

Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

14. When does privilege attach to investigation materials?



Germany

Author: *Hendrik Bockenheimer, Susanne Walzer, Musa Müjdeci* at Hengeler Mueller

The legal situation regarding attorney-client privilege for investigation materials compiled by external advisors (in particular investigation reports) is unclear. In principle, there is no absolute protection against seizure by the public prosecutor in the relationship between client and lawyer. Such protection only exists in the relationship between the accused in a criminal proceeding and his criminal defence attorney.

In recent years, German courts have repeatedly issued different rulings on the question of whether investigation materials (at the company itself or a lawyer's office) may be seized. In 2018, the Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG) ruled that the seizure of documents at the offices of an international law firm that is not based in Germany, and therefore can not invoke German constitutional rights, is lawful. However, the BVerfG did not comment on what would apply to seizures at law firms based in Germany.

For violations that could lead to the company itself being exposed to investigative proceedings at some point and possibly having to defend itself, there are, in our view, good arguments for investigation materials being subject to attorney-client privilege. Additionally, the lawyer's hand file, in which he usually keeps his notes on the case or minutes of conversations with his client, may also not be seized. In all other cases, under the current legal situation, there is a risk that the materials may be seized, even in the office of the company's lawyer. From a practical point of view, it is nevertheless advisable to label investigative materials, especially interview protocols and investigation reports, with a notice that they are confidential documents subject to attorney-client privilege and to store them not at the company's premises but in an attorney's office.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner

at Bär & Karrer

As outlined above, all employees generally have the right to know whether and what personal data is being

or has been processed about them (article 8 paragraph 1, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection; article 328b, Swiss Code of Obligations).

The employer may refuse, restrict or postpone the disclosure or inspection of internal investigation documents if a legal statute so provides, if such action is necessary because of overriding third-party interests (article 9 paragraph 1, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection) or if the request for information is manifestly unfounded or malicious. Furthermore, a restriction is possible if overriding the self-interests of the responsible company requires such a measure and it also does not disclose the personal data to third parties. The employer or responsible party must justify its decision (article 9 paragraph 5, Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection).[1]

The scope of the disclosure of information must, therefore, be determined by carefully weighing the interests of all parties involved in the internal investigation.

[1] Claudia M. Fritsche, Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz, Ein Handbuch für Unternehmen mit besonderem Fokus auf Finanzinstitute, p. 284 et seg.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Vietnam

Author: Stephen Le, Trang Le at Le & Tran Law Corporation

Generally, privilege does not apply to internal workplace investigation materials as the investigation does not constitute a relationship between a lawyer and his or her client, and even less so a judicial investigation. However, if a lawyer is appointed to represent a specific party in an investigation, for example, as an investigator, the privilege may apply to materials exchanged between the lawyer and that client.

Last updated on 25/09/2023

Contributors



Germany

Hendrik Bockenheimer Susanne Walzer Musa Müjdeci Hengeler Mueller



Switzerland

Laura Widmer Sandra Schaffner Bär & Karrer



Vietnam

Stephen Le

Trang Le

Le & Tran Law Corporation

www. international employment lawyer. com