

# Workplace Investigations

## Contributing Editors

*Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May*  
*Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May*

## 01. What legislation, guidance and/or policies govern a workplace investigation?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

Mainly, the Occupational Safety and Health Act (738/2002). In addition, the following also have relevance in connection to a workplace investigation: the Employment Contracts Act (55/2001), the Criminal Code (39/1889), the Act on Occupational Safety and Health Enforcement and Cooperation on Occupational Safety and Health at Workplaces (44/2006), the Act on Equality between Women and Men (609/1986) and the Non-discrimination Act (1325/2014). In addition, the employer's own policies must be taken into consideration while conducting a workplace investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

There are essentially two phases in a workplace investigation: the fact-finding phase and the administrative proceeding.

The fact-finding phase of workplace investigations is usually governed by the internal policies of the employer, save for investigations relating to gender-based sexual harassment in the workplace. Republic Act No. 11313, otherwise known as the Safe Spaces Act, sets the parameters for these kinds of investigations.

Philippine case law recognises the right of an employer to conduct investigations for other acts of misconduct in the workplace in the exercise of its management prerogative. The Supreme Court has held that it is an employer's right to investigate acts of wrongdoing by employees, and employees involved in such investigations cannot simply claim that employers are out to get them.

After the fact-finding aspect of the investigation, if the employer decides it has sufficient grounds to proceed to full-blown administrative proceedings, it needs to comply with the due process requirements outlined under the Philippine Labor Code. These requirements are:

- a first notice, or notice to explain, informing the employee of the charges against him or her;
- an opportunity for the employee to be heard; and
- a final notice on the outcome of the administrative action.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 02. How is a workplace investigation usually commenced?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

When the employer becomes aware of possible misconduct, the employer must commence an investigation immediately, in practice within about two weeks. The information may come to the employer's knowledge via, for example, the employer's own observations, from the complainant or their colleagues or an employee representative.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Workplace investigations are normally commenced either through a complaint filed by other employees in the workplace or by HR or other representatives of management.

Under the Safe Spaces Act, employers are required to commence an investigation and decide on complaints regarding gender-based sexual harassment, within ten days of the complaint being brought to their attention. For other workplace misconduct, management is given wide discretion regarding the means and method by which the workplace investigation may be carried out.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 03. Can an employee be suspended during a workplace investigation? Are there any conditions on suspension (eg, pay, duration)?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

There is no legislation on temporary suspension in the event of a workplace investigation or similar. In some situations, the employer may relieve the employee from their working obligation with pay for a short

period.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

A preventive suspension pending investigation is allowed under the law, provided that the continued employment of the subject of the investigation poses a serious and imminent threat to the life or property of the employer or other employees. Additionally, the period of preventive suspension pending investigation should not last longer than 30 days. However, should the employer wish to extend this period, the employer must pay the employee's wages and other benefits. The employee is under no obligation to reimburse the amount paid to them during the extension if the employer should, later on, decide to dismiss the employee after the completion of the process.

In practice, the notice of preventive suspension is issued simultaneously with the first notice or the notice to explain after the employer has conducted its fact-finding investigation and has reason to believe that the employee must be held accountable for his or her actions.

Since placing an employee under preventive suspension requires the existence of a serious and imminent threat to the life or property of the employer or other employees, some employers opt to place the employee or employees involved on agreed paid leave. This will allow the employer to conduct an unhampered workplace investigation while the investigated employee is still able to receive his or her full salary during this period. The exact period of paid leave may be agreed upon by the employer and the employee, but ideally it should not last for more than thirty days.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 04. Who should conduct a workplace investigation, are there minimum qualifications or criteria that need to be met?



## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

The employer must conduct the investigation, but the actual work can be done either by the employer's personnel or by an external investigator, for example, a law firm. Either way, there are no formal criteria for the persons executing the investigation; however, impartiality is required from the person conducting the investigation

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Under the Safe Spaces Act, an employer should create an independent internal mechanism or a committee on decorum and investigation to investigate and address complaints of gender-based sexual harassment, which should:

- adequately represent the management, the employees from the supervisory rank, the rank-and-file employees, and the union, if any;
- designate a woman as its head and no less than half of its members should be women;
- be composed of members who are impartial and not connected or related to the alleged perpetrator;
- investigate and decide on the complaints within 10 days or less upon receipt thereof;
- observe due process;
- protect the complainant from retaliation; and
- guarantee confidentiality to the greatest extent possible.

For other types of offences, it is the prerogative of management as to who will conduct the investigation and how it will be conducted, provided the proceedings remain impartial.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 05. Can the employee under investigation bring legal action to stop the investigation?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

The employee does not have a legal right to stop the investigation. The employer must fulfil its obligation to investigate the alleged misconduct.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

There is generally no legal remedy for an employee to stop a workplace investigation as it is the prerogative of management to conduct it. Nevertheless, if the employee alleges violation of any specific law or contractual provision in the conduct of the investigation, the employee may be able to seek judicial relief for violation of the law or contract, and ask for interim relief.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 06. Can co-workers be compelled to act as witnesses? What legal protections do employees have when acting as witnesses in an investigation?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

There is no legislation on a witness's role in investigations. However, the legislation on occupational safety requires that employees must report any irregularities they observe. Depending on the situation, participating in the investigation may also be part of the person's work duties, role or position, in which case the employer may require the employee to contribute to clarifying the situation. However, there is no formal obligation to act as a witness, and there is no legislation regarding the protection of witnesses. If a witness wishes, they may have, for example, an employee representative as a support person during the hearing.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Neither the employer nor the employee subject of the investigation can compel co-workers to act as a witness. There is no specific law for whistleblowers or employees who act as witnesses during an investigation. Nevertheless, the employer can have its own whistleblower policy.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 07. What data protection or other regulations apply when gathering physical evidence?



## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

Generally, the basic principles set out by the GDPR and the Finnish Data Protection Act apply to data processing in connection with investigations, including evidence gathering: there must be a legal basis for processing, personal data may only be processed and stored when and for as long as necessary considering the purposes of processing, etc.

Additionally, if physical evidence concerns the electronic communications (such as emails and online chats) of an employee, gathering evidence is subject to certain restrictions based on Finnish ePrivacy and employee privacy laws. As a general rule, an employee's electronic communications accounts, including those provided by the employer for work purposes, may not be accessed and electronic communications may not be searched or reviewed by the employer. In practice, the employer may access such electronic correspondence only in limited situations stipulated in the Act on Protection of Privacy in Working Life (759/2004), or by obtaining case-specific consent from the employee, which is typically not possible in internal investigations, particularly concerning the employee suspected of wrongdoing.

However, monitoring data flow strictly between the employee and the employer's information systems (eg, the employee saving data to USB sticks, using printers) is allowed under Finnish legislation, provided that employee emails, chats, etc, are not accessed and monitored. If documentation is unrelated to electronic communications, it also may be reviewed by the employer. Laptops, paper archives and other similar company documentation considered "physical evidence" may be investigated while gathering evidence on the condition that any private documentation, communications, pictures or other content of an employee

are not accessed.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

The procedure for gathering physical evidence is governed primarily by company policy. Nevertheless, the Data Privacy Act of the Philippines protects all data subjects from unlawful processing of their personal information without consent.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 08. Can the employer search employees' possessions or files as part of an investigation?



## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

Only the police can search employees' possessions (assuming that the prerequisites outlined in the legislation are met).

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Subject to the employees' reasonable expectation of privacy, gathering physical evidence within the premises of the workplace and through company-issued property has been upheld to be legally permissible in pursuit of the employer's right to conduct work-related investigations. The search, however, should be limited to the alleged acts complained of and must not be used as a fishing expedition to find incriminating information about the erring employee.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 09. What additional considerations apply when the investigation involves whistleblowing?



## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*

at Roschier

In respect of data protection, the processing of personal data in whistleblowing systems is considered by the Finnish Data Protection Ombudsman (DPO) as requiring a data protection impact assessment (DPIA).

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Since there is no specific law that governs whistleblowing, matters that involve whistleblowing will be governed by company policy.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 10. What confidentiality obligations apply during an investigation?



## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

Concerning a workplace investigation, there is no specific legislation in force at the moment regarding confidentiality obligations. All normal legal confidentiality obligations (eg, obligations outlined in the Trade Secrets Act (595/2018)), and if using an external investigator, the confidentiality obligations outlined in the agreement between the employer and the external investigator, apply. Attorneys-at-law always have strict confidentiality obligations as per the Advocates Act (496/1958).

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Since the right to investigate ultimately belongs to the employer, it may impose strict confidentiality obligations upon the individuals involved, not only to ensure unhampered investigation proceedings but also and more importantly for the protection of the company and employees involved.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 11. What information must the employee under investigation be given about the allegations against them?

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

The process must be transparent and impartial, and therefore all the information that may influence the conclusions made during the investigation should be shared with the employee.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

During the fact-finding stage of the investigation, the employees under investigation are not generally entitled to information concerning the conduct of the investigation. It is the prerogative of management to involve the employee under investigation during the fact-finding stage. When, however, the employer determines that an administrative disciplinary process must proceed, the employee's right to due process attaches. As such, due process includes the right to be informed of the grounds relied upon by the employer and the opportunity to be heard. The first notice or notice to explain should specifically inform the employee of the charge against him or her.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

# **12. Can the identity of the complainant, witnesses or sources of information for the investigation be kept confidential?**

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

See question 11, there is no protection of anonymity as the process must be transparent to the parties involved.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

The identity of the complainant, witnesses and sources of information may be kept confidential under the employer's policies.

Last updated on 26/01/2023



## 13. Can non-disclosure agreements (NDAs) be used to keep the fact and substance of an investigation confidential?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

Yes, however, the need for an NDA is assessed always on a case-by-case basis.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

The practice of stipulating matters to ensure adherence to confidentiality is not uncommon. As such, NDAs are executed as a means of added protection for both the company and the employees involved.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 14. When does privilege attach to investigation materials?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

The privilege of investigation materials concerns a rather limited amount of cases. In practice, materials may be considered privileged in connection with the litigation process under the Procedural Code (4/1734). For example, communications between a client and an attorney may attract protection against forcible public disclosure.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

The employer's internal policy can indicate that investigation materials must be kept confidential.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 15. Does the employee under investigation have a right to be accompanied or have legal representation during the investigation?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

The employee under investigation has a right to have a support person present (eg, a lawyer or an employee representative) during the hearings and a right to assistance in preparing written statements.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Since the fact-finding phase of the investigation is considered to be a preliminary step before the commencement of the administrative disciplinary process, an employee's right to representation does not attach.

However, when the administrative disciplinary process commences, the employee has the right to have legal representation during the investigation. While no law requires the employee to have counsel present during the investigation, the employee has the right, if he or she chooses, to be advised by counsel or have legal representation.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 16. If there is a works council or trade union, does it have any right to be informed or involved in the investigation?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

A works council or a trade union does not have a role in the investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Except if provided expressly under a collective bargaining agreement, the union does not have the right to be involved in the investigation. Given that the investigation is between the employee and the company, it follows that the union does not have any right to participate in the investigation proceedings.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 17. What other support can employees involved in the investigation be given?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

They can request assistance, for example, from an occupational health and safety representative, a shop steward or the occupational healthcare provider.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Since the conduct of an investigation is different from the administrative disciplinary process, management is given wide latitude for the exercise of the same.

After the employer determines that there are sufficient grounds to support the conduct of a formal administrative process, employees that are the subject of an administrative hearing should be allowed to present evidence to support his or her statements. Further, the employee may also provide affidavits of his or her co-employees consistent with his or her testimony.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 18. What if unrelated matters are revealed as a result of the investigation?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

If they are related to the work or workplace, the employer will handle the emerging matters separately. In internal investigations, the employer is allowed to use any material legally available.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

If unrelated matters are revealed because of a workplace investigation, the employer may look into the new matter and then determine whether there are sufficient grounds to proceed with an administrative disciplinary process for the new matter.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 19. What if the employee under investigation raises a grievance during the investigation?



## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

If the nature of the grievance relates to the employer's obligations to handle such matters in general, the grievance will be investigated either separately or as a part of the ongoing investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

If an employee under investigation raises a grievance during an ongoing investigation, the employer must ensure that the employee under investigation is treated reasonably and fairly. Thus, the employer must also give attention to the complaint made by the employee and determine if there are reasonable grounds for the concern of the employee. If the employer determines the validity of the grievance raised, the employer may conduct a separate investigation for it.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 20. What if the employee under investigation goes off sick during the investigation?



## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

As a general rule, sick leave does not prevent an investigation from progressing. Depending on the nature of the sickness, the employee can attend hearings and take part in the procedure. If the sickness prevents the employee from participating, the employer can put the process on hold temporarily.



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

Since neither consent nor the presence of the employee is material to the conduct of the investigation, his or her absence would not, in practice, imperil the conduct of the investigation.

As previously discussed, because the employer exercises a wide latitude of discretion in conducting workplace investigations, the employer may choose to proceed with the investigation despite the absence of the employee being investigated. Since the proceeding is only in the investigation phase, the statutory right of the employee to be heard is not violated, even if the investigation takes place without his or her participation.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 21. How do you handle a parallel criminal and/or regulatory investigation?



## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

Regardless of a possible criminal investigation, the employer must run its internal workplace investigation without unnecessary delay. A workplace investigation and a criminal investigation are two separate processes and can be ongoing simultaneously, so the criminal process does not require the workplace investigation to be stayed. Thus, parallel investigations are to be considered as two separate matters. The police may only obtain evidence or material from the company or employer if strict requirements for equipment searches are met after a request for investigation has been submitted to the police.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

It is within the employer's discretion to pursue the investigation even if a parallel criminal or regulatory investigation is taking place. As such, different investigations may proceed independently of each other. However, if the workplace investigation would interfere with or hinder the criminal or regulatory investigation, the workplace investigation should defer to the investigation being conducted by the people in authority. Since the nature of a workplace investigation is highly confidential, the police or regulations cannot compel any evidence from the employer without a court order.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 22. What must the employee under investigation be told about the outcome of an investigation?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

The employer's conclusions from the investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

The employee under investigation should be informed of the results of the investigation and the basis of the conclusion. It should be included in the first notice or the notice to explain.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 23. Should the investigation report be shared in full, or just the findings?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

The employee under investigation may only be informed of the conclusions.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

The employer is not compelled to share its investigation report with the employee. However, it would be ideal for the company to keep in its records a comprehensive report that details the findings of the investigation. This would be useful during the administrative disciplinary process when the employee requests to be informed of the substantive grounds for his or her eventual termination.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 24. What next steps are available to the employer?

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

The employer decides whether misconduct has taken place or not. Depending on the case, the employer may recommend a workplace conciliation in which the parties try to find a solution that can be accepted by both sides. The employer may choose to give an oral reprimand or a written warning. If the legal conditions are met, the employer may also terminate the employment agreement.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

After the investigation has been concluded, the next steps of the employer will depend on the result of the investigation. If there are reasonable grounds to hold the employee for an administrative hearing, the employer may issue a Notice To Explain containing the charges against him or her and allowing the employee to explain his or her side. Otherwise, the employer may terminate the investigation immediately.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## **25. Who can (or must) the investigation findings be disclosed to? Does that include regulators/police? Can the interview records be kept private, or are they at risk of disclosure?**

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

In general, investigation materials, including findings, that includes personal data should only be processed by the personnel of the organisation who are responsible for internal investigations. However, it may in some situations be required by applicable legislation that findings are disclosed to competent authorities for the performance of their duties, such as conducting investigations in connection with malpractice and violations of the law.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

The result of the workplace investigation must be kept private by the employer. These are confidential matters that should not be disclosed to people or entities who did not take part in the investigation. However, if the investigation findings show that a possibly unlawful or criminal activity has taken place, or is about to take place, the employer should share such findings with the authorities.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 26. How long should the outcome of the investigation remain on the employee's record?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

Please see question 7. The outcome of the investigation involving personal data may be retained only for as long as is necessary considering the purposes of the processing. In general, the retention of investigation-related data may be necessary while the investigation is still ongoing and even then the requirements of data minimization and accuracy should be considered. The data concerning the outcome of an investigation should be registered to the employee's record merely to the extent necessary in light of the employment relationship or potential disciplinary measures. In this respect, the applicable retention time depends on labour law-related rights and limitations, considering eg, the applicable periods for filing a suit.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

The outcome of the investigation should only remain on the employee's record for as long as is necessary, but shall not be less than three years as this is the record-keeping requirement under the Philippine Labor Code. If circumstances deem that such a report ceases to have any purpose whatsoever, it should be struck out of the employee's record.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

## 27. What legal exposure could the employer face for errors during the investigation?

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*  
at Roschier

There are no regulations regarding the actual investigation process. Therefore, the employer cannot be accused of procedural errors as such. However, once the matter has been adequately investigated, the employer must decide whether or not misconduct has taken place. If the employer considers that



misconduct has taken place, the employer must take adequate measures for remedying the situation. Failure to adequately conduct the investigation could result in criminal sanctions being imposed on the employer as an organisation or the employer's representative, or damages.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Philippines

Author: *Rashel Ann C. Pomoy*  
at Villaraza & Angangco

An employer may be liable for illegal termination if a dismissal is made based on wrong information collected during the investigation. Thus, the data and information gathered during the investigation stage must be correct and accurate. Further, investigations should be conducted in a manner that is fair and reasonable to the employee under investigation. Otherwise, the employee may treat the investigation as harassment on the part of the employer, which may subject the employer to a potential lawsuit.

Last updated on 26/01/2023

### Contributors



## Finland

Anu Waaralinna  
Mari Mohsen  
*Roschier*



## Philippines

Rashel Ann C. Pomoy  
*Villaraza & Angangco*