

Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May
Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

10. What confidentiality obligations apply during an investigation?

Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

A workplace investigation is often a sensitive matter that requires necessary confidentiality to find out the truth discreetly and objectively. Nevertheless, there is often pressure from employees, trade unions or even the media and general public to be transparent and communicate about the case. From a legal perspective, it is not recommended to communicate openly about an ongoing investigation, as this can jeopardise the investigation or the possibility of taking disciplinary measures.

Whistleblower investigations will be bound by a strict duty of confidentiality regarding anything that could reveal the identity of the reporter.

In complaints due to sexual harassment, violence or bullying at work, the prevention adviser is bound by professional secrecy. Consequently, he or she may not disclose to third parties any information about individuals that have come to his or her knowledge in the performance of his or her duties. However, he or she still has the freedom to inform the people concerned to carry out his or her tasks in the procedure.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*
at Bär & Karrer

Besides the employee's duty of performance (article 319, Swiss Code of Obligations), the employment relationship is defined by the employer's duty of care (article 328, Swiss Code of Obligations) and the employee's duty of loyalty (article 321a, Swiss Code of Obligations). Ancillary duties can be derived from the two duties, which are of importance for the confidentiality of an internal investigation.[\[1\]](#)

In principle, the employer must respect and protect the personality (including confidentiality and privacy) and integrity of the employee (article 328 paragraph 1, Swiss Code of Obligations) and take appropriate measures to protect the employee. Because of the danger of pre-judgment or damage to reputation as well

as other adverse consequences, the employer must conduct an internal investigation discreetly and objectively. The limits of the duty of care are found in the legitimate self-interest of the employer.^[2]

In return for the employer's duty of care, employees must comply with their duty of loyalty and safeguard the employer's legitimate interests. In connection with an internal investigation, employees must therefore keep the conduct of an investigation confidential. Additionally, employees must keep confidential and not disclose to any third party any facts that they have acquired in the course of the employment relationship, and which are neither obvious nor publicly accessible.^[3]

^[1] Wolfgang Portmann/Roger Rudolph, BSK OR, Art. 328 N 1 et seq.

^[2] Claudia Fritsche, *Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz*, Ein Handbuch für Unternehmen mit besonderem Fokus auf Finanzinstitute, p. 202.

^[3] David Rosenthal et al., *Praxishandbuch für interne Untersuchungen und eDiscovery*, Release 1.01, Zürich/Bern 2021, p. 133.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

18. What if unrelated matters are revealed as a result of the investigation?



Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon*
at Van Olmen & Wynant

If the investigation is not protected by confidentiality towards the employer (e.g. the prevention advisor cannot disclose confidential information to the employer), it could result in further measures taken by the employer or lead to a new investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*
at Bär & Karrer

There are no regulations in this regard in the Swiss employment law framework. However, in criminal proceedings, the rules regarding accidental findings apply (eg, article 243, Swiss Criminal Procedure Code for searches and examinations or article 278, Swiss Criminal Procedure Code for surveillance of post and telecommunications). In principle, accidental findings are usable, with the caveat of general prohibitions on the use of evidence.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Belgium

Nicolas Simon

Van Olmen & Wynant



Switzerland

Laura Widmer

Sandra Schaffner

Bär & Karrer

www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com