

Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

22. What must the employee under investigation be told about the outcome of an investigation?



Belgium

Author: *Nicolas Simon* at Van Olmen & Wynant

It is highly recommended to inform the employee under investigation of the outcome. If disciplinary measures are imposed upon him or her, the legal procedure must be followed and the sanction must be imposed or communicated the day after the employer or his delegate has established the wrongdoing of the employee.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

*

Hong Kong

Author: Wynne Mok, Jason Cheng, Audrey Li at Slaughter and May

The employer is generally not obliged under Hong Kong law to inform the employee under investigation of the outcome of the investigation absent any express obligation under the employment contract, even where the investigation has led to a decision to terminate the employee. However, to avoid any unnecessary claim of unlawful dismissal or dismissal without a valid reason, the employer should inform the employee of the reason for his or her termination, even if the investigation results may not be shared in full with the employee.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner

at Bär & Karrer

Workplace investigations often result in an investigation report that is intended to serve as the basis for

any measures to be taken by the company's decisionmakers.

The employee's right to information based on article 8, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection also covers the investigation report, provided that the report and the data contained therein relate to the employee.[1] In principle, the employee concerned is entitled to receive a written copy of the entire investigation report free of charge (article 8 paragraph 5, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection and article 1 et seq, Ordinance to the Federal Act on Data Protection). Redactions may be made where the interests of the company or third parties so require, but they are the exception and must be kept to a minimum.[2]

- [1] Arbeitsgericht Zürich, Entscheide 2013 No. 16; Roger Rudolph, Interne Untersuchungen: Spannungsfelder aus arbeitsrechtlicher Sicht, SJZ 114/2018, p. 393 et seq.
- [2] Roger Rudolph, Interne Untersuchungen: Spannungsfelder aus arbeitsrechtlicher Sicht, SJZ 114/2018, p. 394.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Contributors



Belgium

Nicolas Simon

Van Olmen & Wynant



Hong Kong

Wynne Mok Jason Cheng Audrey Li *Slaughter and May*



Switzerland

Laura Widmer Sandra Schaffner *Bär & Karrer*

www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com