

# Workplace Investigations

## **Contributing Editors**

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

# 04. Who should conduct a workplace investigation, are there minimum qualifications or criteria that need to be met?



### Australia

Author: Joydeep Hor, Kirryn West James, Chris Oliver at People + Culture Strategies

Once the decision to undertake a workplace investigation has been made, it is important to decide who is the most appropriate person to conduct the investigation. For the investigation process to run smoothly a single lead investigator should be selected, although they may work with a larger team. The lead investigator and investigation team can be internally or externally appointed.

In deciding whether to appoint an external investigator an employer should consider:

- the nature of the allegations;
- the seniority of the respondent;
- whether a fair investigation can be conducted internally without any actual or perceived bias;
- whether there is a dedicated HR department with someone who has the required capability, skills and experience to conduct the investigation; and
- whether the employer wants the investigation to be covered by legal professional privilege.

If the employer decides to investigate the matter internally without appointing a third party, then the investigator does not need to have any specific qualifications. However, it is prudent to confirm that the investigator has the time and skills to conduct the investigation and that they can be objective.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



### Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

The examinations can be carried out internally by designated internal employees, by external specialists, or by a combination thereof. The addition of external advisors is particularly recommended if the allegations are against an employee of a high hierarchical level[1], if the allegations concerned are quite substantive

and, in any case, where an increased degree of independence is sought.

[1] David Rosenthal et al., Praxishandbuch für interne Untersuchungen und eDiscovery, Release 1.01, Zürich/Bern 2021, p. 18.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

# 18. What if unrelated matters are revealed as a result of the investigation?



### Australia

Author: Joydeep Hor, Kirryn West James, Chris Oliver at People + Culture Strategies

During the investigation, unrelated matters can come to light, usually made by the complainant or a witness during the interview process. Unrelated matters may take the form of further complaints against the respondent (but on grounds that are outside the scope of the current investigation), or entirely different complaints.

An employer should first assess the nature of the new allegations. Entirely unrelated matters should be dealt with separately. However, if the matter relates to the respondent it may be appropriate to obtain consent from the respondent and complainant for the scope of the investigation to be widened. It is important to remember that all allegations must be put to the respondent and they must be given an opportunity to respond.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



### Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

There are no regulations in this regard in the Swiss employment law framework. However, in criminal proceedings, the rules regarding accidental findings apply (eg, article 243, Swiss Criminal Procedure Code for searches and examinations or article 278, Swiss Criminal Procedure Code for surveillance of post and telecommunications). In principle, accidental findings are usable, with the caveat of general prohibitions on the use of evidence.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

#### Contributors



Australia

Joydeep Hor Kirryn West James

Chris Oliver People + Culture Strategies



### Switzerland

Laura Widmer Sandra Schaffner Bär & Karrer

www. international employment lawyer. com