceed 20% of the fixed annual salary, under article 1:121 Wft.

Severance pay

No severance pay shall be paid to employees of Dutch financial institutions in the following cases, as per article 1:125 paragraph 1 Wft:

- if someone leaves voluntarily, unless there is serious culpability on the part of the employer;
- in the event of seriously culpable acts or omissions in the performance of the function;
- to a daily policymaker if the company fails.

In addition, the severance payment for daily policymakers (directors) may not exceed one year's salary under article 1:125 paragraph 2 Wft.

Clawback clause

The clawback clause relates to reclaiming variable remuneration, such as an awarded bonus. Article 1:127 paragraph 3 Wft contains two situations in which the financial institution is obliged to reclaim the variable remuneration paid out to the employee: if the employee failed to meet appropriate standards of competence and proper conduct; or is responsible for conduct that has materially worsened the firm's position.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Disclosure requirements may apply depending on the employee's role. For example, with some exemptions, financial advisors are required to disclose to the client the remuneration that they receive or will receive for making any recommendations in respect of a particular investment product, or executing a purchase or sale contract relating to a designated investment product on their clients' behalf.

MAS' Guidelines on Corporate Governance (applicable to designated financial holding companies, banks, and some insurers) also requires the FI's board of directors to have a formal and transparent procedure for developing policies on and fixing the remuneration of directors, executives, and key management personnel. A separate remuneration committee made up of non-executive directors must be established to make the relevant recommendations. MAS expects compliance with these guidelines in a manner commensurate with the size, nature of activities and risk profile of the FI. Diverging from the guidelines is acceptable to the extent that FIs explicitly state and explain how their practices are consistent with the policy intent of the relevant principle.

Companies listed on the Singapore stock exchange have similar requirements under MAS' Code of Corporate Governance, and these also exist in subsidiary legislation applicable to the FI. As for all other non-exempt companies, director and employee remuneration will ordinarily have to be disclosed through publicly available financial statements, under applicable accounting standards.

Apart from the above, there are no strict limits on compensation or requirements to impose deferral, malus or clawback provisions. Employers may include such provisions in their contracts, but should be aware that the enforcement of such provisions may be subject to challenge.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

Swiss civil law provides for special rules that govern the compensation of current and former members of inter alia the board and executive committee (Ordinance against Excessive Compensation) of Swiss companies limited by shares that are listed on a Swiss or foreign stock exchange. In addition, there are disclosure provisions listed companies need to follow concerning remuneration under stock exchange regulations.

In addition to the above, FINMA has formulated ten principles regarding remuneration that banks, securities firms, financial groups and conglomerates, insurance companies, insurance groups and conglomerates are required to implement. The principles serve as minimum standards for the design, implementation and disclosure of remuneration schemes.

These schemes should not incentivise to take inappropriate risks and thereby potentially damage the stability of financial institutions.

One of the focal points of the principles is variable remuneration that depends on business performance and risk. In particular, all variable remuneration must have been earned by the company over the long term. Consequently, remuneration is dependent on performance, taking into account the sustainability of such performance as well as the risks. That said, FINMA's principles do not limit the amount of variable remuneration. However, FINMA aims to prevent the granting of high remuneration based on large risks and the generation of short-term, unsustainable earnings. Furthermore, persons who have significant responsibility relating to the risk or receive a high total remuneration, must receive a significant part of the variable remuneration on a deferred basis and consequently, in a way that is linked to the current risk. Under the FINMA principles, "clawback" and "malus" arrangements are permitted.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

The remuneration of financial services employees working at certain firms (such as banks, building societies, asset managers and investment firms) is heavily regulated. The relevant rules can be found in various FCA "Remuneration Codes" (each Code tailored to different firms) and also (for dual-regulated firms) in specific remuneration parts of the PRA Rulebook and directly applicable retained EU law.

The remuneration rules are complex and their application is dependent on each firm. The key principle of the rules, however, is that firms subject to them must ensure that their remuneration policies and practices are consistent with and promote sound and effective risk management.

Some elements of the rules apply to all staff, whereas others apply only to material risk-takers within a particular firm.

By way of a snapshot, the rules generally cover such matters as:

- the appropriate ratio between fixed pay and variable pay, to ensure that fixed pay is a sufficiently high proportion of total remuneration to allow for the possibility of paying no variable pay;
- the amount of any discretionary bonus pool, which should be based on profit, adjusted for current and future risks, and take into account the cost and quantity of the capital and liquidity required;
- performance-related bonuses, which should be assessed based on a variety of factors, including the performance of the individual, the relevant business unit and the overall results of the firm;
- restrictions on guaranteed variable pay and payments on termination of employment; and
- malus and clawback requirements.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Overtime

Financial services employees in the United States are commonly classified as administrative employees exempt from both minimum wage and overtime laws. To qualify for this administrative exception under the Fair Labor Standard Acts (FLSA) and often, applicable state law, an employee must:

- be compensated on a salary or fee basis at a rate at least equal to the minimum required threshold (at the time of writing set at $684 a week or $35,568 annually); and
- have a primary duty:
    - that is the performance of office or non-manual work directly related to the management or general business operations of the employer or the employer's customers; and
    - includes the exercise of discretion and independent judgment on significant matters.

Examples of employees qualifying for the administrative exemption are those whose duties include:

- collecting and analysing information regarding the customer's income, assets, investments or debts;
- determining which financial products best meet a customer's needs;
- advising customers regarding the pros and cons of various financial products; and
- marketing, servicing, or promoting financial products.

An employee whose sole duty is selling financial products does not qualify for the administrative exemption. United States courts are split on whether financial advisors are exempt.

Many states have a higher minimum annual salary threshold for the administrative exemption, including California ($1,240 a week, as of 1 January 2023) and New York ($1,125 a week for New York City and

Nassau, Suffolk, and Westchester counties and $990 a week for the remainder of the state. The remainder of the State increased to $1,064.25 a week on 31 December 2022).

California has an administrative exemption test, which also requires the employee to customarily and regularly exercise discretion and independent judgement, in addition to being primarily engaged in administrative duties. Employees that do not qualify as non-exempt under one of the exemptions must receive overtime pay under California law.

FLSA also exempts “highly compensated” employees. To qualify for this exemption, an employee must earn at least $107,432 in total annual compensation (not including discretionary bonuses), must perform office or non-manual work as part of their primary duty, and must customarily perform one or more exempt duties of an administrative, executive, or professional employee.

Bonuses

Discretionary bonuses can be for any amount and can be determined on quantitative factors (eg, employer profits) or subjective factors (eg, known performance indicators, performance, merit) and employers may condition an employee’s eligibility to receive a bonus on their active employment at the time when bonuses are paid.

Guaranteed bonuses are typically non-discretionary and set at a fixed number or percentage (eg, a percentage of the employee’s annual base salary or the employer’s profits). A guaranteed bonus (unlike a discretionary one) creates a contractual obligation and will be considered wages. Once a payment is considered a “wage,” employers generally cannot withhold, recover or claw back the bonus from an employee.

California requires non-discretionary bonuses to be included in a non-exempt employee’s regular rate for overtime calculation.

Certain compensation plans include “forgivable loans,” conditioning an employee’s obligation to repay on their continued employment with the new employer for a time. If the employee leaves or is fired for certain reasons before the full loan amount is forgiven, the unforgiven share, with interest, can become due and payable.

California generally prohibits employers from deducting any outstanding loan balances from an employee’s final paycheck without express permission in contemporaneous writing signed by the employee, both at the time the loan or advance was given and at separation.

Similarly, New York has extremely nuanced rules related to permissible deductions for employee benefits, which are limited (eg, authorised deductions and deductions for the benefit of the employee).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

08. Are there particular training requirements for employees in the financial services sector?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

To keep the “fit and proper” authorisation, the concerned persons must ensure that they follow the relevant training.

Regarding the prevention of money laundering, financial institutions must ensure that personnel whose function requires it is aware of the legislation, knows the internal policies, is aware of the internal reporting

procedure and receives special continuing education programmes (article 11, §1, Act of 18 September 2017).

At a sectoral level, JC Nos. 310 and 341 foresee five days of training per full-time equivalent employee.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

In general, "the employer shall ensure that employees are adapted to their workstation" and "shall ensure that their ability to hold a job is maintained, particularly with regard to changes in jobs, technologies and organizations". This general obligation is imposed on the employer if there is a change in the job description.

In addition, the FMA General Regulation requires all persons mentioned in article 325-24 of the Monetary and Financial Code, including investment service providers, salespersons, managers, and persons responsible for clearing financial instruments, to undergo annual training appropriate to their activity and experience.

Law 2016-1691 of 9 December 2016 on transparency, the fight against corruption and the modernisation of economic life also provides that in companies employing at least 500 people, or belonging to a group of companies whose parent company has its registered office in France and whose workforce includes at least 500 people, and whose revenue or consolidated revenue is more than €100 million, a training system must be set up for managers and staff most exposed to the risks of corruption and influence peddling.

Finally, as we have seen, some positions in the financial services industry may require specific training and certification.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Qualification requirements exist for specific roles (eg, traders), and employers must ensure they comply with them by only contracting employees with the required skills, certifications and experience. The expertise of employees providing investment advice, sales representation, and compliance advice must also be continuously maintained and regularly updated.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

SFC

Persons engaging in regulated activities are required to continuously update their knowledge and skills through continuous professional training (CPT). The “Guidelines on Continuous Professional Training” published by the SFC provides for the following CPT requirements:

- a minimum of 10 CPT hours a year for licensed representatives and relevant individuals; and
- a minimum of 12 CPT hours a year for responsible officers and executive officers (including 2 CPT hours on topics relating to regulatory compliance).

In addition, an individual should attend at least five CPT hours a year (out of the 10 hours for licensed representatives and relevant individuals and 12 hours for responsible officers and executive officers) on topics directly relevant to the regulated activities for which he or she is licensed at the time the CPT hours are undertaken.

HKMA

The HKMA has implemented the "Enhanced Competency Framework"(ECF) for banking practitioners. While the ECF is not a mandatory regime, banks are strongly encouraged to adopt it as the benchmark for enhancing the level of core competence and ongoing professional development of banking practitioners.

IA

Under the "Guideline on Continuing Professional Development for Licensed Insurance Intermediaries", licensed insurance intermediaries who are individuals are required to receive training through CPD to preserve their professional competence and standards in providing service to policyholders and potential policyholders.

The minimum number of CPD hours for individual licensees is 15 CPD hours for each assessment period, including a minimum of three compulsory CPD hours on "Ethics or Regulations" courses.

Financial services employees are also required to receive training on anti-money laundering and counter-financing of terrorism. New staff should be required to attend initial training as soon as possible after being hired or appointed. Apart from the initial training, refresher training should be provided regularly to ensure that staff are reminded of their responsibilities and are kept informed of new developments.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

Financial services employees usually undergo training once they are selected and onboarded.

Financial services sectors categorise employees as specialists and generalists. On one hand, those in charge of specialist roles are deployed in treasury, derivatives trading, IT, forex, risk management, service delivery groups, product roles, legal, etc., while on the other, the generalists are deployed in branches, administrative functions, finance, some areas of treasury, taxation, general management, operations, relationship or sales managing, etc. They should possess differentiated requisite academic qualifications with skill certifications (if any) or obtain competitive scores in recruitment tests.

As such, there are no legal requirements for prior training of employees in the financial services sector. There are various certificate courses, workshops and diplomas by financial institutions and agencies, which are recommended to be attended regularly to stay abreast of industry knowledge and to secure an edge in intra-organisational promotions.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*

at Maples Group

Yes. A CF employee, subject to the minimum competency regime, will be required to complete CPD training. Evidence of meeting that CPD requirement is also a factor in determining a person's fitness and probity. RFSPs must maintain records of CPD training provided to CFs to demonstrate compliance with the minimum competency regime.

Employers within the scope of the Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) Acts 2010 - 2021 (including RFSPs) are required to provide annual training to relevant staff and directors on its requirements and the RFSP must have procedures in place to comply with that legislation and associated guidance.

Depending on the RFSP's business, additional mandatory training may be needed annually, for example, on topics such as market abuse.

The designated person for responding to protected disclosures should be trained and competent in the identification and handling of protected disclosures.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

In terms of articles 132, 153-A to 153-X of the FLL, employers must provide employees with training so they can render their services and comply with the duties of their positions, and employees should receive such training under the plans and programmes formulated by mutual agreement of the employer and employees. Nevertheless, as indicated in previous questions, for employees to occupy certain positions, they must meet the requirements, and for brokerage houses proxies must be authorised to exercise their duties under their position within the brokerage houses.

According to article 117 bis 9 of the general provisions applicable to brokerage houses, general managers are responsible for implementing, maintaining and distributing the continuity plan of the business within the brokerage house. Therefore, the general manager must establish a training programme outlining the actions to be carried out if an operation contingency arises.

On the other hand, the AMIB provides courses and training for interested individuals to obtain the necessary skills and capacity to perform the activities of proxies in brokerage houses, and thereafter, to obtain authorisation from the AMIB and CNBV to act and perform the corresponding duties of the position.

A Finance Educational Committee has been created by several financial institutions, authorities, and the Bank of Mexico and is presided over by the SHCP. This committee is in charge of, among other things, defining a finance educational policy; preparing a national strategy for financial education and guidelines; and identifying new work areas and proposing new actions and programmes in financial education.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman , Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

Please see question 3.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Examinations (see question 4) and continuing education requirements apply to certain employees in the capital markets services, financial advice and insurance sectors.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

In general, regulated companies (eg, banks, insurance companies or asset managers) are required to set up and maintain an organisation that ensures compliance with applicable financial market laws. Given the organisational measures and depending on the regulatory status of the employing entity and the position and activities of the financial services employee, there are training requirements.

While Swiss financial market regulations do not have an exhaustive list of exact training requirements, FINMA requires, among others, that the highest bodies of supervised companies (eg, executives of board members of banks, securities firms, insurance and reinsurance companies, fund management companies, managers of collective assets or asset managers) can fulfil the requirements of the so-called fit and proper test. These requirements extend to all character-related and professional elements that enable an officeholder to manage a supervised company in compliance with applicable laws. Part of the professional elements are relevant work experience and education. In addition, persons holding key positions (eg, compliance and risk officers and their deputies) are required to demonstrate sufficient know-how because of their work experience and education.

That said, the Swiss financial services and insurance supervisory regulations provide for more concrete training requirements. In particular, client advisers of Swiss and foreign financial service providers (eg, investment advisers) may need to demonstrate that they have sufficient knowledge of the code of conduct rules of the Swiss financial services regulation and the necessary expertise required to perform their activities. In addition, insurance intermediaries registered with FINMA's insurance intermediary register have to prove that they have undergone sufficient education and have sufficient qualifications. On its website, FINMA has published a list of different educational Swiss and foreign qualifications that it deems to be sufficient.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

The PRA and FCA training and competence regimes set the minimum standards that must be achieved by individuals working in the financial services industry. These regimes aim to ensure that authorised firms have arrangements in place to satisfy themselves that their employees are competent.

All FSMA-authorised firms are required to have adequately trained and competent senior management and employees. The training and competence requirements include:

- Threshold conditions on suitability – All firms must show that persons connected with the firm are fit and proper, taking into account all the circumstances. When assessing the suitability threshold of an

employee, the FCA and the PRA will consider:
    - the nature of the regulated activity the firm carries on or is seeking to carry on;
    - the need to ensure that the firm's affairs are conducted soundly and prudently;
    - the need to ensure that the firm's affairs are conducted appropriately, considering especially the interests of consumers and the integrity of the UK financial system; and
    - whether those who manage the firm's affairs have adequate skills and experience and act with probity.

- FCA Principles for Businesses or PRA Fundamental Rules – These rules lay out the parameters of the "fit and proper" standard set for firms in the threshold condition on suitability, and require firms to undertake the following:
    - recruit staff in sufficient numbers;
    - provide employees with appropriate training, with competence assessed continuously;
    - make proper arrangements for employees involved with carrying on regulated activities to achieve, maintain and enhance competence; and
    - train employees to pay due regard to the interests of a firm's customers and treat them fairly.

- Competent employees rule in chapters 3 and 5 of the Senior Management Arrangement Systems and Controls Sourcebook – This is the main employee competence requirement in the training and competence regime under the FSMA and applies to individuals engaged in a regulated activity in UK-regulated firms. The application of this rule can be complex and dependent upon the firm and the activities it undertakes, but in general, it provides that firms must employ personnel with the skills, knowledge and expertise necessary for the discharge of the responsibilities allocated to them.

- Detailed training and competence requirements in the FCA's training and competence handbook (TC) – The TC rules are designed to supplement the competent employees rule, especially concerning retail activities carried on by firms. Among others, these rules include the following:
    - rules on assessing and maintaining competence;
    - supervision of employees who have not yet been assessed as competent;
    - appropriate qualifications; and
    - recordkeeping and reporting for firms within its scope, including how a firm assessed its employees as competent, and how it has ensured that its employees remain competent.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

All employees in some states, including California and New York, are required to receive periodic sexual harassment training. Additionally, employees may be required to pass certain skills tests before registering with regulators or engage in continuing education programmes (most notably FINRA, see question 4).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

09. Is there a particular code of conduct and/or are there other regulations regarding standards of behaviour that financial services employees are expected to adhere to?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

The NBB has issued a Fit & Proper Handbook, which was last updated on 22 December 2022.

Besides, Febelfin has adopted codes of conduct and regulations for relations between financial institutions and their customers, which can be considered standard practice in the sector.

Each financial institution may also provide more concrete or more precise quality standards for its clientele.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

First of all, various obligations discussed so far have the effect of forcing, if they were not already there, employees in the financial services sector to behave in an honourable manner and respect prudential rules.

In addition, Law 2016-1691 of 9 December 2016 on transparency, the fight against corruption and the modernisation of economic life states in article 17 that in certain large companies, managers must take all measures to prevent and detect the commission, in France or abroad, of acts of corruption or influence peddling.

This means setting up a code of conduct that will be integrated into the internal regulations, in compliance with the procedure for consulting employee representatives provided for in article L. 1321-4 of the French Labour Code.

This code of conduct involves the implementation of measures and procedures that will be monitored by the French Anti-Corruption Agency. In particular, the code of conduct must define and provide examples of the various types of behaviour to be prohibited as likely to constitute corruption or influence peddling. It must also establish an evaluation and control system, as well as a disciplinary system, enabling the company's employees to be sanctioned if there is a violation of the company's code of conduct.

In addition to this code of conduct, which is part of the internal regulations, almost all players in the financial services sector have put in place charters and policies to protect confidential information and regulate risky activities.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Employees must conduct themselves in line with their respective roles and responsibilities, which in client-facing roles indirectly leads to them being subject to specific behavioural obligations (such as having to adhere to certain procedures and documentation obligations before selling a service or product to a client). In addition, company policies required by the regulator (eg, on sustainability or equal treatment) often include behavioural standards.

In addition, there are voluntary standards adopted by various professional associations, such as the Code of Conduct of the Federal Association of Financial Services, which apply to their respective members.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

SFC

Under the SFO, licensed representatives and ROs are required to be "a fit and proper person" to carry on the regulated activities and must adhere to the standards of behaviour set out in the "Code of Conduct for Persons Licensed by or Registered with the Securities and Futures Commission". Other relevant guidelines regarding standards of behaviour include:

- "Fit and Proper Guidelines", which set out the general expectations of the SFC of what is necessary to satisfy the licensing or registration requirements that a person is fit and proper.
- "Guidelines on Competence", which set out the competence requirements and its objective to ensure a person is equipped with the necessary technical skills and professional expertise to be "fit", and is aware of the relevant ethical standards and regulatory knowledge to be "proper" in carrying on any regulated activities.

HKMA

Under the BO, employees of an authorised institution that carry on regulated activities under the SFO are required to be fit and proper. In addition, the HKMA needs to be satisfied that the chief executive, directors, controllers and executive officers of the authorised institutions are fit and proper. Other relevant guidelines regarding standards of behaviour include:

- "Code of Banking Practice", which is to be observed by authorised institutions in dealing with and providing services to their customers.
- Supervisory Policy Manual CG – 2 "Systems of Control for Appointment of Managers", which sets out the system of control that authorised institutions should have for ensuring the fitness and propriety of individuals appointed as managers.

IA

The conduct requirements for licensed insurance agents and brokers are set out in Division 4 of the IO. Other relevant codes and guidelines include:

- "Code of Conduct for Licensed Insurance Agents", which sets out the fundamental principles of professional conduct that buyers of insurance are entitled to expect in their dealings with licensed insurance agents.
- "Code of Conduct for Licensed Insurance Brokers", which sets out the fundamental principles of professional conduct that buyers of insurance are entitled to expect in their dealings with licensed insurance brokers.
- "Guideline on 'Fit and Proper' Criteria under the Insurance Ordinance"

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

Financial services regulators like the RBI, SEBI and Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) regulate employees through prescribed frameworks and their organisation-specific rules.

The obligations for the conduct of employees in financial services are determined depending upon the type of organisation: public sector banks (majorly owned by the state) or private banks; sectors (banking, non-banking, insurance, capital market); regions (different local laws); and level of seniority (liability of officers or manager is different from regular employees or clerical staff). Though there are no statutory standards, judicial pronouncements have set a higher threshold of duty of care for employees in the financial services sectors. The Andhra Pradesh High Court in Harinarayan Seet v Andhra Bank[1] held dismissal of service as a proportionate punishment for dereliction of duty by banking employees, which would have otherwise attracted a lesser penalty for employees in less-critical sectors.

In terms of general labour legislation also applicable to financial services employees, financial services organisations fall under the definition of "commercial establishments", whose definition has been laid down by the Shops & Commercial Establishments Act (state level). They provide certain conduct-specific obligations, for example, a prohibition against discrimination, suspension or dismissal for misconduct.

The other major piece of labour legislation that lays down standards of conduct is the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 (IESOA). However, its applicability to commercial establishments or to a specific industry is dependent upon state-wide notifications. For example, the states of Haryana and Karnataka have notified the application of the IESOA to commercial establishments with a minimum of 50 employees. This implies that financial services institutions in these states, meeting the above criteria, are bound to comply with the IESOA. Upon the application of the IESOA, the establishments are required to submit to the certifying officer draft standing orders proposed for their establishment, which should cover acceptable standards for employees.

In the banking sector, employees of public-sector banks, private-sector banks and foreign banks are bound by the obligations laid down by the RBI and their organisation rules. The provisions of these rules, which are different from other industries, are stricter: observance of secrecy; prohibition against using influence to secure employment for family members; bypassing regular compliance checks for availing of banking facilities; prohibition against media contributions, participating in politics or standing for election; demonstrations prejudicial to the public interest; and acceptance of gifts in an official capacity.

In terms of financial propriety, employees must not indulge in speculation in stocks and shares, but must avoid personal insolvency and even disclose their moveable and immoveable assets. During employment, they are also forbidden from engaging in any outside employment (stipendiary or honorary) without the prior approval of the organisation. Higher managerial employees are subject to additional scrutiny. Those belonging to public sector enterprises are brought within the jurisdiction of the Central Vigilance Commission, the apex vigilance institution. It is due to the gravity of corruption cases that the senior management of private sector banks is also included within the ambit of "public servant", which usually includes employees of only public sector organisations. This was upheld by the Supreme Court of India in the case of Central Bureau of Investigation v Ramesh Gelli[2]. The organisations in the insurance and capital markets sectors also have similar institution-wide conduct and disciplinary rules.

Directors of organisations in the financial services sector may also be subject to duties stated in Schedule IV of the Companies Act 2013 and the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations 2015.

When it comes to outsourcing activities, financial institutions formulate a board-approved "Code of Conduct" as part of the "Outsourcing Agreement", which is to be complied with by the outsourced service providers and their employees.[3]

Though financial services employees are held to a higher set of moral standards, their right to participate in trade union actions for voicing their concerns has been upheld time and again. Recently, the Madras High Court in the case of D Thomas Franco Rajendra Dev v The Disciplinary Authority and Circle Development Officer and State Bank of India[4] observed bank officers' right to unionise. However, the right of bank employees to go on a strike gets limited since banks and other financial institutions are declared as 'Public Utility Services' ("PUS"). Accordingly, while they are not barred from going on strike, they must adhere to certain pre-requisites namely service of notice of at least 6 weeks before going on a strike, prohibition of any strike within 14 days from date of service of above notice, prohibition of going on a strike before the expiry of the date of that strike and non-authorization of any strike during the pendency of any conciliation proceedings or 7 days after the conclusion of such a proceeding. Upon being declared a PUS, the concerned

industry must adhere to these conditions failing which the strikes would be declared as illegal.

[1] WP No. 23310 of 2011.

[2] (2016) 3 SCC 788.

[3]Directions on Managing Risks and Code of Conduct in Outsourcing of Financial Services by NBFCs, November 9, 2017, available at <https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/Notification/PDFs/NT87_091117658624E4F2D041A699F73068D55BF6C5.PDF >

[4] W.A. No. 432 of 2013 and W.P. No. 16746 of 2013

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

Yes there are. They are:

- the fitness and probity regime;
- the minimum competency regime; and
- with effect from next year, the new IAF (see question 1).

There are also sector-specific conduct of business requirements in legislation and codes, including the Consumer Protection Code 2012, the MiFID II regime, and other regulatory requirements applicable to RFSPs based on their industry sector that apply and deal with matters such as:

- error handling,
- disclosures to customers,
- acting in the best interests of customers; and
- complaints handling.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

Financial entities must establish, implement and apply, among other things:

- confidentiality policies;
- policies for internal control to confirm the acts, operations and services of individuals are carried out in an ethical, professional and legal manner;
- policies regarding the prevention of acts and operations with illegal resources;
- policies to prevent psychological risk factors;
- policies that allow the identification, follow-up and control of risks inherent to operations; and
- conflict of interest resolution policies.

Under the general provisions applicable to operations with securities carried out by members of the board of directors, officers and employees of financial entities and other obligated parties, the principles that must be complied with are the following:

- transparency in operations;
- equal opportunity before all other market participants in sureties operations;

- compliance with fair stock market customs and practices;
- absence of a conflict of interest; and
- prevention of improper behaviour that may have as its origin the use of privileged or confidential information.

Policies, manuals and codes must also include guidelines for the resolution of potential conflicts of interest, as well as the mechanisms to avoid the existence of such conflicts.

Financial entities must inform the CNBV annually, within 15 days, a report on the conduct, operations, and services of individuals. If any act or operation with illegal resources is detected, financial entities must inform the authorities immediately, including the CNBV and the SHCP.

The board of directors of operating companies of investment funds, distribution entities, and stock appraisers of investment funds must approve a code of conduct, which must consider:

- activities in compliance with the applicable laws;
- internal control rules for the compliance of provisions and policies contained in the code, including investment provisions issued by the CNBV;
- security mechanisms to ensure confidential information is used solely for authorised purposes and security measures to protect clients' files from fraud, robbery or misuse;
- an obligation on the general manager, officers and employees to conduct themselves in a fair, honest and professional manner in the performance of their activities; and
- a prohibition on officers, employees and proxies executing any type of operation with the public that contravenes market practices.

Members of the board of directors, the general manager, officers, regulatory comptrollers, proxies, and other employees must immediately report the existence of illegal or unethical conduct or activity to the regulatory comptroller.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman, Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

A certain level of integrity is expected of employees in the financial industry. The banking oath contains certain integrity standards and values that are central to the financial sector and which the employer may require employees to observe. There are also Codes of Conduct for each industry that elaborate on the applicable standards and values. For example, standards and values for the banking industry are further elaborated upon in the Banking Industry Code of Conduct.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

MAS' Guidelines on Individual Accountability and Conduct emphasises the importance of reinforcing standards of proper conduct among all employees, while employees conducting regulated activities must remain fit and proper for their roles under MAS' Guidelines on Fit and Proper Criteria. Guidelines, codes, and legislation relating to corporate governance and risk management (eg, those mentioned in questions 5 and 6) should also be considered.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

Depending on the regulatory status of the employing entity and, as the case may be, on the exact activities of a financial service employee, a financial service employee needs to adhere to certain code of conduct rules (eg, regarding transparency and care, documentation and accountability).

Supervised companies in Switzerland are, in principle, required to set up an organisation that ensures the compliance with Swiss financial market laws and its statutory code of conduct rules. For this purpose, among others, companies are required to issue regulations that their employees must follow.

Under Swiss financial market laws, code of conduct rules are generally based on abstract statutory rules and concretized by recognised privately organised associations.

In particular, several professional organisations (eg, the Swiss Bankers Association or the Asset Management Association) and self-regulated organisations issue their own set of code of conduct rules that members are required to follow.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Yes. Both the FCA and PRA have established their own high-level required standards of conduct known as the Conduct Rules. The FCA's conduct rules are set out in the FCA's Code of Conduct sourcebook. The PRA's conduct rules are set out in the PRA Rulebook (and different versions apply to different types of PRA-regulated firms).

The FCA's conduct rules apply to most individuals working at an SM&CR firm. The PRA's conduct rules apply to more limited individuals working at dual-regulated SM&CR firms: senior managers (approved by the PRA or FCA); individuals within the PRA's certification regime; key function holders; and non-executive directors.

The Conduct Rules apply to conduct relating to the carrying out of an individual's role. They do not extend to conduct within an individual's private life, provided that the conduct is unrelated to the activities they carry out for their firm. Nevertheless, an individual's behaviour outside of work can still be relevant to the separate consideration of their fitness and propriety.

There are two tiers of Conduct Rules: a first tier of rules applicable to all individuals subject to the Conduct Rules; and a second tier applicable to senior managers only.

The rules of the first tier are:

- Rule 1 – You must act with integrity.
- Rule 2 – You must act with due skill, care and diligence.
- Rule 3 – You must be open and cooperative with the FCA, PRA and other regulators.
- Rule 4 – You must pay due regard to the interests of the customer and treat them fairly.
- Rule 5 – You must observe proper standards of market conduct.

The rules of the second tier (applicable to senior managers) are:

- SC1 – You must take reasonable steps to ensure that the business of the firm for which you are responsible is controlled effectively.
- SC2 – You must take reasonable steps to ensure that the business of the firm for which you are responsible complies with the relevant requirements and standards of the regulatory system.

- SC3 – You must take reasonable steps to ensure that any delegation of your responsibilities is to an appropriate person and that you oversee the discharge of the delegated responsibility effectively.
- SC4 – You must disclose appropriately any information for which the FCA or PRA would reasonably expect notice.
- SC5 (certain dual-regulated firms only) – When exercising your responsibilities, you must pay due regard to the interests of current and potential future policyholders in ensuring the provision by the firm of an appropriate degree of protection for their insured benefits.

Firms must notify the FCA if they take disciplinary action against an individual for a breach of the Conduct Rules.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Employees in some states, including California and New York, are required to receive periodic sexual harassment training.

Employers are also required to implement anti-discrimination and anti-harassment policies that:

- contain information about where and how employees can report improper conduct;
- prohibit retaliation for reporting or opposing improper conduct, or participating in an investigation regarding misconduct; and
- comply with state and local provisions that require employer policies to contain certain provisions (eg, New York, Los Angeles and San Francisco).

New York law prohibits employers from mandating confidentiality or non-disclosure provisions when settling sexual harassment claims (though it allows such provisions where it is the employee's preference to include them).

California law prohibits employers from mandating confidentiality or non-disclosure provisions in employment agreements, settlement agreements, and separation agreements that are designed to restrict an employee's ability to disclose information about unlawful acts in the workplace, including information pertaining to harassment or discrimination or any other conduct the employee has reason to believe is unlawful.

FINRA and the SEC both have requirements and recommendations for social media use.

FINRA requires that broker-dealers retain records of social media communications related to the broker-dealer's business made using social media sites and adopt policies and procedures designed to ensure that their employees who use social media sites for business purposes are appropriately supervised and trained, and do not present an undue risk to investors.

The SEC similarly requires that social media use complies with all federal security laws, including antifraud, compliance, and recordkeeping provisions.

Banking regulators provide guidance stating that each financial institution is expected to carry out an appropriate risk assessment that takes social media activities into consideration.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

10. Are there any circumstances in which notifications relating to the employee or their

conduct will need to be made to local or international regulators?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

If a new element occurs that can influence one or more of the five criteria assessing the suitability of a person for the “fit and proper” authorisation (see question 2), the financial institution must file the adequate form with the NBB.

Notification to the NBB is also required in the event of termination or reappointment.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

In principle, the relationship between companies and employees in the financial services sector is private. As such, companies do not have to communicate confidential information about their employees to third parties, as this would constitute an infringement of their fundamental freedoms. However, in certain cases, employers must alert the competent authorities in the event of behaviour or "suspicions" of behaviour by one of their employees that is contrary to the law.

Thus, the Monetary and Financial Code provides that companies in the financial services sector, referred to in article L.561-2 of the code, must report to the national financial intelligence unit (Tracfin) all sums or transactions that they suspect to be the result of an offence punishable by a prison sentence of more than one year, or related to the financing of terrorism or tax evasion. This declaration may be made in respect of any employee of one of these companies.

In addition, when facts likely to constitute violations of the anti-corruption code of conduct or to qualify as corruption or influence peddling are brought to the attention of the company and its managers, an internal investigation must be conducted (article 17 of Law No. 2016-1691 of 9 December 2016 on transparency). If the investigation confirms the suspicions, the employer must, on the one hand, sanction the employee, but also inform the prosecuting authority of the facts.

In smaller companies, the employer will also be able to report to the prosecution authorities any behaviour that could lead to criminal sanctions.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Yes. Under section 87 WpHG, investment firms must notify BaFin of any changes regarding employees providing investment advice, sales representation, and compliance advice. This includes, for example, personal data or a change of the responsible sales representative, but also the termination of the activity.

Changes must be communicated to BaFin within one month.

Further, investment firms must notify BaFin as soon as a substantial customer complaint is made against one or more employees based on his or her activities in connection with investment advice. This applies, for example, to allegations of incorrect investment advice. The notification to BaFin must be submitted within six weeks of receipt of the complaint. Details on the content of the notification are governed by section 8 paragraph 4 of the Securities Trading Act Employee Notification Ordinance.

There are further notification obligations if there are doubts about an employee‘s reliability under the relevant statutory rules. For example, in their initial declaration of reliability under section 24 paragraph 1 No. 1 KWG and section 5b Ordinance on Notifications and Submission of Documents under the KWG, future managing directors and persons acting as sole representatives of credit institutions and financial services institutions must immediately report to BaFin in writing any subsequent changes that may be relevant to their reliability. This applies to all facts that were also relevant for the initial reliability assessment (eg, because an employee was convicted of certain financial crimes). In addition, BaFin must also receive notifications of preliminary proceedings, indictments and convictions of certain financial sector employees according to the Order on Notifications in Criminal Matters.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo , Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

SFC – Self-reporting obligation

An SFC-licensed intermediary is subject to the self-reporting obligation under paragraph 12.5 of the “Code of Conduct for Persons Licensed by or Registered with the Securities and Futures Commission”. A licensed or registered person should report to the SFC immediately upon the occurrence of any material breach, infringement or non-compliance with any laws, rules regulations, and codes administered or issued by the SFC, exchange or clearing house of which it is a member or participant of, and the requirement of any regulatory authority applicable to that intermediary. This encompasses both actual and suspected breaches, infringements or non-compliance. In the report, the particulars of the actual or suspected breach, infringement or non-compliance, and relevant information and documents must be included to fulfil the obligation.

The same is to be reported by the registered institutions to the HKMA. The HKMA also requires authorised institutions to submit an incident report on the same day of discovering the incident.

SFC - Internal investigation disclosure obligation

In addition, a licensed corporation is required to provide the SFC with information about whether a licensed individual who ceases to be accredited to it (outgoing employee) was under any investigation commenced by the licensed corporation within six months preceding his or her cessation of accreditation. If the internal investigation commences after the notification of cessation of accreditation, the licensed corporation should also notify the SFC as soon as practicable. In addition, even if a firm has completed its investigation and made no negative findings against an outgoing employee, the firm will still be required to notify the SFC of the investigation.

The SFC expects licensed corporations to proactively disclose information about all investigative actions and the following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of investigations involving an outgoing employee that a licensed corporation should disclose to the SFC:

- investigations about a suspected breach or breach of applicable laws, rules and regulations;
- investigations about a suspected breach or breach of the licensed corporation's internal policies or procedures;
- investigations about misconduct that are likely to give rise to concerns about the fitness and

properness of the outgoing employee;
- investigations about any matter that may have an adverse market or client impact; and
- investigations about any matter potentially involving fraud, dishonesty and misfeasance.

HKMA – Reporting incidents to HKMA

According to the "Incident Response and Management Procedures" published by the HKMA, once an authorised institution has become aware that a significant incident has occurred, the authorised institution concerned should notify the HKMA immediately and provide it with whatever information is available at the time. An authorised institution should not wait until it has rectified the problem before reporting the incident to the HKMA.

According to the Supervisory Policy Manual SB-1 "Supervision of Regulated Activities of SFC-Registered Authorized Institutions", to be in line with the reporting requirements imposed by the SFC on licensed representatives, authorised institutions will be required to notify the HKMA in writing within seven business days upon knowledge of the occurrence of certain information (including any subsequent changes) of the relevant individuals. The required information is on whether or not the person is or has been:

- convicted of or charged with any criminal offence (other than a minor offence) in Hong Kong or elsewhere;
- subject to any disciplinary action, or investigation by a regulatory body or criminal investigatory body (as the case may be) in Hong Kong or elsewhere;
- subject to, or involved in the management of a corporation or business that has been or is subject to, any investigation by a criminal investigatory body or any regulatory body in Hong Kong or elsewhere concerning offences involving fraud or dishonesty;
- engaged in any judicial or other proceedings, whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere, that is material or relevant to the fitness and propriety of the individual; or
- bankrupt or aware of the existence of any matters that might render him insolvent or lead to the appointment of a receiver of his property under the Bankruptcy Ordinance.

HKMA – Guidance Note on Cooperation with HKMA Investigations

Under the "Guidance Note on Cooperation with the HKMA in Investigations and Enforcement Proceedings", the HKMA encourages and recognises the cooperation of authorised institutions, banks and their staff in investigations and enforcement proceedings. Under this Guidance Note, cooperation includes early and voluntary reporting of any suspected breach or misconduct, taking a proactive approach to assist the HKMA's investigation, and making timely arrangements to provide evidence and information.

IA – Self-reporting obligation

Under "the Code of Conduct for Licensed Insurance Agents/Brokers", there is a self-reporting obligation by licensed insurance agencies or brokerages to the IA. A licensed insurance agency or brokerage is required to have proper controls and procedures to ensure the following incidents are reported to the IA as soon as is reasonably practicable:

- a disciplinary action taken by the HKMA, the SFC or the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Authority;
- a criminal conviction (other than a minor offence) by any court in Hong Kong or elsewhere;
- any material breaches of requirements under the IO or any rules, regulations, codes or guidelines administered or issued by the IA; and
- any material incidents which happen to the agency or brokerage.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

The RBI requires banks to conduct an annual review of fraud committed and provide a note of the total

number to the board of directors or the local advisory board. These reports are not to be sent to the RBI but are to be preserved for verification by the RBI's inspecting officers[1]. Necessary disclosures may also need to be made to SEBI under some of its regulations.

Publicly listed financial services companies may be required to make necessary disclosures, including to the stock exchanges and their auditors, in case of workplace fraud.

[1]Master Directions on Frauds – Classification and Reporting by commercial banks and select FIs (Updated as on July 03, 2017), available at <https://rbi.org.in/scripts/BS_ViewMasDirections.aspx?id=10477>

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

The Central Bank expects RFSPs to be open and transparent in their engagement, including concerning the fitness and probity regime. The F&P Guidance provides that, where an RFSP develops concerns regarding the fitness and probity of a person performing a CF role, the Central Bank should be notified of such concerns without delay. A Dear CEO Letter to RFSPs on 8 April 2019 goes further and provides a non-exhaustive list of actions that must be notified to the Central Bank, which include: issuing a formal written warning; suspension; dismissal; or a reduction of remuneration of a CF or PCF.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

Pursuant to the Federal Law for the Prevention and Identity of Transactions with Illegally Obtained Resources, all acts carried out by financial entities are considered a vulnerable activity; therefore, financial entities must:

- set forth measures and procedures to prevent and detect acts and operations;
- file reports to the SHCP regarding acts, operations and services carried out by clients and employees if they suspect illegal resources are involved; and
- keep for at least 10 years any information and documents related to the identification of clients and users.

Given the above, if any action, operation or service is identified as undertaken with illegal resources or there is a breach of any of the provisions outlined in the above law, employers must inform the SHCP and prosecutor.

Also, if officers and general managers no longer comply with the legal requirements to occupy their positions (eg, not having a satisfactory credit record, or no longer being in good standing), financial entities may inform the CNBV or CNSF, as applicable, so the authorities may disqualify or remove those individuals from their positions.

Furthermore, if there is a breach of the code of conduct, the regulatory comptroller must inform the board of directors and keep such information available to the CNBV at all times. The board of directors will be in charge of establishing disciplinary measures.

Finally, if employees breach psychological risk prevention obligations (see question 11), employers must inform the labour authorities to impose corresponding sanctions.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman, Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

DNB has a reporting point for abuses in the financial industry. The AFM also has a reporting point for complaints about the financial market.

There are also several disciplinary authorities (for different financial institutions) where reports can be made about employees who fail to comply with the Rules of Conduct. For example, there is a Disciplinary Law for Banks, a Disciplinary Council for Financial Services and DSI Disciplinary Law.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Forms need to be submitted to the MAS when an individual ceases to act as a representative in regulated activities or financial advisory services. Depending on the FI, the MAS may also have to be informed of appointments or changes of representatives, directors, chief executive officers, and other key officeholders (see questions 2 and 4).

MAS notices are also required for the reporting of misconduct for employees who are representatives of certain capital market service providers, financial advisers, and insurance broking staff. Examples of reportable misconduct include acts involving fraud, dishonesty or other offences of a similar nature, and non-compliance with regulatory requirements. Specific declaration forms and timelines may apply depending on the FI. An FI may also be required to submit updates on cases where investigations have not concluded or disciplinary action was not taken, or submit a declaration that there was no misconduct reported in a given calendar year.

While not specific to financial services employees, the Corruption, Drug Trafficking and Other Serious Crimes (Confiscation of Benefits) Act 1992 requires any person with knowledge, or reasonable grounds to suspect, that any property is being used in connection with criminal activity to file a Suspicious Transaction Report with the Suspicious Transaction Reporting Office. MAS notices concerning the prevention of anti-money laundering and incidents of fraud emphasise this obligation.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

As a general principle, supervised companies are required to ensure that persons holding, in particular, executive, overall management, oversight or control functions fulfil the requirements of the “fit and proper” test. Consequently, such persons must be of good repute and can guarantee compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

If a person cannot guarantee that the regulatory requirements are fulfilled at all times (eg, because of a material breach of its duties) the employing entity and its audit companies may be required to immediately

report to FINMA, respectively, any incident that is of significance

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Yes. There are multiple potential reporting obligations with various timing imperatives. We include below a snapshot of some of the key obligations:

- under FCA Principle 11, firms have a general duty to inform the FCA of matters about which it would reasonably expect notice;
- a firm must notify the FCA immediately it becomes aware, or has information which reasonably suggests, that a matter which could have a significant adverse impact on the firm's reputation has occurred, may have occurred or may occur in the foreseeable future;
- a firm must notify the FCA immediately it becomes aware, or has information which reasonably suggests, that a significant breach of a rule (including a significant breach of a Conduct Rule) has occurred, may have occurred or may occur in the foreseeable future; and
- a firm must also notify the FCA if it takes disciplinary action against an individual for a breach of the Conduct Rules. Where the relevant individual is a senior manager, the notification must be made within seven business days. Where the relevant individual is certified staff, the notification must be made in the firm's annual reporting.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

FINRA members must report to FINRA within 30 calendar days after the firm has concluded, or reasonably should have concluded, that an associated person of the firm or the firm itself has violated any securities, insurance, commodities, financial or investment-related laws, rules, regulations or standards of conduct of any domestic or foreign regulatory body or self-regulatory organisation.

While there is no requirement to report misconduct to regulators, the SEC routinely gives credit to organisations that voluntarily choose to self-report, which can lead to reduced fines, non-prosecution agreements, deferred prosecution agreements, waivers of disqualification following regulatory or criminal actions, or more organisation-friendly language in settlement documents. However, such disclosed information may later be discoverable by private plaintiffs.

The SEC has issued guidance that a failure to self-report significant misconduct can lead to more severe penalties.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

11. Are there any particular requirements that employers should implement with respect to the prevention of wrongdoing, for example, related to whistleblowing or the prevention of harassment?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

EU Directive 2019/1937 on whistleblowing has been transposed in Belgium by the Act of 28 November 2022. Financial services are included in its material scope (article 2, 1°, b)). In general, companies with more than 250 employees must create an internal whistleblowing system by 15 February 2023. For companies with between 50 and 250 employees, the deadline is 17 December 2023. However, these thresholds do not count for legal entities who are active in financial services, therefore they need to install an internal whistleblowing system no matter their employee count and respect the deadline of 15 February 2023 (article 57, §3). The FSMA will have to verify whether the financial institutions are respecting their whistleblowing obligations (article 36). Furthermore, persons who report violations relating to financial services receive better protection and are awarded higher lump sum compensation if they are the victim of a retaliation measure (six months gross remuneration; article 27, §3).

Regarding the prevention of money laundering, financial institutions were already required to provide a procedure to enable their personnel, agents or distributors to report a violation of the legislation, through a specific, independent and anonymous channel (article 10, Act of 18 September 2017).

The employer must ensure the wellbeing of its employees, which includes the prevention of harassment. If harassment has occurred, they must provide appropriate support, including remediating measures, protection against dismissal and investigation by a prevention advisor specialising in psychosocial risks (Wellbeing Act of 4 August 1996, Wellbeing Code of 28 April 2017). The procedure must be detailed in the work rules of the financial institutions.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

Financial services companies, like any private employer, must implement procedures to prevent wrongdoing.

Concerning harassment, the Labour and the Penal Codes punish acts constituting moral and sexual harassment. It is the employer's responsibility, under their safety obligation, to prevent and, if necessary, deal with any behaviour constituting moral harassment. In this respect, an individual must be appointed by the social and economic committee to combat sexual harassment and sexist behaviour.

For whistleblowing, following Directive 2019/1937/EU, the system for whistleblowers that already existed in France was strengthened by Law 2022-401 of 21 March 2022 on the protection of whistleblowers. From now on, companies with more than 50 employees must internally set up a procedure for collecting and handling whistleblowers. Without an internal procedure, the whistleblower can go through an external channel, which presents a risk to the company's reputation.

In addition, following Law 2022-401, the FMA and the French Prudential Supervision and Resolution Authority have set up special procedures allowing any person to report to them, even anonymously, any infringement of European legislation, the Monetary and Financial Code or the AMF General Regulation.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Employers are generally required under German law, regardless of their industry, to exercise a duty of protection regarding their employees. If they become aware of allegations of employee harassment, the employer must investigate and take appropriate steps to either dispel the suspicion (and protect the employee incorrectly accused of harassment) or sanction the perpetrator. As such, many employers have a process or policy in place governing this.

In the future, employers must observe the mandatory regulations of the Whistleblower Protection Act, implementing the EU Whistleblower Directive. A draft of the law was released in the summer of 2022. The new legislation aims to strengthen the protection of whistleblowers and ensure that they do not face any disadvantages within the framework of the legal requirements. The legislation especially covers breaches of European law concerning financial services, financial products and financial markets, as well as the prevention of money laundering and terrorist financing. Employers with more than 250 employees must, under the new draft act, set up internal reporting offices (as must employees with 50-249 employees by no later than 17 December 2023). In corporate groups, multiple employers can set up a joint office to receive reports and conduct further investigations. Public sector employers must, in principle, establish an internal reporting office regardless of the number of employees. In addition, employees will also have the option to report breaches externally.

An office at the Federal Ministry of Justice will be established as the governing body for the new law. In addition, the Federal Antitrust Office and BaFin will be responsible for sanctioning certain breaches under their respective remit (antitrust and financial services, respectively).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Anti-money laundering and counter-financing of terrorism

Financial services employees are required to receive training on anti-money laundering and counter-financing of terrorism. New staff should be required to attend initial training as soon as possible after being hired or appointed. Apart from the initial training, refresher training should be provided regularly to ensure that staff are reminded of their responsibilities and are kept informed of new developments (see question 8).

Whistleblowing

There is no single comprehensive whistleblowing legislation to protect whistleblowers in Hong Kong. However, piecemeal provisions in various ordinances may protect specific whistleblowers for the reporting of specific offences. For example, the Employment Ordinance provides that an employer shall not terminate (or threaten to terminate) the employment of, or in any way discriminate against, an employee because the employee has given evidence or information in any proceedings or inquiry in connection with the enforcement of the Employment Ordinance, work accidents or breach of work safety legislation.

While it is not legally required, as good practice, employers should consider implementing a whistleblowing policy to set out, among others, the type of incidents that should be reported and the procedures for filing the report.

Workplace harassment

Under the Sex Discrimination Ordinance, Disability Discrimination Ordinance and Race Discrimination Ordinance, any harassment in the workplace based on sex, pregnancy, disability and race (which includes colour, descent, ethnic or national origins) is unlawful.

As employers are vicariously liable for the wrongful acts of their employees (whether or not the act was done with the employer's knowledge or approval), one of the statutory defences is for employers to establish that they took "reasonably practicable steps" to prevent the wrongful act in the workplace. Employers should therefore put in place anti-harassment policies and procedures to prevent harassment from happening in the workplace and to provide complaint or reporting procedures to handle such incidents.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

Corporate whistleblowing is still at a nascent stage in India and there isn't a robust legislative framework for it. Section 177[1] of the Companies Act 2013, clause 49 on "Corporate Governance" of the Listing Agreement between a listed entity and a stock exchange and the guidelines issued by RBI under Section 35(A) of the Banking Regulation Act 1949 [2] constitute the corpus of early whistleblower jurisprudence in India. Publicly listed financial services companies are required to have whistleblowing policies.

In terms of generally applicable laws, the IDA lists "Unfair Labor Practices" that the employer is prohibited from engaging in. There are certain state-specific laws which reiterate the same. There might also be sector-specific initiatives. One such example is the "Protected Disclosures Scheme for Private Sector and Foreign Banks" by the RBI, which cover areas such as corruption, criminal offences, non-compliance with rules, misuse of office, suspected or actual fraud causing financial and reputational loss and detriment to the public interest.

When it comes to the prevention of harassment, the general statutes are also applicable to financial sector organisations. Employers are required to comply with the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redress) Act, 2013, by taking reasonable steps to assist affected women workers. If harassment is coupled with any other issue like caste-based discrimination, then employees may register complaints through well-established civil or criminal redress mechanisms in the legal system.

---

[1] Section 177, Companies Act 2013, available at <https://ca2013.com/177-audit-committee/>

[2]Section 35A, Banking Regulation Act 1949, available at <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/587034/#:~:text=it%20is%20necessary%20to%20issue,to%20comply%20with%20such%20directions>

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

Yes. Concerning the prevention of wrongdoing, RFSPs should implement a written protected disclosures policy that explains the secure and confidential internal and external reporting channels available to workers who wish to report relevant wrongdoings. The anti-retaliation protection should be explained and workers should understand from the policy how a report of relevant wrongdoing will be dealt with by the RFSP.

RFSPs should ensure that they have clear, up-to-date and fully compliant policies governing:

- dignity at work (including anti-harassment and anti-bullying measures); and
- grievance and disciplinary policies.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

In addition to the obligations previously described, employers and employees are subject to Official Mexican Rule NOM-035-STPS-2018 Employment Psychological Risks – Identification, Analysis and Prevention.

The purpose of NOM-035 is to establish the criteria to identify, analyse and prevent psychosocial risks; and to promote a favourable organisational environment in the workplace.

NOM-035 establishes specific obligations for employers, including:

- informing employees about policies to prevent psychosocial risk factors and labour violence, and promoting a favourable organisational environment;
- identifying and analysing factors of psychosocial risk;
- assessing the organisational environment;
- adopting measures to prevent psychosocial risk and promote a favourable organisational environment;
- adopting corrective actions when identifying psychosocial risk factors;
- identifying workers that could have been exposed to traumatic events and providing help; and
- keeping records of the analysis and identification of psychosocial risks, evaluations of the organisational environment, and corrective action.

To prove compliance, employers must adopt the following measures:

- develop a psychosocial risk policy;
- establish a complaints channel to receive and deal with reports of possible practices preventing a favourable organizational environment and report acts of workplace violence;
- conduct surveys to identify employees that have been exposed to psychosocial risks;
- conduct surveys to identify psychosocial risk factors and potential threats to the organisational environment; and
- create intervention programmes with specific actions based on the results obtained.

The Ministry of Labour and Social Welfare is the authority that inspects compliance with these obligations. NOM-035 does not establish specific sanctions for non-compliance, but inspectors may apply fines derived from the FLL. Also, employers must regularly carry out evaluations, research and follow-up on complaints. They must also prepare regular reports on the subject.

These provisions apply to all employers and there are no particular provisions regarding the prevention of harassment in financial entities.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman , Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

Employers must have a so-called Whistleblower Regulation since 1 July 2016, according to the House of Whistleblowers Act. This (internal) regulation includes how employers handle the reporting of internal wrongdoing and the internal procedure that needs to be followed.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

MAS' Guidelines on Individual Accountability and Conduct provide that appropriate policies, systems and processes should be put in place to enforce expected conduct, including transparent investigation and disciplinary procedures, formal whistleblowing programmes, and a process for the reporting and escalation of issues to senior management on any issues related to employee conduct. Anti-workplace discrimination legislation is also expected in 2023.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

There are no specific whistleblowing laws in Switzerland, but employees have a right to report grievances and misconduct to their employer, provided that they do not commit a breach of a fiduciary duty or cause damage (eg, malicious false reports).

However, employees must also report material facts or incidents of misconduct and the misconduct of other employees discovered in the course of their work to their employer under the employee's duty of loyalty.

On the other hand, an employee's duty of loyalty and, in particular, an employee's statutory duty of confidentiality flowing from it may also give rise to a duty to not report.

Based on the current legal situation, there may be a conflict between an employee's need to report grievances (internally or externally) and a possible duty to not report with regard to an external report. An attempt to resolve this conflict through legislation has failed, and a new attempt to introduce whistleblowing legislation in Switzerland is not expected anytime soon.

Concerning whistleblowing by employees to a public authority or even to the public, employees are regularly prevented from doing so by confidentiality obligations under criminal law. Any justification for such a disclosure will usually only be examined in the context of a criminal investigation against the employee.

However, larger companies have taken measures and set up certain processes to uncover and prevent wrongdoing without having to do so under mandatory laws. For instance, companies have implemented internal or external reporting offices.

When it comes to harassment, an employer is explicitly required to protect employees from sexual harassment (prevention) and to protect any victims from further disadvantages (active protection). According to the Gender Equality Act, victims of sexual harassment may be awarded compensation of up to six months' wages by the courts, in addition to damages and restitution, unless the employer can prove that they have "taken all measures that are necessary and appropriate according to experience to prevent sexual harassment and that they can reasonably be expected to take". Employers are therefore advised to actively address the issue of sexual harassment (as well as general discrimination and bullying) in the workplace and include it in their regulations or directives.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Whistleblowing

In addition to the requirements of the SM&CR outlined above which relate to the prevention of wrongdoing (including the Conduct Rules, fitness and propriety assessments, Senior Managers' Duty of Responsibility, the certification and approvals processes and associated training requirements), the PRA and the FCA maintain rules on whistleblowing. These are intended to encourage whistleblowers to come forward to report wrongdoing and protect them from retaliation when they do.

For certain types of SM&CR firms, the rules mandate measures that employers must implement, for others they provide guidance on measures to consider.

The key measures are as follows:

- Whistleblowers' champion – a non-executive director and senior manager with responsibility for whistleblowing compliance within the firm, including oversight of internal policies and procedures and certain reporting requirements.
- Whistleblowing channel – a system which allows whistleblowers to report concerns confidentially and anonymously, and which allows such concerns to be assessed, addressed, and escalated where appropriate.
- Notification regarding external whistleblowing channels – that is, making staff aware of their right to report matters directly to the PRA and FCA and explaining how they can do so.
- Whistleblowing training – this must cover arrangements on whistleblowing within the firm and be provided (and tailored) to employees based in the UK, their managers, and employees responsible for operating the firm's whistleblowing arrangements.

Prevention of harassment

Harassment and related unacceptable workplace behaviours (such as bullying and discrimination) are not specifically addressed in the SM&CR rules on individual accountability. However, it is clear from regulators' public statements that the culture of firms (in its broadest sense) is central to their approach. Having a healthy firm culture is seen as critical to consumer protection and well-functioning markets, and firms with healthy cultures are considered to be less prone to misconduct.

Firms that are subject to the SM&CR need to be alive to the possibility that instances of harassment and other non-financial misconduct could amount to breaches of the individual accountability regime or trigger certain requirements under it, such as a requirement to investigate, reassess an individual's fitness and propriety, or notify certain matters to the regulators. The same could apply to any failure by relevant staff to investigate and deal appropriately with allegations of this kind, such as a senior manager who turns a blind eye to reports of sexual harassment or workplace bullying. While there have been relatively few instances of non-financial misconduct resulting in an enforcement action to date, this is likely to become an emerging trend.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Whistleblowing in the United States is governed by two main statutory sources, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

(SOX) and the Dodd-Frank Act (Dodd-Frank).

SOX protects whistleblowers who report violations of securities laws to:

- federal regulatory bodies or law enforcement;
- members of Congress or congressional committees; or
- supervisors or persons authorised by the employer to investigate, discover, or terminate misconduct.

Dodd-Frank generally only protects whistleblowers who report violations of the securities or commodities laws to the SEC or CFTC. However, it also prohibits employers from discriminating against financial services employees for objecting or refusing to participate in any activity that would be a violation of securities law (note that Dodd-Frank prohibits mandatory arbitration of retaliation claims under the Act).

Whistleblowers in the banking industry are also protected under both federal and applicable state laws for reporting violations of banking law to the US Department of Justice.

Under Dodd-Frank and banking laws, employees may be offered a bounty for whistleblowing activities that results in successful enforcement actions.

Employment Discrimination and Sexual Harassment Claims are not subject to mandatory FINRA arbitration, though the claims may be arbitrated if all parties agree.

Californian employers with at least five employees globally must implement policies and provide training on the prohibition of harassment, discrimination, and retaliation in the workplace.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

12. Are there any particular rules or protocols that apply when terminating the employment of an employee in the financial services sector, including where a settlement agreement is entered into?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

If the person concerned is subject to “fit & proper” authorisation from the NBB (see question 2), a form must be filed with the NBB to inform it of the termination.

Furthermore, the settlement agreement cannot include payments that would not respect the caps for remuneration and termination indemnities (see question 8).

Finally, job security clauses have been negotiated at a sectoral level, meaning a specific procedure must be followed for individual or collective dismissal under JC No. 310, with a sanction of six to nine months’ remuneration.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

The general law regarding dismissals applies to employees in the financial services sector. Under French law, there are two grounds for dismissal: personal reasons, which are related to the employee's behaviour or state of health; and economic reasons, which are not related to the employee. In both cases, the cause must be real and serious (ie, the reason must be objective and materially verifiable, as well as proportionate to the facts put forward). Failing that, the judge may propose the reinstatement of the employee, but if one of the parties refuses, then the employee is entitled to compensation for dismissal without real and serious cause, the latter depending on the employee's seniority.

Certain grounds for dismissal are null and void, in particular dismissals that are discriminatory or contrary to a fundamental freedom. The employee may then be reinstated (in very specific cases) or compensated, but this compensation may not be less than six months' salary.

Dismissal for personal reasons cannot be declared before a preliminary interview with the employee, and must be notified at least two working days after this interview. Dismissal for economic reasons may be individual or collective. Individual dismissals for economic reasons also require a prior interview and notification of redundancy, but above all notification to the Administration. Collective dismissals for economic reasons require consultation of the Social and Economic Committee, as well as the establishment of an employment protection plan if the termination concerns at least 10 employees within 30 days.

Since 1 July 2010, the FMA's General Regulation requires investment service providers to pass an examination to obtain certification. This certification must be obtained within six months of hiring, so not securing this certification by the end of this period may justify a dismissal.

A dismissal means a redundancy payment is excluded, except in the case of employment protection plans, from assessment for social security contributions for the portion not subject to income tax within certain exemption limits. In addition, article L.511-84-1 of the French Monetary and Financial Code excludes the variable portion of compensation that may be reduced or recovered as a penalty under the "clawback" mechanism from assessment for severance pay.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Employment relationships with risk-takers of significant institutions whose annual fixed remuneration exceeds three times the contribution assessment ceiling for general pension insurance can be terminated more easily, in return for a severance payment, even if a unilateral dismissal is not socially justified. For this purpose, the institution needs to file a motion to the labour court to terminate the employment relationship during an ongoing dismissal protection dispute. The court will then terminate the employment relationship and award a severance payment of up to 12 months‘ salary.

Where employers wish to amicably terminate an employment relationship, they will usually offer a termination agreement that provides for a severance payment as consideration for the job loss. Severance payments offered by institutions under the German Banking Act are, in principle, treated as variable remuneration from a regulatory perspective. Unless certain exceptions and privileges apply, this means that severance payments are subject to the regulatory remuneration rules that apply to variable remuneration, meaning that, for example, the bonus cap and ex-post risk adjustment mechanisms of IVV apply (section 5 paragraph 6 sentence 1 IVV). Exceptions are permissible, inter alia, if severance payments are granted in line with the company’s general policy on severance payments, payments to which there is a legal entitlement, and severance payments to be made based on a final judgment or court settlement.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

There are no particular rules or protocols that apply when terminating the employment of an employee in the financial services sector. The termination procedures will follow the requirements under the Employment Ordinance and the contractual terms of the employment contract. In certain cases (eg, termination of senior executives), the parties may enter into a mutual release and settlement agreement.

The licensed corporations should notify the regulators of any changes, including cessation of appointment of the licensed representative and responsible officer or managers-in-charge of core functions, within seven business days. In the case of registered institutions, the notification should be made to both the SFC and the HKMA.

Under section 64R of the IO, within 14 days after the day on which an authorised insurer, a licensed insurance agency or a licensed insurance broker company (collectively, "Appointing Principal") terminates the appointment of a licensed insurance agency, a licensed individual insurance agent, a licensed technical representative (agent), a licensed technical representative (broker) or a responsible officer (as the case may be), then the Appointing Principal should notify the IA in writing of the termination.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

> The general legal standards on termination of employment are also applicable to employees in the financial services sector. India is not an "at-will" jurisdiction. In general, termination of employment may only be carried out for reasonable cause or on account of misconduct. In cases of termination on any ground other than misconduct, the employee must be provided with prior notice of termination or pay in lieu thereof. The body of laws that govern employee rights around termination are the IDA, state-specific shops and establishments acts, standing orders, and the employment contract. Workmen (basically non-managers) have additional protection in terms of the right to retrenchment compensation when terminated.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

Where possible it is important to try to resolve any outstanding issues that a PCF has or may have before the PCF's contract is terminated. An RFSP is required to give details of the circumstances of a PCF's termination of employment and to confirm whether or not there are outstanding issues regarding the PCF.

It is important to ensure that there are adequate provisions to govern the following in any settlement agreement or termination arrangements:

- adequate handover of operational responsibility;
- continued co-operation on operational matters within the employee's knowledge or in relation to matters that may subsequently be investigated by the Central Bank;
- secure return of all company property including any personal data; and
- post-termination confidentiality obligations and any other necessary post-termination restrictions.

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

Under the Constitution and the FLL, an employee has the right to secure employment (employment stability right) and an employer cannot terminate an employment contract without legal cause.

An employer may only dismiss an employee under one or more of the legal causes provided for in article 47 of the FLL (eg, lack of ethics, dishonesty, violence, harassment, absence more than three times in a month without authorisation, disobedience, and intoxication). Dismissal should be carried out within the one month after the employer becomes aware of the legal cause for termination (statute of limitations).

The FLL requires employers to provide the employee with a written notice of dismissal in which the date and causes are expressly described. A lack of written notice makes the termination unlawful and triggers the severance obligation described below.

In addition, financial entities may end the employment of individuals without notice in the following circumstances:

- if a general manager or officer no longer complies with the legal requirements to occupy their position (see question 2);
- if the CNBV or the CNSF, as applicable, disqualifies, removes, or relieves individuals from their positions; and
- if a brokerage house's proxies are no longer authorised by the CNBV.

If there are no legal grounds to justify the termination and an employee is dismissed, the dismissal is wrongful and the employee has the right to:

- be reinstated (article 49 of the FLL establishes the cases where an employer is exempt from reinstating an employee, for example employees of trust); or
- a severance payment (three months of salary, plus 12 days of salary for each year of service capped at twice the general minimum wage of the geographic area where the employee rendered services, plus 20 days of salary per year of service, and, if applicable, back pay.

These obligations are only enforceable (reinstatement and payment of severance) if the dismissal is deemed wrongful by the labour authorities in their corresponding resolution. Nevertheless, if there are no legal grounds that justify the termination, it is common practice to pay the severance in advance if there is no intention to reinstate the employee after termination.

When termination occurs, financial entities must inform the self-regulated bodies to revoke powers of attorney within five days. The self-regulated body must then inform the CNBV of the revocation. For the removal or resignation of the general manager and officers, financial entities must inform the corresponding authority within five to ten days, depending on the type of financial entity.

For the termination of employment of employees in general positions, there is no particular document to execute other than a termination document (resignation or employment termination agreement and release).

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman , Eva Schneiders*

at CLINT | Littler

No.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Depending on the employee concerned, the MAS may have to be notified of an employee ceasing to hold an office or to act as a representative (see questions 2, 4 and 11). Termination-related benefits and remuneration may also require disclosure (see question 7).

Apart from this, there are no industry-specific rules or protocols applicable to terminations. Singapore's Employment Act and the Tripartite Guidelines on Wrongful Dismissal, of general application to all employers, also prescribe rules concerning notice periods, the timing of final payments, and circumstances in which a termination may be wrongful, among other things.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

There are no specific rules or protocols that apply when terminating the employment of an employee in the financial services sector. However, because changes in the strategic and executive management of, in particular, regulated companies such as banks, insurance companies, securities firms, fund management companies, managers of collective assets or asset managers are subject to a prior authorization by FINMA, the timing of termination and re-hiring of particular persons should be considered.

The general rules on the termination of an employment relationship apply under Swiss law: any employment contract concluded for an indefinite period may be unilaterally terminated by both employer and employee, subject to the contractual or (if no contractual notice period was agreed) statutory notice periods for any reason (ordinary termination).

The termination notice needs to be physically received before the notice period can start, meaning the notice needs to be received by the employee before the end of a month so that the notice period can start on the first day of the next month. If notice is not received before the end of the month, the notice period would start the month following the receipt of the notice. A termination notice might be either delivered by mail or personally.

Swiss law does not provide for payment in lieu of a notice period. The only option in this regard is to either send the employee on garden leave or to agree within the termination agreement to terminate the employment relationship per an earlier termination date than the one provided for in the termination notice.

As a general rule, an employment contract may be terminated by either party for any reason. However, Swiss statutory law provides for protection from termination by notice for both employers and employees, distinguishing between abusive and untimely notices of termination.

Based on social policy concerns, the employer must observe certain waiting periods, during which a notice cannot validly be served (so-called untimely notice). Such waiting periods apply (art. 336c CO), for example, during compulsory military or civil defence service, full- or part-time absence from work due to illness or an

accident, or during an employee's pregnancy and 16 weeks following the birth of the child. Any notice given by the employer during these waiting periods is void. Any notice given before the respective period is effective, but once the special situation has occurred and for the period it lasts, the running of the applicable notice period is suspended and only continues after the end of the period in question.

In addition, Swiss civil law defines certain grounds based on which terminations are considered abusive (article 336 CO). Termination by the employer might be considered abusive (eg, if it is based on a personal characteristic of the other party (eg, gender, race, age), or if the other party exercises a right guaranteed by the Swiss Federal Constitution (eg, religion or membership in a political party) unless the exercise of this right violates an obligation of the contract of employment or is seriously prejudicial to the work climate). If the employer abusively terminates the employment contract, the employer has to pay damages to the employee and a penalty of up to six months' remuneration (article 336a CO). Nevertheless, an abusive termination remains valid.

Regarding settlement agreements, Swiss employment law allows the conclusion of such agreements, but there are strict limits on the parties' freedom of contract. Termination agreements may not be concluded that circumvent statutory provisions on employee protection. According to Swiss case law, termination agreements are usually valid and enforceable if both parties make real concessions, and if the agreement is also favourable for the employee. To conclude a termination agreement initiated by the employer, the employee must also be granted a sufficient reflection period. No further formalities need to be observed when concluding termination agreements, although it is generally advisable to have them in writing.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Settlement agreements

The whistleblowing measures outlined above are complemented by mandatory requirements for SM&CR firms concerning settlement agreements, namely that any such agreement must include a term stating that it doesn't prevent the individual from making a protected disclosure, and must not require the individual to warrant that they have not made a protected disclosure or that they do not know of any information which could lead to them doing so (a "protected disclosure" is a type of disclosure recognised in English employment law that gives the person making it legal protection from retaliatory detrimental treatment).

SM&CR firms entering into settlement agreements must also ensure that they are not drafted in a way that is incompatible with other relevant regulatory requirements. For example, there is a specific prohibition in the FCA Handbook on firms entering into any arrangements or agreements with any person that limit their ability to disclose information required by the regulatory reference rules (see question 2). As such, terms relating to confidentiality and the provision of employment references should allow the firm sufficient flexibility to comply with regulatory reference requirements, which could include a requirement to update such a reference. In addition, any obligations of confidentiality should include a carve-out to permit relevant regulatory disclosures and reports.

Handover procedures

The SM&CR includes requirements designed to ensure that adequate handovers take place between outgoing and incoming senior managers. Firms must take all reasonable steps to ensure that senior managers (and anyone who has management or supervisory responsibilities for them) have all the information and material that they could reasonably expect to have to perform their responsibilities effectively and under the requirements of the regulatory system. This applies when someone becomes a senior manager and when an existing senior manager takes on a new job or new responsibilities (or when their responsibilities or job are being changed).

Firms must have a handover policy in place to ensure compliance with these requirements. They must also make and maintain adequate records of steps taken to comply with them.

The information and material handed over should be practical and helpful, with an assessment of what issues should be prioritised, and judgement and opinion as well as facts, figures and records. It should also include details about unresolved or possible regulatory breaches and any unresolved concerns expressed by the FCA, the PRA or any other regulatory body.

The format and arrangements of a handover should allow for an orderly transition, which should include the outgoing senior manager contributing to the handover everything that it would be reasonable to expect them to know and consider relevant, including their opinions. This could be achieved by requiring outgoing senior managers to prepare a handover certificate, but the FCA recognises that this will not always be practical.

To ensure that these requirements are satisfied, it is good practice to include in senior managers' employment contracts (and settlement agreements) specific obligations relating to handovers.

Reallocating senior managers' responsibilities

In addition to ensuring that adequate handovers take place between outgoing and incoming senior managers, firms should also ensure on the departure of a senior manager that their responsibilities are reallocated and that this is recorded in a way that is compliant with relevant regulatory requirements. This may include temporary reallocation to one or more existing senior managers where the replacement does not take over immediately on the departure of the departing senior manager, as well as updating the firm's management responsibilities map and statements of responsibilities.

Reporting requirements

When an individual ceases to perform an SMF, the firm must generally notify the relevant regulatory within seven business days.

SM&CR firms must notify the relevant regulators if certain types of disciplinary action are taken, which can include dismissal – see question 10.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Form U5, the Uniform Termination Notice for Securities Industry Registration, is used by broker-dealers to terminate the registration of an associated person with FINRA and in other applicable jurisdictions and self-regulatory organisations. A FINRA member firm must file Form U5 within 30 days of an employee's termination. This form includes the reason for an employee's departure and must include a detailed description of the reasons for termination. Employee appeals related to the content of the U5 are arbitrated before FINRA (eg, if an employee challenges their termination).

Payments to retiring employees

FINRA prohibits paying commissions to unregistered persons, except for retired representatives receiving trailing commissions where a bona fide contract was entered into between the broker-dealer and the retiring employee.

California

California law prohibits the use of non-disclosure provisions in settlement agreements that are designed to restrict an employee's ability to disclose information about unlawful acts in the workplace, including information pertaining to harassment or discrimination or any other conduct the employee has reason to believe is unlawful. Provisions protecting the identity of a claimant are permitted where requested by the

claimant. California law also prohibits “no-rehire” provisions in settlements of employment disputes, with limited exceptions for employees whom the employer, in good faith, determined engaged in sexual harassment or sexual assault, or any criminal conduct.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

13. Are there any particular rules that apply in relation to the use of post-termination restrictive covenants for employees in the financial services sector?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

There are no specific rules for the financial services sector, except that they cannot have an effect that does not respect the caps for remuneration (see question 7).

Last updated on 22/01/2023

France

Author: *Béatrice Pola*
at Proskauer Rose

Three specific clauses are potentially relevant to employees in the financial services sector.

Firstly, regarding the confidentiality clause, employees in the financial services sector are bound to respect professional and banking secrecy.

More specifically, article 25 of Section III of Chapter 4 of Title II of Book 1 of the national collective agreement for financial companies of 22 November 1968, provides that all staff members are bound by professional secrecy within the company and towards third parties. Employees may not knowingly pass on to another company information specific to their employer or previous employer.

Article 24 of Chapter 3 of Title III of the national collective bargaining agreement for bank employees of 10 January 2000 codifies the absolute respect of professional secrecy.

Article 44 of Chapter 2 of Title IV of the national collective bargaining agreement for the financial markets of 11 June 2010 states that the employee must comply specifically with the rules of conduct regarding professional secrecy, both within the company and concerning third parties.

Confidentiality clauses can also be concluded between the employee and his or her employer, to reinforce the obligation of confidentiality.

In principle, a confidentiality clause allows for the protection of certain information exchanged during the contract and can be enforced after the termination of the employment contract if it is not perpetual. In this case, it is quite conceivable to contractualise such an obligation for employees in the financial services sector because of their functions, which by their very nature require discretion.

The law already states that anyone who uses or discloses confidential information obtained in the course of negotiations without authorisation is liable. Case law has addressed the issue of confidentiality clauses by ruling that an employee not executing this clause after his or her departure makes him or her liable for the

resulting damage, without the employer having to prove gross negligence. The clause may be accompanied by a pecuniary sanction, which may be altered by the judge if it is lenient or excessive.

This clause in no way imposes a non-compete obligation and, therefore, does not entitle the employee to financial compensation.

In practice, it is complex to ensure compliance with this clause; however, the more specific the clause, the more effective it is.

Secondly, a non-compete clause allows an employer to limit an employee's professional activity at the end of an employment contract to prevent that employee from working for a competing company. Despite the specificity of the activities of the financial sector, it seems that the common law of non-competition clauses applies.

Thus, such a clause may be provided for by a collective agreement, in which case it is a conventional non-compete obligation. To be enforceable, the employee must have been informed of the existence of the applicable collective agreement. In this case, article 35 of Chapter I of Title IV of the national collective bargaining agreement for financial markets of 11 June 2010 provides for a non-compete obligation.

The non-compete clause is, in the majority of cases, contractual (ie, present in the employee’s employment contract). To be valid, this clause must meet various cumulative conditions to be compatible with the principle of freedom to work.

It must be essential to the protection of the legitimate interests of the company, limited in time and space, take into account the specificities of the employee's job, and include an obligation for the employer to pay the employee meaningful financial compensation. All these conditions are cumulative, and the employer cannot unilaterally extend the scope of the clause, otherwise it is null and void. Given the specificity of the activity of companies in the financial services sector, the condition of protection of the legitimate interests of the company would be met. However, taking into account the specificities of the employee's job may undermine such a clause if it is proven that his or her training and experience would prevent him or her from finding a job. The company's interest in imposing a non-compete clause must therefore be demonstrated.

The judge may restrict the application of the non-compete clause by limiting its effect in time, space or other terms when it does not allow the employee to engage in an activity consistent with his or her training and experience. However, the scope of application of the clause cannot be reduced by the judge if only the nullity of the clause has been invoked by the employee.

If the non-compete clause is not enforced, the employer may take summary proceedings against the former employee who does not respect it, and also against the employee's new employer if they were hired with full knowledge of the facts, or if they continue to be employed after learning of the clause.

The employer may waive the clause if this is explicit and results from an unequivocal will. In the specific case of contractual termination, the employer who wishes to waive the clause must do so no later than the termination date set in the agreement.

Finally, concerning the non-solicitation clause, such a clause can be concluded between two companies through a commercial contract. These companies mutually prohibit each other from hiring their respective employees. Therefore, this clause is distinct from a non-compete clause and does not meet its conditions of validity. However, it must be proportionate to the legitimate interests to be protected given the purpose of the contract.

Last updated on 15/02/2023

Germany

Author: *Till Heimann, Anne-Kathrin Bertke, Marina Christine Csizmadia*
at Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Post-contractual non-compete obligations will typically only be binding when a severance payment is agreed upon that amounts to at least 50% of the pro-rated annual remuneration that the employee received before the obligation comes into force). It is advisable to regularly review for which roles such arrangements are agreed upon as they can be costly, and a unilateral waiver does not automatically eliminate the obligation to pay compensation, only if sufficient advance notice is given.

In the financial services sector, the severance payment for non-competition covenants is considered variable remuneration and subject to the same regulatory compensation rules (for example, section 5 paragraph 6 sentence 1 IVV, section 7 paragraph 4 No. 2 Draft Investment Firms Remuneration Ordinance). However, severance payments do not have to be factored into the ratio of variable to fixed remuneration according to section 25a paragraph 5 sentences 2 to 5 KWG if, subject to section 74 paragraph 2 of the German Commercial Code, the payments do not exceed the total fixed remuneration originally owed.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Hong Kong

Author: *Charles Mo, Joanne Mok*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

There are no particular rules that apply concerning the use of post-termination restrictive covenants for employees in the financial services sector. The rules concerning post-termination restrictive covenants are governed by common law principles in which they will only be enforced if the restriction is necessary for the protection of the employer's legitimate business interest and is reasonable in scope and duration.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

India

Author: *Vikram Shroff, Nipasha Mahanta, Nitish Raj*
at Nishith Desai Associates

Post-termination non-competes are not enforceable, as they are treated as a restraint of trade. Courts have given prevalence to the livelihood of the employee over the employer's interests. However, a reasonable non-solicit restriction may be enforceable in India.[1]

Employees in financial services are also bound by post-employment (for both resignation and retirement) obligations.[2] RBI employees[3] who cease to be in service should not accept or undertake "commercial employment"[4] for one year from the date on which they cease to be in service without the prior approval of the concerned authority. For SEBI employees[5], the cooling-off period is also one year. "Commercial employment"[6] broadly includes employment in any company or setting up their own practice without having professional qualifications and relying only on official experience. Such engagement may bestow an unfair advantage upon clients by virtue of the ex-employees' prior experience at the organisation. The grant of prior approval by the concerned authority is dependent on whether there is any ensuing conflict of interest from such engagement.

---

[1] Employment Contracts in India: Enforceability of Restrictive Covenants, available at <https://www.nishithdesai.com/fileadmin/user_upload/pdfs/Research%20Papers/Employment_Contracts_in_India.pdf>

[2] Section 55, SEBI (Employees' Service) Regulations 2001.

[3] General Administration Manual, RBI, available at <https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/content/pdfs/71073.pdf>

[4] Section 2, Regulation 37A, RBI Staff Regulations, 1948.

[5] Section 55(3), SEBI (Employees' Service) Regulations 2001.

[6] Section 55(2), SEBI (Employees' Service) Regulations 2001; Section 2, Regulation 37A, RBI Staff Regulations, 1948.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Ireland

Author: *Karen Killalea*
at Maples Group

No there are no bespoke rules that apply. Post termination restrictions in Ireland are void as being in restraint of trade unless it can be shown that the restrictions are necessary to protect an employer's legitimate proprietary interest and they are proportionate and reasonable in their scope and duration to achieve that protection[i].

[i] Law as of 21 November, 2022

Last updated on 17/02/2023

Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf, Pamela González Cramer*
at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

There are no particular rules or legal provisions concerning the use of post-termination restrictive covenants. Nevertheless, it is common practice to execute termination agreements with officers and general managers whereby non-disclosure, non-compete and non-solicitation provisions are set forth by the parties. The use of non-compete and non-solicitation provisions in termination agreements is only recommended for very specific employees and must be negotiated when the employment is terminated.

Plain non-compete and non-solicitation provisions binding employees after termination are not enforceable under Mexican law, because the Mexican Constitution grants individuals the right to perform any job, industry, commerce or work as long it is legal and not prohibited by a judicial or governmental decision.

Post-employment non-compete obligations, which are treated as an exception, must be agreed upon in connection to specific activities that may be deemed unfair competition, and may be enforced with economic compensation.

The period of enforceability must be proportional to:

- the number of years of employment;
- the level of information and importance of the position;
- the economic compensation; and
- the scope of the non-compete obligations.

Unfair competition and solicitation – either for business, or to induce other individuals to leave the company, while the employment contract between an individual and employer is in effect – may be considered misconduct. This misconduct is a cause of termination without notice for the company, and therefore it is feasible to enforce it.

The terms and conditions must be specifically addressed in writing, within the employment termination agreement, making express reference to the importance of the information, potential competition, activities

that may be deemed unfair competition, intellectual property, and commercial advantages. The compensation paid is usually similar to or above the income of the employee while he or she was active with the company. Clawback and damages payments for breach of contract are standard practices.

Last updated on 14/03/2023

Netherlands

Author: *Wouter Engelsman, Eva Schneiders*
at CLINT | Littler

No, not particularly.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Singapore

Author: *Ian Lim, Mark Jacobsen, Nicholas Ngo, Elizabeth Tan*
at TSMP Law Corporation

Singapore law in relation to post-termination restrictive covenants is of general application and not specific to the financial services sector. Such restraints are prima facie void, but may be valid and enforceable if they are reasonable (both in the interests of the parties and the public), and if they go no further than what is necessary to protect a party's legitimate proprietary interest.

The Singapore Courts have recognised that an employer has legitimate proprietary interests in its trade connections (commonly protected by restraints against the solicitation of clients or customers); the maintenance of a stable, trained workforce (commonly protected by restraints against the poaching of employees); and its confidential information and trade secrets (commonly protected by confidentiality restraints). This is not a closed list.

Non-competition clauses are however relatively more difficult to enforce as compared to other restrictive covenants, and they may not be enforceable at all under Singapore law as it presently stands if an employer's legitimate proprietary interests are already covered by other restraints. Even then, it may still be possible for the employer to obtain an ex parte interim injunction for non-competition though.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Switzerland

Author: *Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger*
at Walder Wyss

There are no particular rules that apply concerning the use of post-termination restrictive covenants for employees in the financial services sector in Switzerland. Rather, general post-contractual non-compete regulations come into play: the parties of an employment contract may agree on a non-compete clause, which must be included in the employment contract in writing to be valid. For the non-compete clause to be relevant, it must be sufficiently limited in terms of time, place and subject matter. Normally, the duration of a post-termination non-compete clause is no more than one year; however, the statutorily permissible duration is three years.

As a prerequisite for a contractual non-compete clause to be binding, access to sensitive data is required. The employee must either have access to customer data or manufacturing or business secrets. However, access alone is not enough. There must also be the possibility of harming the employer using this

knowledge.

If a relationship between the customer and the employee or employer is personal (which is, for example, the case for lawyers or doctors), a post-termination non-compete clause is not applicable according to the Federal Supreme Court.

If there is an excessive non-compete clause, this can be restricted by a judge. In practice, most of the time, no restriction of the post-termination non-compete clause is imposed if the employer offers consideration in return for the agreement. The prohibition of competition may become invalid for two reasons. Firstly, the clause can become irrelevant if the employer has no more interest in maintaining the non-compete clause. Secondly, the clause is not effective if the employer has terminated the employment relationship. However, this does not apply if the employee has given the employer a reason to terminate the employment relationship.

Swiss employment law does not provide for any compensation for a post-termination non-compete clause.

Last updated on 23/01/2023

United Kingdom

Author: *Louise Skinner, Thomas Twitchett, Oliver Gregory*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

The SM&CR does not regulate the use of post-termination restrictive covenants for employees in the financial services sector. It is fairly typical for financial services firms in the UK to include non-dealing, non-solicitation, non-compete and similar restrictive covenants in their employment contracts. These are subject to the same common law rules on interpretation and enforceability as in any other sector. The only caveat to this is that firms should ensure that such terms do not include any provision that might conflict with the regulatory duties of either the firm or the employee. This will be a rare occurrence in practice for most types of restrictive covenant, but could arise in respect of post-termination contractual obligations that are closely associated with restrictive covenants, namely those relating to confidentiality. As such, firms should ensure that confidentiality clauses in employment contracts or other agreements such as NDAs include appropriate carve-outs.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

United States

Author: *Melissa Hill, Leora Grushka*
at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

The enforceability of restrictive covenants varies greatly depending on applicable state law. Many states impose specific requirements or limitations on enforceable covenants.

FINRA-regulated firms must comply with additional regulations:

- FINRA rules prohibit interference with a customer's choice to follow a former representative during a change in employment where there is no existing dispute with the customer about the account. The FINRA-registered agent must help transfer a customer's account in the event of such a customer request. Note that this only explicitly affects requests by customers and not solicitation by a representative. A non-solicit provision might be upheld whereas a non-compete might not.

- Broker-dealer firms that are signatories to the Protocol for Broker Recruiting are subject to additional requirements. Under this protocol, a departing employee may be permitted to take certain information regarding clients they serviced while at the firm to a new employer and use that information to solicit clients. Non-signatories are not bound to this protocol and can sue departing brokers for violating the terms of otherwise enforceable covenants.

Non-competes and so-called garden leave provisions are regularly included in termination documents. The enforceability of these covenants vary based on jurisdiction, with courts evaluating provisions based on duration and geographic scope.

New York

New York law disfavours non-compete agreements as a general rule. However, such agreements may be enforceable if the restrictions are reasonable and are intended to protect a legitimate interest. A court can enforce a non-compete only if the covenant:

- is no greater than required to protect an employer's legitimate interests;
- does not impose undue hardship on the employee;
- does not cause injury to the public; or
- is reasonable in duration and geographic scope.

California

California law does not allow post-employment non-compete or non-solicit agreements except agreements involving the sale or dissolution of a business. California law protects employer confidential information and prohibits current or former employees from using employer confidential information in the solicitation of employees.

Last updated on 22/01/2023

Contributors

Belgium

Nicolas Simon
Van Olmen & Wynant

France

Béatrice Pola
Proskauer Rose

Germany

Till Heimann
Anne-Kathrin Bertke
Marina Christine Csizmadia
Kliemt.HR Lawyers

Hong Kong

Charles Mo
Joanne Mok
Morgan Lewis & Bockius

India

Vikram Shroff
Nipasha Mahanta
Nitish Raj

Nishith Desai Associates

Ireland

Karen Killalea
Maples Group

Mexico

Héctor González Graf
Pamela González Cramer
Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

Netherlands

Wouter Engelsman
Eva Schneiders
CLINT | Littler

Singapore

Ian Lim
Mark Jacobsen
Nicholas Ngo
Elizabeth Tan
TSMP Law Corporation

Switzerland

Simone Wetzstein
Matthias Lötscher
Sarah Vettiger
Walder Wyss

United Kingdom

Louise Skinner
Thomas Twitchett
Oliver Gregory
Morgan Lewis & Bockius

United States

Melissa Hill
Leora Grushka
Morgan Lewis & Bockius