Workplace Investigations

Contributing Editors

Phil Linnard at Slaughter and May Clare Fletcher at Slaughter and May

04. Who should conduct a workplace investigation, are there minimum qualifications or criteria that need to be met?



Spain

Author: Sergio Ponce, Daniel Cerrutti at Uría Menéndez

As set out in question 1, workplace investigations must be proportional and companies must use the least intrusive means to affect employees' rights. This translates into the following principles on who conducts the investigation:

- the enquiry must involve a minimal number of employees;
- only those employees with competencies on the investigated matters should be involved (normally human resources or compliance); and
- employees conducting the investigation must be qualified and have the power and seniority to do so proficiently (although a formal qualification is not required).

Last updated on 15/09/2022

Sw

Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

The examinations can be carried out internally by designated internal employees, by external specialists, or by a combination thereof. The addition of external advisors is particularly recommended if the allegations are against an employee of a high hierarchical level[1], if the allegations concerned are quite substantive and, in any case, where an increased degree of independence is sought.

[1] David Rosenthal et al., Praxishandbuch für interne Untersuchungen und eDiscovery, Release 1.01, Zürich/Bern 2021, p. 18.

07. What data protection or other regulations apply when gathering physical evidence?



Spain

Author: Sergio Ponce, Daniel Cerrutti at Uría Menéndez

The General Data Protection Regulation and the Spanish Data Protection Law apply when gathering any type of evidence, including physical evidence. This means that companies may only process personal data when they have lawful grounds to do so and within the limits set forth for special categories of personal data (health, union affiliation, criminal records, etc.).

The Spanish Statute of Workers specifically states that employees and their possessions may be registered when it is necessary to protect the companies' property (or the property of other co-workers). This registration must:

- be conducted in the workplace and during working hours;
- respect the employee's privacy and dignity; and
- be performed in front of an employee representative or, if not possible, in the presence of another employee of the company.

Last updated on 15/09/2022



Switzerland

Author: Laura Widmer, Sandra Schaffner at Bär & Karrer

The Swiss Federal Act on Data Protection applies to the gathering of evidence, in particular such collection must be lawful, transparent, reasonable and in good faith, and data security must be preserved.[1]

It can be derived from the duty to disclose and hand over benefits received and work produced (article 321b, Swiss Code of Obligations) as they belong to the employer.[2] The employer is, therefore, generally entitled to collect and process data connected with the end product of any work completely by an employee and associated with their business. However, it is prohibited by the Swiss Criminal Code to open a sealed document or consignment to gain knowledge of its contents without being authorised to do so (article 179 et seq, Swiss Criminal Code). Anyone who disseminates or makes use of information of which he or she has obtained knowledge by opening a sealed document or mailing not intended for him or her may become criminally liable (article 179 paragraph 1, Swiss Criminal Code).

It is advisable to state in internal regulations that the workplace might be searched as part of an internal investigation and in compliance with all applicable data protection rules if this is necessary as part of the investigation.

[1] Simona Wantz/Sara Licci, Arbeitsvertragliche Rechte und Pflichten bei internen Untersuchungen, in: Jusletter 18 February 2019, N 52.

[2] Claudia Fritsche, Interne Untersuchungen in der Schweiz, Ein Handbuch für Unternehmen mit besonderem Fokus auf Finanzinstitute, p. 148.

Contributors



Spain

Sergio Ponce Daniel Cerrutti Uría Menéndez



Switzerland

Laura Widmer Sandra Schaffner Bär & Karrer

www. international employment lawyer. com