

Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

02. How is a workplace investigation usually commenced?



Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

Internal investigations are usually initiated after reports about possible violations of the employer's code of conduct, applicable laws or regulations have been submitted by employees to their superiors, the human resources department or designated internal reporting systems such as hotlines (including whistleblowing hotlines).

For an internal investigation to be initiated, there must be a reasonable suspicion (grounds).[1] If no such grounds exist, the employer must ask the informant for further or more specific information. If no grounds for reasonable suspicion exists, the case must be closed. If grounds for reasonable suspicion exist, the appropriate investigative steps can be initiated by a formal investigation request from the company management.[2]

- [1] Claudia Fritsche, Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 21.
- [2] Klaus Moosmayer, Compliance, Praxisleitfaden für Unternehmen, 2. A. München 2015, N 314.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Author: Stephen Le, Trang Le at Le & Tran Law Corporation

The circumstances in which an employer commences a workplace investigation may vary, either through a whistleblower, through an internal system, email or phone call; complaints from suppliers, contractors, or customers; or accounts from observations and hearsay. Sometimes, it comes from anonymous complaints. However, it is common for an employer to verify whether the report or complaint is substantiated, partially

Last updated on 25/09/2023

08. Can the employer search employees' possessions or files as part of an investigation?



Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

The basic rule is that the employer may not search private data during internal investigations.

If there is a strong suspicion of criminal conduct on the part of the employee and a sufficiently strong justification exists, a search of private data may be justified.[1] The factual connection with the employment relationship is given, for example, in the case of a criminal act committed during working hours or using workplace infrastructure.[2]

- [1] Claudia Fritsche, Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 168.
- [2] Claudia Fritsche, Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 168 et seq.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Vietnam

Author: Stephen Le, Trang Le at Le & Tran Law Corporation

As part of an investigation, an employer may search the objects or files that are part of the company's property (eg, company or employers' laptops or phones for business purposes and emails or messages stored on the company's servers) without prior notice and without the need of the consent of the employee. However, the employer has no right to search an employee's personal possessions without consent.

To further avoid arguments or conflicts as to the right of ownership of a particular object or property, employers may specify in their internal policies, labour contracts, and handover documents what is to be regarded as the company's assets and subject to a search in a workplace investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

Contributors



Switzerland

Laura Widmer Sandra Schaffner Bär & Karrer



Vietnam

Stephen Le Trang Le Le & Tran Law Corporation

www. international employment lawyer. com