Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

01. What legislation, guidance and/or policies govern a workplace investigation?



Author: *Chisako Takaya* at Mori Hamada & Matsumoto

There is no specific legislation, guidance or policies covering investigations in the workplace. Issues such as the Personal Data Protection Law, invasion of privacy, and infringement of freedoms may arise regarding the related parties, subjects, methods, and results of investigations. In addition, court decisions have stated that "when there has been a violation of corporate order, an investigation of the facts may be conducted to clarify the nature of the violation, issue business instructions or orders necessary to restore the disturbed order or take disciplinary action against the violator as a sanction". The investigation or order must be reasonable and necessary for the smooth operation of the enterprise, and the method and manner of the investigation or order must not be excessive or restrain an employee's personality or freedom. In such a case, the investigation may be considered to be illegal and may constitute a tort.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner* at Bär & Karrer

There is no specific legal regulation for internal investigations in Switzerland. The legal framework is derived from general rules such as the employer's duty of care, the employee's duty of loyalty and the employee's data protection rights. Depending on the context of the investigation, additional legal provisions may apply; for instance, additional provisions of the Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection or the Swiss Criminal Code.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

12. Can the identity of the complainant, witnesses or

sources of information for the investigation be kept confidential?



Author: *Chisako Takaya* at Mori Hamada & Matsumoto

For whistleblowing investigations, whistleblower protection is required (see question 9).

Witnesses and other sources of information are not protected by the Whistleblower Protection Act.

In addition, as a response to a report of harassment, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare guidelines require that necessary measures be taken to protect the privacy of the reporter, the offender, and others, and that these measures be announced to the company.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner* at Bär & Karrer

As mentioned under Question 10, the employer's duty of care (article 328, Swiss Code of Obligations) also entails the employer's duty to respect and protect the personality (including confidentiality and privacy) and integrity of employees (article 328 paragraph 1, Swiss Code of Obligations) and to take appropriate measures to protect them.

However, in combination with the right to be heard and the right to be informed regarding an investigation, the accused also has the right that incriminating evidence is presented to them throughout the investigation and that they can comment on it. For instance, this right includes disclosure of the persons accusing them and their concrete statements. Anonymisation or redaction of such statements is permissible if the interests of the persons incriminating the accused or the interests of the employer override the accused' interests to be presented with the relevant documents or statements (see question 11; see also article 9 paragraphs 1 and 4, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection). However, a careful assessment of interests is required, and these must be limited to what is necessary. In principle, a person accusing another person must take responsibility for their information and accept criticism from the person implicated by the information provided.[1]

[1] Roger Rudolph, Interne Untersuchungen: Spannungsfelder aus arbeitsrechtlicher Sicht, SJZ 114/2018, p. 390.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Contributors





Laura Widmer Sandra Schaffner *Bär & Karrer*

www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com