

# Workplace Investigations

## Contributing Editors

*Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May*

*Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May*

## 27. What legal exposure could the employer face for errors during the investigation?



Author: *Leo Yu, Yvonne Gao, Tracy Liu, Larry Lian*  
at Jingtian & Gongcheng

It is inevitable that the investigation involves the employee's personal information, and once the investigation is mishandled, the employer may face the following legal risks:

**Civil liability:** Both the Civil Code of the PRC and the Personal Information Protection Law of the PRC, clearly provide the civil liability for infringement of privacy and illegal processing of personal information.

Therefore, the investigated employee or relevant organizations such as the people's procuratorate have the right to claim or file a public interest lawsuit on the employer's improper collection of evidence, requiring the employer to bear the liability for infringement. In addition, the evidence obtained by an employer through infringing the employee's privacy and personal information rights and interests, in violation of the law, cannot be used as the valid evidence for the employer's unilateral termination of the employment contract or requiring the employee to compensate for losses.

**Administrative liability:** Article 66 of the Personal Information Protection Law of the PRC provides that, where personal information is processed in violation of regulations, administrative penalties imposed by the department performing duties of personal information protection may be up to revoking the business license, and the person directly in charge and other directly liable persons may be fined up to one million yuan and prohibited from practicing within a time limit. Meanwhile, Article 67 of the Personal Information Protection Law of the PRC provides that relevant illegal acts shall be recorded in the employer's credit files and disclosed to the public.

**Criminal liability:** if an employer illegally sells or provides to others the personal information obtained during the internal investigation, and the circumstance is serious enough, the judicial authority has the right to hold the employer, the managers directly in charge and other directly liable persons criminally liable in accordance with the crime of "infringement of citizens' personal information" under Article 253A of the Criminal Law of the PRC.

It should be noted that a compliance investigation may also involve the employer's communication and investigation reporting with overseas authorities, or overseas institutions' direct access to information from the employer's domestic systems. If the employer conducts cross-border transmission of such personal information, it shall also meet one of the conditions set out in Article 38 of the Personal Information Protection Law of the PRC (i.e. passing the security assessment organized by the national cyberspace

administration authority, obtaining certification from a professional institution concerning the protection of personal information or entering into a standard contract with an overseas recipient). Violations of the above provisions may result in civil, administrative and even criminal liability.

Last updated on 29/11/2023



## Singapore

Author: *Jonathan Yuen, Doreen Chia, Tan Ting Ting*  
at Rajah & Tann Singapore

The employer may be exposed to legal action for a failure to properly conduct the investigation, including having such portions of the investigation set aside or held to be void by the courts, and be made to pay damages to the affected employee; or face investigation and administrative penalties by regulatory authorities such as the MOM.

In addition, after the Workplace Fairness Legislation comes into force, breach of its requirements may also expose the employer or culpable persons to potential statutory penalties. The Tripartite Committee on Workplace Fairness recommended, among other things, for the Workplace Fairness Legislation to provide for a range of penalties including corrective orders, work pass curtailment and financial penalties against employers or culpable persons, depending on the severity of the breach. It is thus expected that employers or culpable persons may be exposed to potential statutory penalties if the requirements of the Workplace Fairness Legislation are not complied with.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*  
at Bär & Karrer

As there are no specific regulations for internal investigations, the usual legal framework within which the employer must act towards the employee derives from general rules such as the employer's duty of care, the employee's duty of loyalty and the employee's data protection rights.

But, for example, unwarranted surveillance could conceivably result in criminal liability (article 179 et seq, Swiss Criminal Code) for violations of the employee's privacy. Furthermore, errors made by the employer could have an impact on any later criminal proceedings (eg, in the form of prohibitions on the use of evidence).[1]

Evidence obtained unlawfully may only be used in civil proceedings if there is an overriding interest in establishing the truth (article 152 paragraph 2, Swiss Civil Procedure Code). Consequently, in each case, a balance must be struck between the individual's interest in not using the evidence and in establishing the truth.[2] The question of the admissibility of evidence based on an unlawful invasion of privacy is a sensitive one – admissibility in this case is likely to be accepted only with restraint.[3] Since the parties in civil proceedings do not have any means of coercion at their disposal, it is not necessary, in contrast to criminal proceedings, to examine whether the evidence could also have been obtained by legal means.[4]

Unlawful action by the employer may also have consequences on future criminal proceedings: The prohibitions on exploitation (article 140 et seq, Swiss Criminal Procedure Code) apply a priori only to evidence obtained directly from public authorities. Evidence obtained unlawfully by private persons (ie, the employer) may also be used if it could have been lawfully obtained by the authority and if the interest in establishing the truth outweighs the interest of the individual in not using the evidence.[5] Art. 140 paragraph 1 Swiss Criminal Procure Code remains reserved: Evidence obtained in violation of Art. 140 paragraph 1 Swiss Criminal Procure Code is subject to an absolute ban on the use of evidence (e.g.

evidence obtained under the use of torture[6]).[7]

[1] Cf. ATF 139 II 7.

[2] ATF 140 III 6 E. 3

[3] Pascal Grolimund in: Adrian Staehelin/Daniel Staehelin/Pascal Grolimund (editors), Zivilprozessrecht, Zurich/Basel/Geneva 2019, 3rd Edition, §18 N 24a.

[4] Pascal Grolimund in: Adrian Staehelin/Daniel Staehelin/Pascal Grolimund (editors), Zivilprozessrecht, Zurich/Basel/Geneva 2019, 3rd Edition, §18 N 24a.

[5] Decision of the Swiss Federal Court 6B\_1241/2016 dated 17. July 2017 consid. 1.2.2; Decision of the Swiss Federal Court 1B\_22/2012 dated 11 May 2012 consid. 2.4.4.

[6] Jérôme Benedict/Jean Treccani, CR-CPP Art. 140 N. 5 and Art. 141 N. 3.

[7] Yvan Jeanneret/André Kuhn, Précis de procédure pénale, 2nd Edition, Berne 2018, N 9011.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

## Contributors



### China

Leo Yu  
Yvonne Gao  
Tracy Liu  
Larry Lian  
*Jingtian & Gongcheng*



### Singapore

Jonathan Yuen  
Doreen Chia  
Tan Ting Ting  
*Rajah & Tann Singapore*



### Switzerland

Laura Widmer  
Sandra Schaffner  
*Bär & Karrer*