# Workplace Investigations

## Contributing Editors

*Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May*
*Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May*

## 01. What legislation, guidance and/or policies govern a workplace investigation?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

Austrian law does not impose an obligation on employers to conduct internal investigations and they do not have to follow a specific legal pattern when doing so. However, an obligation to conduct internal investigations may arise out of certain provisions of criminal, company or even labour law – in particular, an indirect obligation arising from an employer's duty of care, which requires them to act against employee mistreatment, such as bullying.

If such internal investigations are initiated, compliance with labour law and data protection regulations is mandatory. According to section 16 of the Austrian Civil Code (ABGB), the employer must also protect the personal rights of the individual. It is important to emphasise that a company's internal investigation is a private measure and differs from official investigations.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

Mainly, the Occupational Safety and Health Act (738/2002). In addition, the following also have relevance in connection to a workplace investigation: the Employment Contracts Act (55/2001), the Criminal Code (39/1889), the Act on Occupational Safety and Health Enforcement and Cooperation on Occupational Safety and Health at Workplaces (44/2006), the Act on Equality between Women and Men (609/1986) and the Non-discrimination Act (1325/2014). In addition, the employer's own policies must be taken into consideration while conducting a workplace investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

There are no specific legislative requirements for workplace investigations in Vietnam. However, Labor Code No. 45/2019/QH14 dated 20 November 2019 (2019 Labor Code), which is currently the primary legislation governing employment relationships, requires employers that have more than ten employees to provide a mechanism and procedure for handling sexual harassment cases in the workplace. Other than that, an employer may incorporate policies and guidelines on how to deal with workplace investigations into its handbook.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 02. How is a workplace investigation usually commenced?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

In general, an internal investigation is only initiated if there is suspicion of a violation. The decision to commence an internal investigation is up to the company, and it has to weigh the pros and cons. For limited liability companies, which are subject to the Association Responsibility Act, an internal investigation may exempt them from criminal liability. Disadvantages may include investigation costs, disruption of operations, discovery of information requiring later disclosure, possible negative media coverage and increased risk of exposure to external parties.

Investigations can relate to specific individuals, departments, or the entire company. An investigation may include various measures, such as obtaining and analysing files and documents, conducting questionnaires and employee interviews, monitoring internet use, video or telephone surveillance of employees and setting up whistleblowing hotlines. Not all measures are acceptable without restrictions. The provisions of labour law and data protection law must always be complied with.

To avoid wasting resources, the objectives of the investigation should be defined in advance. In addition, the selection and sequence of instruments to be used should be determined. A legal assessment of the chosen measures is essential to avoid legal complications.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

When the employer becomes aware of possible misconduct, the employer must commence an investigation immediately, in practice within about two weeks. The information may come to the employer's knowledge via, for example, the employer's own observations, from the complainant or their colleagues or an employee representative.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

The circumstances in which an employer commences a workplace investigation may vary, either through a whistleblower, through an internal system, email or phone call; complaints from suppliers, contractors, or customers; or accounts from observations and hearsay. Sometimes, it comes from anonymous complaints. However, it is common for an employer to verify whether the report or complaint is substantiated, partially substantiated, or unsubstantiated, which is sufficient to initiate and commence a workplace investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 03. Can an employee be suspended during a workplace investigation? Are there any conditions on suspension (eg, pay, duration)?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

Yes. An employer may always, and without legal restrictions, temporarily suspend an employee during an internal investigation, provided he or she continues to be paid.

However, suspending the employee does not release the employer from an obligation to terminate employment without notice. It must be clear to the employee that the suspension is a temporary measure in preparation for dismissal. A suspension does not entitle the employer to postpone the reasons for dismissal for any length of time. The longer the suspension lasts, the more likely it is that the employer intends to keep the employee.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

There is no legislation on temporary suspension in the event of a workplace investigation or similar. In some situations, the employer may relieve the employee from their working obligation with pay for a short period.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Article 128 of the 2019 Labor Code explicitly states that an employer has the right to temporarily suspend

an employee who is being investigated for committing an alleged act of misconduct in breach of the labour rules, if the following conditions are met:

- the misconduct committed is complex in nature, and any further work carried out by the employee may jeopardise the ongoing investigation. The law does not clearly define “complex nature”; it may be open to various interpretations by the employer. In practice and from our experience, allegations of sexual harassment may be considered complex misconduct and, therefore, can be a ground for suspension;
- the employer has consulted with (and effectively obtained the approval of) the grassroots-level representative organisation of the employee. No formal process is stipulated under the law for such consultation with this organisation. From our experience, the consultation can be in the form of a meeting between the management of the employer and the executive committee of the organisation. However, the organisation should require the employee to acknowledge their consent in writing by signing the meeting minutes;
- the period of suspension cannot exceed 15 days or 90 days in “special circumstances”. The law does not define what falls under “special circumstances”. In our view, this will be subject to the interpretation and discretion of the employer after consulting with the grassroots-level representative organisation of the employee; and
- the employee must be paid 50% of his or her wage that would be due during the period of the temporary suspension in advance. When the temporary suspension ends, if no disciplinary measure is imposed on the employee, the employer must pay the full wage for the period of the suspension by paying the remaining 50%.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 04. Who should conduct a workplace investigation, are there minimum qualifications or criteria that need to be met?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

There are no prescribed minimum standards for this procedure. The responsibility for conducting these investigations lies with the employers. Internal compliance or legal teams are often entrusted with this task, as they are familiar with internal protocols. In practice, these investigations are often overseen by an internal team, occasionally with the assistance of law firms or auditing firms. Those involved in the investigation must remain impartial. Potentially biased persons, such as those under investigation and their close associates, should be excluded from participation.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

The employer must conduct the investigation, but the actual work can be done either by the employer's personnel or by an external investigator, for example, a law firm. Either way, there are no formal criteria for the persons executing the investigation; however, impartiality is required from the person conducting the investigation

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

There are no statutory minimum qualifications or criteria for someone to conduct a workplace investigation. The employer can simply delegate the investigation task to anyone. However, it is good practice for qualified persons with proper training in workplace investigations to conduct the investigation as these involve intricate issues. It is also important that investigators are fair, unbiased, and impartial. In addition, they should not be related to any parties involved in the investigation.

In complex cases or cases involving a senior or high-ranking employee, the employer should appoint a person with a higher authority or rank in the company to lead and oversee the conduct of the investigation. This also applies in instances where it is foreseeable that the investigation may lead to disciplinary action, summary dismissal of the employee, or a report to an authority.

There are instances when engaging with external parties or professional advisors may be necessary. This is especially the case if the conduct under investigation is serious or widespread, which may lead to regulatory consequences if the employer does not have the expertise to handle the investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 05. Can the employee under investigation bring legal action to stop the investigation?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

If the investigated employee believes that individual measures violate his rights, he or she can defend him or herself against them, but he or she cannot stop the entire investigation.

In principle, the employee has various rights such as access, rectification, erasure and the right to contest the processing of his or her data (articles 12-17 and 21 GDPR). Should these principles be violated, the employee has the right to lodge a complaint with the data protection authority.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

The employee does not have a legal right to stop the investigation. The employer must fulfil its obligation to investigate the alleged misconduct.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

The employee can only bring legal action to stop the investigation if he or she claims that his or her rights have been clearly and blatantly violated during the investigation. However, the employee bears a heavy legal burden of proof to substantiate his or her claims. Based on our experience, most of the time, it is very difficult for the employee to prove this and successfully stop the investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 06. Can co-workers be compelled to act as witnesses? What legal protections do employees have when acting as witnesses in an investigation?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

An essential part of an internal investigation is the questioning of employees. Their statements contribute significantly to clarifying possible violations. In particular, the legal principles that apply to criminal proceedings, including the right to refuse to testify, do not apply directly to internal investigations.

Employees do not legally have to participate in such interviews. Their duty to cooperate arises indirectly from other legal provisions, in particular from employees' duties of loyalty and service under labour law.

Austrian law suggests there is a general principle of loyalty, which triggers a "duty to inform" under some circumstances; in principle, the employee and any witnesses are expected to provide information in the context of internal investigations. While the employee is not compelled to incriminate him or herself, he or she also may not withhold work-related information that the employer legitimately wishes to protect, for the sole reason that it might incriminate him or her. The decision as to whether the employee must disclose information depends on a balancing of interests in the specific case.

Investigators and employers must strictly adhere to the permissible limits. This requires compliance with labour law, criminal law and data protection law.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

There is no legislation on a witness's role in investigations. However, the legislation on occupational safety requires that employees must report any irregularities they observe. Depending on the situation, participating in the investigation may also be part of the person's work duties, role or position, in which case the employer may require the employee to contribute to clarifying the situation. However, there is no formal obligation to act as a witness, and there is no legislation regarding the protection of witnesses. If a witness wishes, they may have, for example, an employee representative as a support person during the hearing.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

There are no provisions in Vietnamese law that impose any statutory or legal obligation on an employee to act as a witness in an investigation. Hence, an employer does not have the power to compel its employees to act as witnesses in an investigation. However, a request for an employee to provide evidence or give details of an event that he or she knows of may reasonably be deemed to be a lawful and reasonable directive from an employer. Consequently, an employee's refusal to act as a witness may be tantamount to an act of insubordination, which may lead to disciplinary action by the employer. In any circumstances, if an employee refuses to attend an interview or is generally not cooperating with an investigation, the reasons for this will need to be considered carefully by the employer.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 07. What data protection or other regulations apply when gathering physical evidence?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

All data processing must comply with the principles of article 5 GDPR (lawfulness, fairness, transparency, purpose limitation, data minimisation, accuracy, storage limitation and integrity). Personal data may only be collected and processed for specific, lawful purposes.

The admissibility of data processing depends on whether the suspicion relates to a criminal offence or another violation of the law. If the data processing is relevant to criminal law, article 10 GDPR or section 4(3) of the Austrian Data Protection Act (DSG) applies. If the investigations are exclusively to clarify violations under civil or labour law, such as an assertion of claims for damages or if they are general investigations to establish a criminal offence, the permissibility of data processing is based on article 6 or, for data covered by article 9 GDPR, on this provision.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

Generally, the basic principles set out by the GDPR and the Finnish Data Protection Act apply to data processing in connection with investigations, including evidence gathering: there must be a legal basis for processing, personal data may only be processed and stored when and for as long as necessary considering the purposes of processing, etc.

Additionally, if physical evidence concerns the electronic communications (such as emails and online chats) of an employee, gathering evidence is subject to certain restrictions based on Finnish ePrivacy and

employee privacy laws. As a general rule, an employee's electronic communications accounts, including those provided by the employer for work purposes, may not be accessed and electronic communications may not be searched or reviewed by the employer. In practice, the employer may access such electronic correspondence only in limited situations stipulated in the Act on Protection of Privacy in Working Life (759/2004), or by obtaining case-specific consent from the employee, which is typically not possible in internal investigations, particularly concerning the employee suspected of wrongdoing.

However, monitoring data flow strictly between the employee and the employer's information systems (eg, the employee saving data to USB sticks, using printers) is allowed under Finnish legislation, provided that employee emails, chats, etc, are not accessed and monitored. If documentation is unrelated to electronic communications, it also may be reviewed by the employer. Laptops, paper archives and other similar company documentation considered "physical evidence" may be investigated while gathering evidence on the condition that any private documentation, communications, pictures or other content of an employee are not accessed.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Decree No. 13/2023/ND-CP on personal data protection is the main data protection regulation in Vietnam. It regulates the processing of personal data, including the collection or gathering of data. If the physical evidence contains personal data of an individual, the gathering of physical evidence must comply with this decree.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 08. Can the employer search employees' possessions or files as part of an investigation?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

In general, it is advisable to back up data, documents, emails and other records promptly to prevent their deletion. Admissibility depends on whether the data originates from personal or professional records and whether they are legally relevant. If internal investigations are carried out based on a specific suspicion of a criminal offence, it is the processing of legally relevant data. In general, the processing of professional emails or documents is permissible. If there is no professional connection, access to private files and documents is only permitted in exceptional cases.

If, for example, using a business email account for private purposes is not allowed, the employer can usually assume that the data processed is only "general" data within the meaning of article 6 GDPR and that such data processing is justified by a balancing of interests. However, if private use is allowed, the data may still be part of a special category within the meaning of article 9 GDPR. In such cases, the justification for its use must be based on one of the grounds explicitly mentioned in article 9(2) GDPR.

The employer must protect the employee's rights under section 16 of the ABGB and must consider the proportionality of the interference. Only the least restrictive means – the method that least interferes with the employee's rights – may be used to obtain the necessary information. The employer's interest in

obtaining the information must outweigh the employee's interest in protecting his or her rights. The implementation or initiation of controls by the employer does not automatically constitute an interference with personal rights, as being subject to the employer's rights of control is part of the position as an employee.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

Only the police can search employees' possessions (assuming that the prerequisites outlined in the legislation are met).

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

As part of an investigation, an employer may search the objects or files that are part of the company's property (eg, company or employers' laptops or phones for business purposes and emails or messages stored on the company's servers) without prior notice and without the need of the consent of the employee. However, the employer has no right to search an employee's personal possessions without consent.

To further avoid arguments or conflicts as to the right of ownership of a particular object or property, employers may specify in their internal policies, labour contracts, and handover documents what is to be regarded as the company's assets and subject to a search in a workplace investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 09. What additional considerations apply when the investigation involves whistleblowing?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

The provisions of the Whistleblowing Directive must be respected. In Austria, these have been implemented through the Whistleblower Protection Act (HSchG). If the whistleblower or the persons concerned fall within the scope of the Directive, their identity must be protected. Only authorised persons may access the report. Retaliatory measures are invalid or must be reversed. Within a maximum of seven days, the whistleblower must receive a confirmation of his or her complaint. Feedback to the whistleblower must then be provided within a maximum of three months.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

In respect of data protection, the processing of personal data in whistleblowing systems is considered by the Finnish Data Protection Ombudsman (DPO) as requiring a data protection impact assessment (DPIA).

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

It is up to the employer to determine whether or not to open an investigation after a complaint from a whistleblower. It is very important that the identity of the whistleblower is protected and that the employer also should not reveal the identity of the witness or the source of information, as the sources and witnesses may fear retaliation and feel uncomfortable or hesitant in giving information or raising concerns again.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 10. What confidentiality obligations apply during an investigation?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

If the report and the whistleblower fall within the scope of the Whistleblowing Directive, his or her identity must be protected. From a data protection perspective, the principles of the DSG must be observed to protect the legitimate confidentiality of the individuals concerned.

Furthermore, the employer should ensure that information is only disclosed to trustworthy persons to avoid pre-judgements.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

Concerning a workplace investigation, there is no specific legislation in force at the moment regarding confidentiality obligations. All normal legal confidentiality obligations (eg, obligations outlined in the Trade Secrets Act (595/2018)), and if using an external investigator, the confidentiality obligations outlined in the agreement between the employer and the external investigator, apply. Attorneys-at-law always have strict confidentiality obligations as per the Advocates Act (496/1958).

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Workplace investigations should be conducted in a strictly confidential manner to preserve the integrity and professionalism of the investigation and to protect the identity of the employee under investigation. This means that all information gathered, received, and shared during the investigation (ie, the subject employee and any material witnesses) should only be disclosed on a need-to-know basis.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 11. What information must the employee under investigation be given about the allegations against them?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

The purpose of internal investigations would be jeopardised by fully informing a suspected employee beforehand, as it would allow him or her to hide or destroy possible evidence, plan his testimony or coordinate with other employees.

There is no legal requirement to inform the employee of the allegations or suspicions.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

The process must be transparent and impartial, and therefore all the information that may influence the conclusions made during the investigation should be shared with the employee.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

There is no legal requirement as to what particular information should be stated in the allegations; however, such information must be provided to the employee under investigation. The information provided by the employer to the employee must be sufficiently clear and specific so that the latter understands the case or alleged issues against him or her and can respond to it.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 12. Can the identity of the complainant, witnesses or sources of information for the investigation be kept confidential?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

When dealing with reports and persons covered by the HSchG, the provisions on identity protection must be followed. In all internal investigations, only authorised persons should receive information.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

See question 11, there is no protection of anonymity as the process must be transparent to the parties involved.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

The identity of the complainant and witnesses must be kept confidential and cannot be disclosed to anyone, unless both the complainant and witnesses consent to its disclosure or if the employer is asked to disclose this information by the competent authorities under Vietnamese law.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 13. Can non-disclosure agreements (NDAs) be used to keep the fact and substance of an investigation confidential?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

According to section 6(1) of the DSG, employees who have access to personal data in the course of their

professional activities must maintain data confidentiality and continue to do so even after termination of their employment.

Non-disclosure agreements can generally be used to achieve this but are subject to certain restrictions. They may not be used to conceal criminal activity, violate the privacy rights of individuals, circumvent legal disclosure obligations, prevent the exercise of legal rights or contain clauses that violate existing laws, in particular data protection regulations.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

Yes, however, the need for an NDA is assessed always on a case-by-case basis.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Generally, NDAs can be used to keep the facts and substance of a workplace investigation confidential. There are no express prohibitions against such NDAs. However, there are cases set out under Decree No. 13/2023/ND-CP on personal data protection where personal data is allowed or required to be disclosed without the data subject's consent, in instances that are necessary to serve the public interest or to protect the life and health of the data subject.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 14. When does privilege attach to investigation materials?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

If a lawyer is involved in the investigation, communication between the lawyer and client is subject to legal professional privilege. These communications must not be disclosed. Any documents collected by an internal audit can be seized and used. However, a document created by a lawyer can only be seized. The same applies to other professional representatives of parties, such as notaries and auditors, as potential holders of professional secrecy.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

The privilege of investigation materials concerns a rather limited amount of cases. In practice, materials may be considered privileged in connection with the litigation process under the Procedural Code (4/1734). For example, communications between a client and an attorney may attract protection against forcible public disclosure.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Generally, privilege does not apply to internal workplace investigation materials as the investigation does not constitute a relationship between a lawyer and his or her client, and even less so a judicial investigation. However, if a lawyer is appointed to represent a specific party in an investigation, for example, as an investigator, the privilege may apply to materials exchanged between the lawyer and that client.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 15. Does the employee under investigation have a right to be accompanied or have legal representation during the investigation?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

In general, an employee is not entitled to have a representative present during investigations. However, he is free to reach out to the works council or independently contact a lawyer for advice. The employer must hear the works council upon his or her request on all matters concerning the interests of employees at the company. Once disciplinary proceedings begin, the employee has the right to be represented by a lawyer.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

The employee under investigation has a right to have a support person present (eg, a lawyer or an employee representative) during the hearings and a right to assistance in preparing written statements.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Yes, the employee under investigation has a right to be accompanied or have legal representation during the investigation. Before the start of investigation proceedings, the employee under investigation must be informed about his or her right to have someone present with him or have a legal representative during the investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 16. If there is a works council or trade union, does it have any right to be informed or involved in the investigation?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

The Austrian Labour Constitution Act (ArbVG) does not contain any provisions regarding workplace investigations. The employee has the right to address the works council but is not entitled to have the works council comply with his or her request.

The works council's opportunities for participation are conclusively regulated. Certain investigative or control measures may require the consent or co-determination of the works council.

Under section 96(1)3 ArbVG, the consent of the works council is required if the employer wishes to introduce and maintain control measures or technical systems for monitoring employees that affect human dignity, such as video surveillance or specific staff questionnaires. If there is no works council, the consent of each individual employee is required.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

A works council or a trade union does not have a role in the investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

In Vietnam, the “trade union” is the only organisation solely dedicated to protecting employees’ legitimate

rights and interests. Under the 2012 Labor Code, the term referring to trade unions was changed to “grassroots-level representative organisation of employees”. But the essence of this organisation remained and was later defined as “the executive committee of a grassroots trade union or the executive committee of the immediate upper-level trade union in a non-unionised company”. As such, it could be said that it was old wine in a new bottle.

As required under article 70.1 of Decree No. 145/2020/ND-CP, which serves as a guide to the Labor Code on working conditions and labour relations, when suspecting that an employee has committed a violation of labour discipline, the employer has to make a record of the violation at the time and notify the grassroots-level representative organisation of employees of which the employee is a member, or the legal representative of the employee if they are under 15 years of age. If the employer detects a violation after it has occurred, it will collect evidence to prove it. In this instance, the employer has no obligation to inform or involve the trade union or grassroots-level representative organisation of employees during the workplace investigation stage.

Also, an employee who is a member of the trade union or organisation has the right to seek assistance from this organisation and may authorise the trade union’s representative to represent and get involved in the workplace investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 17. What other support can employees involved in the investigation be given?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

There is no additional support for the employees concerned. However, the employer may offer support measures to the employees to ensure better cooperation. The choice of support measures is at the employer's discretion. For example, the employer could offer to bear lawyer’s fees, if the employee is cooperative. Such decisions must always be made on a case-by-case basis.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

They can request assistance, for example, from an occupational health and safety representative, a shop steward or the occupational healthcare provider.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

It is quite stressful for an employee, whether as the victim, the subject of an investigation, or a witness, to be involved in a workplace investigation. Thus, transparency in the investigation process would alleviate the employees' stress and anxiety. This could be achieved by providing involved and concerned employees with the timeline for different stages of the investigation and regular updates. Further, the employer can make necessary work arrangements to minimise potential interaction with other involved employees so that it would not further aggravate the conflict or situation, (eg, days off or temporary suspension of work).

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 18. What if unrelated matters are revealed as a result of the investigation?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

The employer must decide how to deal with this information. Possible options are to initiate separate and unrelated investigations or to extend the ongoing investigations.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

If they are related to the work or workplace, the employer will handle the emerging matters separately. In internal investigations, the employer is allowed to use any material legally available.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

If unrelated matters are revealed during the investigation, the employer should consider whether an investigation is needed. If necessary, the employer should decide whether it is appropriate to incorporate the new matters into the scope of the existing investigation by expanding the terms of reference. However, such action may not be appropriate if different individuals are involved or the inclusion of a new unrelated matter would unduly complicate or delay the progress of the existing investigation. If that is the case, the employer should investigate that matter separately.

Also, as detailed in article 19 of the 2015 Criminal Code of Vietnam, there is a legal duty on any person who is aware that a certain violation is being committed or has been committed to report it to the police unless otherwise provided for under law. Failure to comply with this requirement may lead to criminal liability for the offender.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 19. What if the employee under investigation raises a grievance during the investigation?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

Provided the employer complies with labour law and data protection regulations, internal investigations are lawful and are not regarded as administrative or judicial proceedings. If legal consequences for not cooperating, such as dismissal, are threatened by the employer or his investigators, the offence of coercion under section 105 of the Austrian Criminal Code could be fulfilled.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

If the nature of the grievance relates to the employer's obligations to handle such matters in general, the grievance will be investigated either separately or as a part of the ongoing investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

The employer should require the employee to raise any grievance under the company’s existing policy on grievance reporting, disciplinary, and investigation processes, so that it can determine if the grievance is relevant to the current investigation. The grievance can be investigated together with the ongoing investigation. It can also be dealt with separately and independently from the existing investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 20. What if the employee under investigation goes off sick during the investigation?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

The involved employee's sick leave does not affect the internal investigation. Most investigative measures

can be carried out without the employee's presence.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

As a general rule, sick leave does not prevent an investigation from progressing. Depending on the nature of the sickness, the employee can attend hearings and take part in the procedure. If the sickness prevents the employee from participating, the employer can put the process on hold temporarily.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Workplace investigations do not require the presence or active cooperation of the employee under investigation. Thus, the investigation may start or continue in the employee's absence due to illness.

If the employee's presence is necessary for the conclusion of the investigation, the employer may invite the employee to provide information either by submitting his or her answers to a written questionnaire or attending a virtual meeting. However, the employee may not accede to the employer's requests and proposals, especially if the employee has an illness. As a result, the employer may not be able to conclude the investigation due to the absence of the involved employee.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 21. How do you handle a parallel criminal and/or regulatory investigation?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

Private investigations differ from criminal or regulatory investigations. Nevertheless, even for internal investigations, it is advisable to collect evidence in a way that can be admitted in court, as it may have to be presented to the authorities during the investigation process. Generally, any evidence obtained in the course of an internal investigation may be admitted in subsequent administrative or judicial proceedings.

If the evidence is not voluntarily surrendered, seizure or confiscation is possible. Since official proceedings are often lengthy, suspension is not always recommended.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

Regardless of a possible criminal investigation, the employer must run its internal workplace investigation without unnecessary delay. A workplace investigation and a criminal investigation are two separate processes and can be ongoing simultaneously, so the criminal process does not require the workplace investigation to be stayed. Thus, parallel investigations are to be considered as two separate matters. The police may only obtain evidence or material from the company or employer if strict requirements for equipment searches are met after a request for investigation has been submitted to the police.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

There are no issues with an internal workplace investigation being conducted in parallel to any criminal or regulatory investigation. In such a case, the employer should handle the workplace investigation meticulously, pay attention to all the facts and evidence, inform the authorities of the ongoing internal workplace investigation, and ensure that it complies with all applicable legal requirements or directions made by the relevant authorities concurrently. Also, the employer should not take any steps that interfere with, hinder, or obstruct the parallel investigations.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 22. What must the employee under investigation be told about the outcome of an investigation?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

The employee has no general right to be informed of the results of an investigation. However, if the employer is considering consequences under labour law based on the result of the investigation, such as termination or dismissal, the employee must be informed accordingly.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

The employer's conclusions from the investigation.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

It is recommended that the employer informs the employee under investigation of the outcome and provides information on a need-to-know basis. Consequently, the employer has the discretion to proceed with any labour disciplinary procedure or actions against the employee based on the outcome of the investigation.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 23. Should the investigation report be shared in full, or just the findings?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

The employer should determine the intended recipients and format of the report in advance. In many cases, it may be advisable to publish only the results of the investigation to protect the privacy and reputation of the individuals concerned, as this may help to minimise any potential negative impact on them.

However, under certain circumstances or due to legal requirements, full disclosure of the investigation report may be required, especially if transparency and disclosure are necessary to maintain public or investor confidence.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

The employee under investigation may only be informed of the conclusions.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

There is no obligation to share the investigation report or the findings unless the employer and employee agree to do so.

However, under Decree No. 13/2023/ND-CP on personal data protection, the contents of the investigation report or findings related to the employee are likely to constitute the personal data of the employee under

investigation. In that case, the employee may have a right under the said Decree to obtain copies of such documents by making a statutory data access request after the workplace investigation is completed. Where the employer is required to provide such documents to the employee under Decree No. 13/2023/ND-CP but the requested documents also contain the personal data of any other third parties (such as the employee's co-workers who participated in the interview during the investigation), the employer should first redact or erase such data before providing the requested documents, unless the relevant third parties have consented to the disclosure of their personal data.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 24. What next steps are available to the employer?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

The employer may impose consequences under labour law. Consequences may include verbal or written warnings, transfers or other disciplinary measures. The employer may also implement training or educational measures if the issue is due to the employee's lack of knowledge. In serious cases, besides dismissal without notice – for example. if the employer seeks damages –legal action (civil or criminal) may be taken against the employee.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

The employer decides whether misconduct has taken place or not. Depending on the case, the employer may recommend a workplace conciliation in which the parties try to find a solution that can be accepted by both sides. The employer may choose to give an oral reprimand or a written warning. If the legal conditions are met, the employer may also terminate the employment agreement.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

After the completion of the investigation, the employer may:

- take the appropriate labour disciplinary action against the employee;
- proceed with legal action against the employee (eg, reporting the criminal violations of the employee to the proper authority or filing a civil lawsuit against the employee before the court); or
- adopting preventive or remedial measures on how to avoid these violations and to mitigate the damage to the company (eg, reviewing internal policies and conducting employee training).

Last updated on 25/09/2023

# 25. Who can (or must) the investigation findings be disclosed to? Does that include regulators/police? Can the interview records be kept private, or are they at risk of disclosure?

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

It is up to management to decide which results should be disclosed and to whom. It is important to know who the persons concerned are and who has an interest in disclosure.

From a legal perspective, disclosure must follow the GDPR. Internal policies can specify how the results are to be handled. Works Council Agreements (WCAs) may also contain regulations on how to deal with internal investigations and the disclosure of results.

There is no requirement to publish the results of the investigation, but it may be advisable to cooperate with the authorities. This is particularly the case if the employer has suffered damage or is himself threatened with prosecution. The release of investigation results can be compelled through the courts.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

In general, investigation materials, including findings, that includes personal data should only be processed by the personnel of the organisation who are responsible for internal investigations. However, it may in some situations be required by applicable legislation that findings are disclosed to competent authorities for the performance of their duties, such as conducting investigations in connection with malpractice and violations of the law.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Generally, the employer does not have to actively disclose the findings of a workplace investigation to any party.

Notwithstanding this, the employer should be aware of certain statutory disclosure requirements that may apply as a result of the matters revealed during the workplace investigation, if the said investigation reveals any knowledge or suspicion of an indictable offence that has been committed.

Interview records should be kept private unless disclosure is required by the authorities.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 26. How long should the outcome of the investigation remain on the employee’s record?

### Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

Data protection law requires that personal data should not be kept longer than necessary for the purpose it was collected. Once the purpose of the internal investigation is fulfilled and the data is no longer needed, it should be deleted or anonymised. Regulations regarding this matter may also be subject to WCAs or internal policies. In any case, it is advisable to keep the results for as long as they may be needed in possible subsequent administrative or judicial proceedings.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

### Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

Please see question 7. The outcome of the investigation involving personal data may be retained only for as long as is necessary considering the purposes of the processing. In general, the retention of investigation-related data may be necessary while the investigation is still ongoing and even then the requirements of data minimization and accuracy should be considered. The data concerning the outcome of an investigation should be registered to the employee's record merely to the extent necessary in light of the employment relationship or potential disciplinary measures. In this respect, the applicable retention time depends on labour law-related rights and limitations, considering eg, the applicable periods for filing a suit.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Vietnamese law does not provide for a period during which the outcome of the investigation should remain on the employee’s records and files. However, this will depend on the employer’s record-retention policies, which must comply with applicable data protection laws.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

## 27. What legal exposure could the employer face for errors during the investigation?

### Austria

## Austria

Author: *Michaela Gerlach, Sonia Ben Brahim*
at GERLACH

This relates to the severity of the error. Data protection violations can lead to fines by the data protection authority or claims for damages. If consequences under labour law, such as dismissal, have taken place due to erroneous investigations or incorrect results, the employee concerned can assert claims under labour law or seek damages.

Furthermore, there may be consequences under criminal law. This is particularly the case if documents have been falsified in the course of the investigation. It is, therefore, crucial that employers exercise diligence and due process in internal investigations. Investigations must be conducted transparently and lawfully.

Last updated on 29/09/2023

## Finland

Author: *Anu Waaralinna, Mari Mohsen*
at Roschier

There are no regulations regarding the actual investigation process. Therefore, the employer cannot be accused of procedural errors as such. However, once the matter has been adequately investigated, the employer must decide whether or not misconduct has taken place. If the employer considers that misconduct has taken place, the employer must take adequate measures for remedying the situation. Failure to adequately conduct the investigation could result in criminal sanctions being imposed on the employer as an organisation or the employer's representative, or damages.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Vietnam

Author: *Stephen Le, Trang Le*
at Le & Tran Law Corporation

The employer may be exposed to legal action for its failure to conduct the investigation properly, such as a lawsuit for labour disputes or sanctions for its failure to protect personal data as required under personal data protection regulations. For instance, if there were errors during the investigation which led to erroneous results for the investigation and consequently, the employee was dismissed, the employee may file a claim for illegal dismissal against the employer.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

### Contributors

#### Austria

Michaela Gerlach
Sonia Ben Brahim
*GERLACH*

## Finland

Anu Waaralinna
Mari Mohsen
*Roschier*

## Vietnam

Stephen Le
Trang Le
*Le & Tran Law Corporation*