

Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May
Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

08. Can the employer search employees' possessions or files as part of an investigation?

Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*
at Bär & Karrer

The basic rule is that the employer may not search private data during internal investigations.

If there is a strong suspicion of criminal conduct on the part of the employee and a sufficiently strong justification exists, a search of private data may be justified.^[1] The factual connection with the employment relationship is given, for example, in the case of a criminal act committed during working hours or using workplace infrastructure.^[2]

^[1] Claudia Fritsche, *Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen*, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 168.

^[2] Claudia Fritsche, *Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen*, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 168 et seq.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

As part of an investigation, an employer may search the objects or files that are part of the company's property (eg, company or employers' laptops or phones for business purposes and emails or messages stored on the company's servers) without prior notice and without the need of the consent of the employee. However, the employer has no right to search an employee's personal possessions without consent.

To further avoid arguments or conflicts as to the right of ownership of a particular object or property, employers may specify in their internal policies, labour contracts, and handover documents what is to be

regarded as the company's assets and subject to a search in a workplace investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

26. How long should the outcome of the investigation remain on the employee's record?

Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*
at Bär & Karrer

From an employment law point of view, there is no statute of limitations on the employee's violations. Based on the specific circumstances (eg, damage incurred, type of violation, basis of trust or the position of the employee), a decision must be made as to the extent to which the outcome should remain on the record.

From a data protection point of view, only data that is in the interest of the employee (eg, to issue a reference letter) may be retained during the employment relationship. In principle, stored data must be deleted after the termination of the employment relationship. Longer retention may be justified if rights are still to be safeguarded or obligations are to be fulfilled in the future (eg, data needed regarding foreseeable legal proceedings, data required to issue a reference letter or data in relation to a non-competition clause).[\[1\]](#)

[\[1\]](#) Wolfgang Portmann/Isabelle Wildhaber, Schweizerisches Arbeitsrecht, 4. Edition, Zurich/St. Gallen 2020, N 473.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Vietnamese law does not provide for a period during which the outcome of the investigation should remain on the employee's records and files. However, this will depend on the employer's record-retention policies, which must comply with applicable data protection laws.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

Contributors

Switzerland

Laura Widmer
Sandra Schaffner
Bär & Karrer



Vietnam

Stephen Le

Trang Le

Le & Tran Law Corporation

www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com