Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May
Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

01. What legislation, guidance and/or policies govern a workplace investigation?

France

Author: *Pascale Lagesse, Valentino Armillei*
at Bredin Prat

No specific rules directly govern a workplace investigation in the event of employee misconduct. However, several rules, both legal and administrative, affect the conduct of such an investigation. In addition, codes of conduct, internal regulations or guidelines may also exist within companies.

A new law (No. 2022-401) came into effect on 1 September 2022 and constitutes one of the cornerstones for future regulation of workplace investigations. This law transposes into French law the European directive relating to whistleblower protection. It does not, however, constitute a revolution, as a previous French law dated 9 December 2016 (the so-called Sapin 2 Law) already provided the whistleblower with a specific status and protection. These laws are fundamental when considering an internal investigation as the rules protecting the whistleblower and requiring the establishment of an internal whistleblowing channel (eg, a dedicated email or hotline) affect the degree of flexibility available to companies in conducting the investigation.

A new decree has been adopted (No. 2022-1284), dated 3 October 2022, for application of these new provisions. This decree sets out several obligations relating to the internal whistleblowing reporting process. The reporting channel will necessarily contribute to shape the internal investigation triggered by situations which have been reported by that channel. Companies subject to this decree may define the reporting procedure using the supporting tool of their choice (company collective agreement, internal memorandum, etc.), as long as the employee representative bodies are duly consulted on the matter. The decree also specifies that an acknowledgement of receipt of the alert must be provided to the author of the alert in writing within seven days from the company receiving the alert. The author of the alert must also be informed in writing, within a reasonable period not exceeding three months from acknowledgement of receipt of the alert, of the measures envisaged or taken to assess the accuracy of the allegations and, where appropriate, to remedy the situation which had been reported, as well as the reasons for these measures and, finally, the closure of the case.

More generally, not only do all the “pure” labour law rules relating to the protection of the human rights of employees need to be complied with (right to privacy, data protection under the GDPR, etc), but also the disciplinary rules and regulations that protect employees from unfounded sanctions imposed by their employer. For example, an employer can only sanction an employee's misconduct if the disciplinary procedure begins within two months of when the misconduct was committed or when the employer

becomes aware of it. In this respect, an internal investigation can be necessary for the employer to obtain full knowledge of the facts alleged to have been committed by the employee. It is nonetheless recommended that the internal investigation be completed within these two months to avoid the risk of the disciplinary action being time-barred.

Administrative rules produced by the French anti-corruption agency should also be taken into consideration (good practice, guidelines and recommendations relating to senior management’s commitment to implement anti-corruption measures, corruption risk mapping, corruption risk management measures and procedures), as well as the guidelines produced by the French Ministry of Employment relating to the prevention of sexual harassment and gender-based violence or the recommendations of the Human Rights Defender, which is a French special institution aimed at protecting fundamental rights.

When the investigation in question concerns moral or sexual harassment or violence in the workplace, the national interprofessional agreement of 26 March 2010 should be <referred to. This text stipulates that in the event of an investigation procedure, it should be based on, but not limited to, the following guiding principles:

- it is in everyone's interest to act with the discretion necessary to protect everyone's dignity and privacy;
- no information, unless it is anonymized, should be divulged to parties not involved in the case in question;
- complaints must be investigated and dealt with without delay;
- all parties involved must be listened to impartially and treated fairly;
- complaints must be supported by detailed information;
- deliberate false accusations must not be tolerated, and may result in disciplinary action;
- external assistance may be useful, notably from occupational health services.

Many are calling for the adoption of legislative rules governing such investigations, and their coordination with general whistleblower protection measures.

Finally, a company must take its own rules and regulations into account. Every company with at least 50 employees has the legal obligation to draw up internal rules and regulations, which notably set out the disciplinary sanctions applicable to employees, as well as a reminder of certain employees' rights.

Last updated on 27/11/2023

South Korea

Author: *Hyunjae Park, Paul Cho, Jihay Ellie Kwack, Kyson Keebong Paek*
at Kim & Chang

While there are no specific laws that regulate a workplace investigation, there are several laws that companies should consider when conducting a workplace investigation concerning alleged employee misconduct.

One key example is the Whistleblower Protection Act (WPA). The WPA provides legal protection to a whistleblower if their allegations are raised in good faith and are in the public interest as specified under the WPA. If the WPA applies, certain obligations apply to the company, including but not limited to the following:

- the obligation to protect the confidentiality of the whistleblower’s identity;
- protecting the whistleblower if the whistleblower suffers or is likely to suffer serious harm to life or health as a result of whistleblowing and the whistleblower requests protection; and
- refraining from taking retaliatory action on the whistleblower.

Therefore, if an employee raises allegations of another employee’s misconduct, the company should review whether the allegations fall under the WPA.

There are also special laws that impose obligations on the company if there are certain types of allegations

(eg, sexual harassment, workplace harassment).

In addition, when collecting and reviewing employees' electronic data, such as emails or files stored in work laptops or company servers, which may contain personal information, the company should comply with data privacy laws discussed in more detail in questions 7 and 8.

Companies may also have internal policies (eg, whistleblower protection policies, Code of Conduct) that may apply to workplace investigations, aside from the requirements under Korean law.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*
at Bär & Karrer

There is no specific legal regulation for internal investigations in Switzerland. The legal framework is derived from general rules such as the employer's duty of care, the employee's duty of loyalty and the employee's data protection rights. Depending on the context of the investigation, additional legal provisions may apply; for instance, additional provisions of the Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection or the Swiss Criminal Code.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

There are no specific legislative requirements for workplace investigations in Vietnam. However, Labor Code No. 45/2019/QH14 dated 20 November 2019 (2019 Labor Code), which is currently the primary legislation governing employment relationships, requires employers that have more than ten employees to provide a mechanism and procedure for handling sexual harassment cases in the workplace. Other than that, an employer may incorporate policies and guidelines on how to deal with workplace investigations into its handbook.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

Contributors

France

Pascale Lagesse
Valentino Armillei
Bredin Prat

South Korea

Hyunjae Park
Paul Cho
Jihay Ellie Kwack

Kyson Keebong Paek
Kim & Chang

Switzerland

Laura Widmer
Sandra Schaffner
Bär & Karrer

Vietnam

Stephen Le
Trang Le
Le & Tran Law Corporation