Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

02. How is a workplace investigation usually commenced?



Singapore

Author: Jonathan Yuen, Doreen Chia, Tan Ting Ting at Rajah & Tann Singapore

A workplace investigation usually commences with the receipt of feedback, a complaint or a grievance, by named or anonymous persons, in respect of a work-related matter or event, or the conduct of an employee.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Swi

Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

Internal investigations are usually initiated after reports about possible violations of the employer's code of conduct, applicable laws or regulations have been submitted by employees to their superiors, the human resources department or designated internal reporting systems such as hotlines (including whistleblowing hotlines).

For an internal investigation to be initiated, there must be a reasonable suspicion (grounds).[1] If no such grounds exist, the employer must ask the informant for further or more specific information. If no grounds for reasonable suspicion exists, the case must be closed. If grounds for reasonable suspicion exist, the appropriate investigative steps can be initiated by a formal investigation request from the company management.[2]

- [1] Claudia Fritsche, Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 21.
- [2] Klaus Moosmayer, Compliance, Praxisleitfaden für Unternehmen, 2. A. München 2015, N 314.

26. How long should the outcome of the investigation remain on the employee's record?



Singapore

Author: Jonathan Yuen, Doreen Chia, Tan Ting Ting at Rajah & Tann Singapore

This depends on the company's internal disciplinary policy and the severity of the offence. For instance, a written warning issued against an employee for minor misconduct is usually kept in the respondent employee's file for one year and if the employee does not commit any further breaches during this time, the written warning will be expunged. However, if there is a finding of serious misconduct, particularly if such a determination results in the dismissal of the employee, these records are generally kept in the employee's file for the duration of time such records are statutorily required to be maintained.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

From an employment law point of view, there is no statute of limitations on the employee's violations. Based on the specific circumstances (eg, damage incurred, type of violation, basis of trust or the position of the employee), a decision must be made as to the extent to which the outcome should remain on the record.

From a data protection point of view, only data that is in the interest of the employee (eg, to issue a reference letter) may be retained during the employment relationship. In principle, stored data must be deleted after the termination of the employment relationship. Longer retention may be justified if rights are still to be safeguarded or obligations are to be fulfilled in the future (eg, data needed regarding foreseeable legal proceedings, data required to issue a reference letter or data in relation to a non-competition clause).[1]

[1] Wolfgang Portmann/Isabelle Wildhaber, Schweizerisches Arbeitsrecht, 4. Edition, Zurich/St. Gallen 2020, N 473.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Contributors



Singapore

Jonathan Yuen Doreen Chia Tan Ting Ting Rajah & Tann Singapore



Switzerland

Laura Widmer Sandra Schaffner Bär & Karrer

www. international employment lawyer. com