

# Workplace Investigations

## Contributing Editors

*Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May*  
*Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May*

### 13. Can non-disclosure agreements (NDAs) be used to keep the fact and substance of an investigation confidential?

#### France

Author: *Pascale Lagesse, Valentino Armillei*  
at Bredin Prat

Most of the time, the legal protection afforded by the legally prescribed confidentiality obligation that applies to whistleblowing is sufficient. This is all the more so given every person involved is bound by an obligation of discretion. However, there is no legal obstacle to the creation of an NDA between the employer and the people involved.

NDAs setting out a strict and reinforced obligation of confidentiality and discretion during the investigation should be signed by any external parties involved (eg, translation agency, IT expert) or when the internal investigation is outside the scope of whistleblowing regulations.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

#### Nigeria

Author: *Adekunle Obebe*  
at Bloomfield LP

NDAs are usually part of an employee's contract and, as such, create a contractual obligation between the parties privy to it. However, where the subject matter of an investigation borders on matters of a criminal nature, it might be impossible for parties to the NDA to continually uphold the obligation under the NDA because the parties have an obligation to the state to disclose facts of a criminal nature.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

#### Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*

at Bär & Karrer

In addition to the above-mentioned statutory confidentiality obligations, separate non-disclosure agreements can be signed. In an internal investigation, the employee should be expressly instructed to maintain confidentiality.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## 26. How long should the outcome of the investigation remain on the employee's record?



### France

Author: *Pascale Lagesse, Valentino Armillei*  
at Bredin Prat

If the outcome of the internal investigation has led to the sanctioning of an employee, this sanction may no longer be invoked to support a new sanction after three years. Moreover, under the GDPR principles, the duration of retention must be proportional to the use of the data. Therefore, the data must be retained only for a period that is "strictly necessary and proportionate". If the employer wants to keep information about the investigation in the longer term, it is possible to archive the employee's record even though the employer will no longer be able to use it against the employee after three years.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



### Nigeria

Author: *Adekunle Obebe*  
at Bloomfield LP

The law does not provide for the time the outcome of the investigation may remain on the employee's record. However, this will depend on the employer's record-retention policies, which must comply with applicable data protection laws.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



### Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*  
at Bär & Karrer

From an employment law point of view, there is no statute of limitations on the employee's violations. Based on the specific circumstances (eg, damage incurred, type of violation, basis of trust or the position of the employee), a decision must be made as to the extent to which the outcome should remain on the record.

From a data protection point of view, only data that is in the interest of the employee (eg, to issue a reference letter) may be retained during the employment relationship. In principle, stored data must be deleted after the termination of the employment relationship. Longer retention may be justified if rights are still to be safeguarded or obligations are to be fulfilled in the future (eg, data needed regarding foreseeable legal proceedings, data required to issue a reference letter or data in relation to a non-competition

clause).[1]

---

[1] Wolfgang Portmann/Isabelle Wildhaber, Schweizerisches Arbeitsrecht, 4. Edition, Zurich/St. Gallen 2020, N 473.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Contributors



### France

Pascale Lagesse  
Valentino Armillei  
*Bredin Prat*



### Nigeria

Adekunle Obebe  
*Bloomfield LP*



### Switzerland

Laura Widmer  
Sandra Schaffner  
*Bär & Karrer*

[www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com](http://www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com)