

Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

07. What data protection or other regulations apply when gathering physical evidence?



China

Author: Leo Yu, Yvonne Gao, Tracy Liu, Larry Lian at Jingtian & Gongcheng

The Civil Code of the PRC, the Personal Information Protection Law of the PRC and other laws provide for the protection of employees' personal information and privacy. Employers are often involved in checking the information and materials stored in the computers, hard disks and other electronic office equipment provided to employees in internal investigation and are likely to access the employees' personal information including personal privacy information, such as the communication records stored in instant communication software such as WeChat, QQ or other instant communication software or to and from private email boxes. According to the Personal Information Protection Law of the PRC, employers are required to perform the obligation of informing and obtain the individuals' consent prior to the processing of personal information, i.e. the principle of informing + consent. Moreover, the Civil Code of the PRC stipulates that no organization or individual may process any person's private information, except as otherwise provided by law or with the explicit consent of the right holder.

Therefore, the legitimacy of obtaining data evidence can be enhanced and guaranteed only if it is explicitly stated in the relevant rules and regulations that the employer shall have the right to the work equipment provided to the employees or obtains the employees' personal consent.

Last updated on 29/11/2023



Ireland

Author: Bláthnaid Evans, Mary Gavin at Ogier

Under the GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation), personal data must be processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner in relation to the data subject. The Data Protection Commission published Data Protection in the Workplace: Employer Guidance in April 2023, which is a useful guide.

Employers should exercise caution when gathering physical evidence that may involve the use of CCTV or other surveillance practices. The Irish Court of Appeal in the case of Doolin v DPC examined the use by an

employer of CCTV footage for disciplinary purposes and found such use constituted unlawful further processing. The original reason for processing the CCTV footage was to establish who was responsible for terrorist-related graffiti that was carved into a table in the staff tearoom. It subsequently transpired Mr Doolin, who was in no way connected to the graffiti incident, had accessed the tearoom for unauthorised breaks and a workplace investigation followed. The original reason for viewing the CCTV related to security, but further use of the CCTV footage in the disciplinary investigation was not related to the original reason. This case confirms that employers must have clear policies in place in compliance with both GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018 specifying the purpose for which CCTV or any other monitoring system is being used. Not only that, but these policies must be communicated to employees specifying the use of such practices.

It is not only data about the investigation that must be processed fairly, but any retention of the data, which can only be further processed with good reason. It is a legitimate business reason to retain data to deal with any subsequent requests or appeals under various internal or statutory processes, provided employees have been advised of the relevant retention period.

Last updated on 11/10/2023



Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

The Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection applies to the gathering of evidence, in particular such collection must be lawful, transparent, reasonable and in good faith, and data security must be preserved.[1]

It can be derived from the duty to disclose and hand over benefits received and work produced (article 321b, Swiss Code of Obligations) as they belong to the employer.[2] The employer is, therefore, generally entitled to collect and process data connected with the end product of any work completely by an employee and associated with their business. However, it is prohibited by the Swiss Criminal Code to open a sealed document or consignment to gain knowledge of its contents without being authorised to do so (article 179 et seq, Swiss Criminal Code). Anyone who disseminates or makes use of information of which he or she has obtained knowledge by opening a sealed document or mailing not intended for him or her may become criminally liable (article 179 paragraph 1, Swiss Criminal Code).

It is advisable to state in internal regulations that the workplace might be searched as part of an internal investigation and in compliance with all applicable data protection rules if this is necessary as part of the investigation.

- [1] Simona Wantz/Sara Licci, Arbeitsvertragliche Rechte und Pflichten bei internen Untersuchungen, in: Jusletter 18 February 2019, N 52.
- [2] Claudia Fritsche, Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz, Ein Handbuch für Unternehmen mit besonderem Fokus auf Finanzinstitute, p. 148.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Contributors



China

Leo Yu Yvonne Gao Tracy Liu Larry Lian Jingtian & Gongcheng



Ireland

Bláthnaid Evans Mary Gavin Ogier



Switzerland

Laura Widmer Sandra Schaffner Bär & Karrer

www. international employment lawyer. com