

# Workplace Investigations

## Contributing Editors

*Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May*  
*Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May*

## 02. How is a workplace investigation usually commenced?



### India

Author: *Atul Gupta, Kanishka Maggon, Kopal Kumar*  
at Trilegal

As a precursor to the actual disciplinary process, investigations are usually initiated when the employer becomes aware of an allegation or complaint of misconduct, or observes any acts or omissions by an employee constituting workplace misconduct. The employer (or investigating committee – which could also be an outside agency like an auditor or law firm appointed by the employer) would generally commence the investigation by speaking with the complainant (or whistleblower) to gather as many details as possible (relevant facts, evidence, list of witnesses, etc) concerning the allegations, so that the next steps and approach can be determined upfront.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



### Japan

Author: *Chisako Takaya*  
at Mori Hamada & Matsumoto

The trigger for an investigation in the workplace may be:

- when an employee makes a report (eg, a report of harassment, a report of misconduct by another employee, etc);
- when an investigation is conducted by the Labour Standards Inspection Office or another regulatory agency;
- when a criminal or illegal act is discovered in the workplace; or
- when an internal audit conducted by the company reveals a problem.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



### Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*  
at Bär & Karrer

Internal investigations are usually initiated after reports about possible violations of the employer's code of conduct, applicable laws or regulations have been submitted by employees to their superiors, the human resources department or designated internal reporting systems such as hotlines (including whistleblowing hotlines).

For an internal investigation to be initiated, there must be a reasonable suspicion (grounds).<sup>[1]</sup> If no such grounds exist, the employer must ask the informant for further or more specific information. If no grounds for reasonable suspicion exist, the case must be closed. If grounds for reasonable suspicion exist, the appropriate investigative steps can be initiated by a formal investigation request from the company management.<sup>[2]</sup>

---

<sup>[1]</sup> Claudia Fritsche, *Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen*, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 21.

<sup>[2]</sup> Klaus Moosmayer, *Compliance, Praxisleitfaden für Unternehmen*, 2. A. München 2015, N 314.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Contributors



### India

Atul Gupta  
Kanishka Maggon  
Kopal Kumar  
*Trilegal*



### Japan

Chisako Takaya  
*Mori Hamada & Matsumoto*



### Switzerland

Laura Widmer  
Sandra Schaffner  
*Bär & Karrer*