

# Workplace Investigations

## Contributing Editors

*Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May*  
*Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May*

## 14. When does privilege attach to investigation materials?

### Australia

Author: *Joydeep Hor, Kirryn West James, Chris Oliver*  
at People + Culture Strategies

Investigation materials are not privileged and an employer may be required to disclose them in subsequent legal proceedings. If an employer is concerned about privilege attaching to an investigation, they should engage a legal practitioner to facilitate the investigation.

Employers who are concerned about privilege attaching to investigation materials should also consider the method of a lawyer's engagement. The lawyer should be expressly engaged to investigate, report and to assist the employer by providing legal advice. Additional benefits can be achieved if the legal practitioner engages an external investigator to investigate the complaint and prepare the investigation report. Privilege will attach to the investigation materials because they are prepared for the lawyer to allow the lawyer to provide legal advice to the employer.

It is important that employers do not expressly or inadvertently waive privilege. For example, by disclosing the investigation report or substantial contents of the investigation report. It is a balance between providing information to the respondent and complainant about the outcome of the investigation and disclosing too much information.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Switzerland

Author: *Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner*  
at Bär & Karrer

As outlined above, all employees generally have the right to know whether and what personal data is being or has been processed about them (article 8 paragraph 1, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection; article 328b, Swiss Code of Obligations).

The employer may refuse, restrict or postpone the disclosure or inspection of internal investigation documents if a legal statute so provides, if such action is necessary because of overriding third-party interests (article 9 paragraph 1, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection) or if the request for information is

manifestly unfounded or malicious. Furthermore, a restriction is possible if overriding the self-interests of the responsible company requires such a measure and it also does not disclose the personal data to third parties. The employer or responsible party must justify its decision (article 9 paragraph 5, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection).<sup>[1]</sup>

The scope of the disclosure of information must, therefore, be determined by carefully weighing the interests of all parties involved in the internal investigation.

---

<sup>[1]</sup> Claudia M. Fritsche, *Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz, Ein Handbuch für Unternehmen mit besonderem Fokus auf Finanzinstitute*, p. 284 et seq.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



## Thailand

Author: *Ratthai Kamolwarin, Norrapat Werajong*  
at Chandler MHM

Client-attorney privilege between qualified attorneys and the client (ie, an employer) begins once information is made available to the attorney, regardless of the form it takes.

Last updated on 15/09/2022

### Contributors



## Australia

Joydeep Hor  
Kirryn West James  
Chris Oliver  
*People + Culture Strategies*



## Switzerland

Laura Widmer  
Sandra Schaffner  
*Bär & Karrer*



## Thailand

Ratthai Kamolwarin  
Norrapat Werajong  
*Chandler MHM*