

## **Employment in Financial Services**

## **Contributing Editor**

Louise Skinner at Morgan Lewis & Bockius

# 09. Is there a particular code of conduct and/or are there other regulations regarding standards of behaviour that financial services employees are expected to adhere to?



Author: *Héctor González Graf* at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

Financial entities must establish, implement and apply, among other things:

- · confidentiality policies;
- policies for internal control to confirm the acts, operations and services of individuals are carried out in an ethical, professional and legal manner;
- policies regarding the prevention of acts and operations with illegal resources;
- policies to prevent psychological risk factors;
- policies that allow the identification, follow-up and control of risks inherent to operations; and
- conflict of interest resolution policies.

Under the general provisions applicable to operations with securities carried out by members of the board of directors, officers and employees of financial entities and other obligated parties, the principles that must be complied with are the following:

- transparency in operations;
- equal opportunity before all other market participants in sureties operations;
- compliance with fair stock market customs and practices;
- · absence of a conflict of interest; and
- prevention of improper behaviour that may have as its origin the use of privileged or confidential information

Policies, manuals and codes must also include guidelines for the resolution of potential conflicts of interest, as well as the mechanisms to avoid the existence of such conflicts.

Financial entities must inform the CNBV annually, within 15 days, a report on the conduct, operations, and services of individuals. If any act or operation with illegal resources is detected, financial entities must inform the authorities immediately, including the CNBV and the SHCP.

The board of directors of operating companies of investment funds, distribution entities, and stock appraisers of investment funds must approve a code of conduct, which must consider:

- · activities in compliance with the applicable laws;
- internal control rules for the compliance of provisions and policies contained in the code, including investment provisions issued by the CNBV;
- security mechanisms to ensure confidential information is used solely for authorised purposes and security measures to protect clients' files from fraud, robbery or misuse;
- an obligation on the general manager, officers and employees to conduct themselves in a fair, honest and professional manner in the performance of their activities; and
- a prohibition on officers, employees and proxies executing any type of operation with the public that contravenes market practices.

Members of the board of directors, the general manager, officers, regulatory comptrollers, proxies, and other employees must immediately report the existence of illegal or unethical conduct or activity to the regulatory comptroller.

Last updated on 14/03/2023



#### Switzerland

Author: Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger at Walder Wyss

Depending on the regulatory status of the employing entity and, as the case may be, on the exact activities of a financial service employee, a financial service employee needs to adhere to certain code of conduct rules (eg, regarding transparency and care, documentation and accountability).

Supervised companies in Switzerland are, in principle, required to set up an organisation that ensures the compliance with Swiss financial market laws and its statutory code of conduct rules. For this purpose, among others, companies are required to issue regulations that their employees must follow.

Under Swiss financial market laws, code of conduct rules are generally based on abstract statutory rules and concretized by recognised privately organised associations.

In particular, several professional organisations (eg, the Swiss Bankers Association or the Asset Management Association) and self-regulated organisations issue their own set of code of conduct rules that members are required to follow.

Last updated on 16/04/2024

## 13. Are there any particular rules that apply in relation to the use of post-termination restrictive covenants for employees in the financial services sector?



#### Mexico

Author: *Héctor González Graf* 

at Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo

There are no particular rules or legal provisions concerning the use of post-termination restrictive covenants. Nevertheless, it is common practice to execute termination agreements with officers and

general managers whereby non-disclosure, non-compete and non-solicitation provisions are set forth by the parties. The use of non-compete and non-solicitation provisions in termination agreements is only recommended for very specific employees and must be negotiated when the employment is terminated.

Plain non-compete and non-solicitation provisions binding employees after termination are not enforceable under Mexican law, because the Mexican Constitution grants individuals the right to perform any job, industry, commerce or work as long it is legal and not prohibited by a judicial or governmental decision.

Post-employment non-compete obligations, which are treated as an exception, must be agreed upon in connection to specific activities that may be deemed unfair competition, and may be enforced with economic compensation.

The period of enforceability must be proportional to:

- the number of years of employment;
- the level of information and importance of the position;
- the economic compensation; and
- the scope of the non-compete obligations.

Unfair competition and solicitation - either for business, or to induce other individuals to leave the company, while the employment contract between an individual and employer is in effect - may be considered misconduct. This misconduct is a cause of termination without notice for the company, and therefore it is feasible to enforce it.

The terms and conditions must be specifically addressed in writing, within the employment termination agreement, making express reference to the importance of the information, potential competition, activities that may be deemed unfair competition, intellectual property, and commercial advantages. The compensation paid is usually similar to or above the income of the employee while he or she was active with the company. Clawback and damages payments for breach of contract are standard practices.

Last updated on 14/03/2023



#### 🚹 Switzerland

Author: Simone Wetzstein, Matthias Lötscher, Sarah Vettiger at Walder Wyss

There are no particular rules that apply concerning the use of post-termination restrictive covenants for employees in the financial services sector in Switzerland. Rather, general post-contractual non-compete regulations come into play: the parties of an employment contract may agree on a non-compete clause, which must be included in the employment contract in writing to be valid. For the non-compete clause to be relevant, it must be sufficiently limited in terms of time, place and subject matter. Normally, the duration of a post-termination non-compete clause is no more than one year; however, the statutorily permissible duration is three years.

As a prerequisite for a contractual non-compete clause to be binding, access to sensitive data is required. The employee must either have access to customer data or manufacturing or business secrets. However, access alone is not enough. There must also be the possibility of harming the employer using this knowledge.

If a relationship between the customer and the employee or employer is personal (which is, for example, the case for lawyers or doctors), a post-termination non-compete clause is not applicable according to the Federal Supreme Court.

If there is an excessive non-compete clause, this can be restricted by a judge. In practice, most of the time, no restriction of the post-termination non-compete clause is imposed if the employer offers consideration in return for the agreement. The prohibition of competition may become invalid for two reasons. Firstly, the clause can become irrelevant if the employer has no more interest in maintaining the non-compete clause. Secondly, the clause is not effective if the employer has terminated the employment relationship. However,

this does not apply if the employee has given the employer a reason to terminate the employment relationship.

Swiss employment law does not provide for any compensation for a post-termination non-compete clause.

Last updated on 16/04/2024

#### Contributors



### Mexico

Héctor González Graf Marván, González Graf y González Larrazolo



#### Switzerland

Simone Wetzstein Matthias Lötscher Sarah Vettiger Walder Wyss

www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com