

# Workplace Investigations

## Contributing Editors

*Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May*  
*Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May*

## 08. Can the employer search employees' possessions or files as part of an investigation?

### China

Author: *Leo Yu, Yvonne Gao, Tracy Liu, Larry Lian*  
at Jingtian & Gongcheng

Article 13 of the Constitution of the PRC provides that the lawful private property of the citizens shall not be violated. Therefore, during the process of investigation, without the employees' consent, the employer has no right to search the employees' personal possessions or files. If it is necessary to search the employees' personal possessions or files, the employer may require the employees to sign a Letter of Informed Consent before searching; or the employer may call the police and the search will be conducted under the escort of the public security authorities or directly by the public security authorities.

Last updated on 29/11/2023

### Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*  
at Bär & Karrer

The basic rule is that the employer may not search private data during internal investigations.

If there is a strong suspicion of criminal conduct on the part of the employee and a sufficiently strong justification exists, a search of private data may be justified.<sup>[1]</sup> The factual connection with the employment relationship is given, for example, in the case of a criminal act committed during working hours or using workplace infrastructure.<sup>[2]</sup>

---

<sup>[1]</sup> Claudia Fritsche, *Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen*, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 168.

<sup>[2]</sup> Claudia Fritsche, *Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz: Ein Handbuch für regulierte Finanzinstitute und andere Unternehmen*, Zürich/St. Gallen 2013, p. 168 et seq.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## 22. What must the employee under investigation be told about the outcome of an investigation?



### China

Author: *Leo Yu, Yvonne Gao, Tracy Liu, Larry Lian*  
at Jingtian & Gongcheng

There is no explicit stipulation in the laws and regulations in the PRC on this issue. In practice, given the confidentiality of any investigation into a violation, the employer usually will not disclose the investigation result or submit the investigation report to the investigated employee, unless it is explicitly provided in its rules and regulations that the employer is obliged to inform the employee of the investigation result. However, according to the Employment Contract Law of the PRC and the opinions of the mainstream arbitration tribunals and courts, if an employer decides to take disciplinary action against an employee (in particular, termination of employment contract) according to the investigation result, it is generally required to inform the employee of the investigation result. In other words, the employer generally needs to inform the employee of the specific facts based on which the disciplinary action is taken. Failure to do so may result in the generalization of serious violation of the employer's rules and regulations and lead the arbitration tribunals and courts to regard the termination as illegal.

Last updated on 29/11/2023



### Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*  
at Bär & Karrer

Workplace investigations often result in an investigation report that is intended to serve as the basis for any measures to be taken by the company's decisionmakers.

The employee's right to information based on article 8, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection also covers the investigation report, provided that the report and the data contained therein relate to the employee.<sup>[1]</sup> In principle, the employee concerned is entitled to receive a written copy of the entire investigation report free of charge (article 8 paragraph 5, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection and article 1 et seq, Ordinance to the Federal Act on Data Protection). Redactions may be made where the interests of the company or third parties so require, but they are the exception and must be kept to a minimum.<sup>[2]</sup>

---

<sup>[1]</sup> Arbeitsgericht Zürich, Entscheide 2013 No. 16; Roger Rudolph, *Interne Untersuchungen: Spannungsfelder aus arbeitsrechtlicher Sicht*, SJZ 114/2018, p. 393 et seq.

<sup>[2]</sup> Roger Rudolph, *Interne Untersuchungen: Spannungsfelder aus arbeitsrechtlicher Sicht*, SJZ 114/2018, p. 394.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## China

Leo Yu

Yvonne Gao

Tracy Liu

Larry Lian

*Jingtian & Gongcheng*



## Switzerland

Laura Widmer

Sandra Schaffner

*Bär & Karrer*

[www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com](http://www.internationalemploymentlawyer.com)